Beautiful paths to probe physics beyond the standard model of particles















SuperKEKB accelerator complex

Belle II detector

electron / positron

linear injector



Beam collision

Electron and positron bunches collide.

Very small probability of collision for each particle. Most particles pass through without collision. Particles produced by the collision are detected and analyzed.

After one turn around the ring, the bunches collide again.

The bunch collisions repeat during storage in the ring.

Luminosity frontier of e+e- colliders



4



SuperKEKB, the first new collider in particle physics since the LHC in 2008 (electron-positron (e⁺ e⁻) rather than proton-proton (p-p))

Phase 1

Background , Optics commissioning Feb - June **2016** Brand new 3km positron ring

Phase 2: Pilot run

Superconducting Final Focus add positron damping ring First Collisions (0.5 fb⁻¹) April 27-July 17, 2018

Phase 3: Physics run Since April, 2019





First collision

Apr. 26, 2018



Belle II control room

Ag2018 4 25 20 9 22 dat

iDump Height [pm]



Horizontal beam-beam kick

Introduction of SuperKEKB: accelerator (K. Akai, KEK)



First hadronic event observed by Belle II



SuperKEKB control room First collision ceremony, 26 June 2018 21





charm and beauty re-discoveries using less than 1 fb⁻¹ (spring-summer 2018)





At a B-factory...







At a B-factory...





How many B candidates can I reconstruct with 1 fb⁻¹? 1 fb⁻¹ \rightarrow 1 × 10⁶ B produced but BF(B \rightarrow D⁰ π^{-}) = 5×10⁻³ and BF(D \rightarrow K⁻ π^{+}) = 3.8% and reconstruction efficiency ~ 10%... signal yield ~ 10 events !!

Reconstruct a B candidate...



Rediscovering beauty: $B \rightarrow D^{(*)}h + B \rightarrow J/\psi K^{(*)}$

with very limited statistics (< 1 fb^{-1}), Belle II can rediscover the B meson



Show capacity for charm physics in $e^+e^- \rightarrow c \overline{c}$ $\circ D^0$, D^+ , D^*

• Cabibbo favoured and suppressed modes

... for **B-physics**

- hadronic modes from $b \rightarrow c$
- ∘ semileptonic decay modes from $b \rightarrow c$

that is for dominant decays.... ...we are looking for rare decays

But you said "rare B decays"...



Dominant decays: Not rare

Phase space suppressed decays: Not that rare

Cabibbo-suppressed decays: Some call them rare

 $B(D^{0} \rightarrow K^{-} \pi^{+}) \text{ versus } B(D^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{-} \pi^{+}) \qquad B(b \rightarrow c l^{+} \nu) \text{ versus } B(b \rightarrow u l^{+} \nu)$



Dominant decays: Not rare

Phase space suppressed decays: Not that rare

Cabibbo-suppressed decays: Some call them rare

$$\frac{B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)}{B(D^0 \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+)} = 28 \qquad \frac{B(b \rightarrow c l^+ \nu)}{B(b \rightarrow u l^+ \nu)} = 135$$

Dominant decays: Not rare

Phase space suppressed decays: Not that rare

Cabibbo-suppressed decays: Some call them rare

Colour-suppressed decays: Not really rare

 $B(B^{0} \rightarrow D^{-} \pi^{+}) = (3.5 \pm 0.9) 10^{-3},$ $B(B^{0} \rightarrow \overline{D}^{0} \pi^{0}) = (2.9 \pm 0.3) 10^{-4},$

while they are both $b \rightarrow cW$ and $W \rightarrow u\overline{d}$ transitions.

- Dominant decays: Not rare
- Phase space suppressed decays: Not that rare
- Cabibbo-suppressed decays: Some call them rare
- Colour-suppressed decays: Not really rare
- Hadronic FCNC decays: Not the topic of our lecture
 - For instance $B \rightarrow \phi K_S^0$, or $B \rightarrow K_S^0 K \pi$...



- Dominant decays: Not rare
- Phase space suppressed decays: Not that rare
- Cabibbo-suppressed decays: Some call them rare
- Colour-suppressed decays: Not really rare
- Hadronic FCNC decays: Not the topic of our lecture
 - For instance $B \rightarrow \phi K_S^0$, or $B \rightarrow K_S^0 K \pi$...
 - ∘ Or $B^0 \rightarrow \phi K_S^0$, or the penguin contribution to $B \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$

Electroweak FCNC penguins: That's rare !

- $b \rightarrow s \gamma$
- ∘ b→sll
- And friends...

Rare B decays



- FCNC: Flavour Changing Neutral Current
- FCNC are strongly suppressed in the SM: only loops + GIM mechanism



<u>Motivations for NP</u> SM, are we done ?

No. Open questions

D. Tonelli



These and many other questions fuel the strong and wide-spread prejudice that the SM is completed at high-energy by new particles and interactions

How do you we search for new particles ?Direct vs Indirect Searchescomplementarity with LHCWhy flavor physics ?



→ NP beyond the <u>direct</u> reach of the LHC

Three classes of SM processes

(M.Endo)



> ~100GeV (1TeV), if interaction is weak (strong) New particle via quantum effects



No sharp cutoff for energy scale (cf. LHC search) — suppressed by $(E/\Lambda)^n$

24

Rare B decays

- FCNC are strongly suppressed in the SM: only loops + GIM mechanism
- Any new particle generating new diagrams can change the amplitudes



→ NP beyond the <u>direct</u> reach of the LHC

New particles can for example contribute to loop or tree level diagrams by enhancing/suppressing decay rates, introducing new sources of CP violation or modifying the angular distribution of the final-state particles



Why rare decays ?

We want to find new physics indirectly !

No new physics at tree level: we would have noticed ?

Interference of tree interactions and new physics: this is what CP violation does

Interference of loop induced decays and new physics:

- Only allowed in loops
- $\circ~$ Could be SM Z and W , or anything else that is heavy

Experimental aspects:

- You want to measure a 50% effect on a rare decay, not a 1% effect on the neutron lifetime. That's very hard.
 - ⇒ Statistic versus systematic error

Theoretical **clean**: There are many rare decays that are theoretically clean. This is needed as in the end you will compare a measured effect to an SM prediction.

Indirect searches

Sensitive to New Physics effects

- $\circ~$ When was the Z discovered ?
 - 1973 from $N\nu \rightarrow N\nu$?
 - $\circ~$ 1983 at SpS ?
- $\circ~$ c quark postulated by GIM , third family by KM

Estimate masses

- $\circ \ t$ quark from $B\overline{B}$ mixing
- Get phases of couplings
 - Half of new parameters
 - Needed for a full understanding

Look in lepton and **flavour** sectors

 \rightarrow CP asymmetry in the Universe





Illustration of indirect search: $K_L^0 \rightarrow \mu \mu$

 $K_L \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ decay can be generated by the box diagram:



 $K_L^0 \rightarrow \mu\mu$ was not observed though expected Now BF is measured to be $(6.84 \pm 0.11)10^{-9}$ [Ambrose et al, 2000]



in a renormalisable gauge theory, is expected to give a branching ratio of $g^4 \sim \alpha^2 \sim 10^{-4}$, with α the fine structure constant.



GIM observed that, with a fourth quark, there is a second diagram, with c replacing u. In the limit of exact flavour symmetry, the two diagrams cancel.

[Glashow, Iliopoulos and Maiani, 1970]

The breaking of flavour symmetry induces a mass difference between the quarks, so the sum of the two diagrams is of order $g^4(m_c^2 - m_u^2)/m_W^2 \sim \alpha^2 m_c^2/m_W^2$.

With the measured charm quark mass $m_c \sim 1.27 \text{ GeV}$, the predicted rates are in agreement with observation. \Rightarrow but no experimental evidence of a fourth quark...

Proton beam Fixed target experiment



In proton collision, other particles (than J/ψ) are also produced.

Electron beam Collider experiment



$$\sqrt{s}$$
 = 3 GeV

- CM energy is efficiently used to produce J/ψ .

3

Proton beam m₁ = 0.938 GeV Fixed target experiment ~1 GeV : proton m₂ ~ 9 GeV : Beryllium m1 m_2 E₁ = 30 GeV CM energy $\sqrt{s} = \sqrt{(E_1 + m_2)^2 - |\vec{p_1}|^2}$ (\mathbf{p}_{1}, E_{1}) (0, m₂) (center-of-mass) $= \sqrt{2E_1m_2 + m_1^2 + m_2^2}$ = 25 GeV >> 3 GeV Electron beam Collider experiment m = 0.511 keV m m E = 1.5 GeV CM energy $\sqrt{s} = 2E = 3 \text{ GeV}$ (**p**,E) (**-p**,E)

How to detect particles

Most short-lived particles generated by the collision (, in which we are interested), decay inside the detector, but we can reconstruct them if we know the 4-momentum of decay products.

Simple case: 2-body decay.



energy and momentum conservation

 $E = E_1 + E_2$ $P = p_1 + p_2$ $M^2 = E^2 - |P|^2 = (E_1 + E_2)^2 - |p_1 + p_2|^2$



In reality, there are many particles in a final state; we don't know which is the correct combination.

$J/\psi (1974)$

Experiment carried out by S. Ting group at Brookhaven National Laboratory

– fixed target experiment:



J/ψ (1974)

Experiment carried out by B.Richter group $-e^+e^-$ collider experiment

Contrarily to the S. Ting's group, B. Richter's group tried to find out a new particle by scanning the e^+e^- collision energy from 2.4 GeV by 0.2 GeV step



MARK-I detector at SLAC 33

J/ψ (1974)

Experiment carried out by B. Richter group

- They observed a bump at 3 GeV/c^2
- Event display

The particle name was taken from its event display 100



1000

(qu

-hadrons

A = W



Discovery of the 4th quark

Finally, the J/ψ particles were identified as $c\,\overline{c}$ mesons



Two names for the same particle

As both groups published the discoveries of J and ψ on the same day (11th Nov 1974), the particle was given 2 names: J/ψ . November revolution

⇒ Nobel Prize 1976 rewarded Richter and Ting



 $K_L \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ decay can be generated by the box diagram:



in a renormalisable gauge theory, is expected to give a branching ratio of $g^4 \sim \alpha^2 \sim 10^{-4}$, with α the fine structure constant.



direct search: $J/\psi \rightarrow ee$



With the measured charm quark mass $\,m_{\rm c} \sim 1.27$ GeV , the predicted rates are in agreement with observation.

36

The weak force is essentially as strong as the electromagnetic force, but it appears weak because its influence is limited by the large mass of the Z and W bosons. Their mass limits the range of the weak force to about 10^{-18} meters, and it vanishes altogether beyond the radius of a single proton.

Sheldon Glashow, Abdus Salam and Steven Weinberg developed in the 1960s the theory in its present form, when they proposed that the weak and electromagnetic forces are actually different manifestations of one electroweak force.

First, in 1973, came the observation of neutral current interactions as predicted by electroweak theory at Gargamelle bubble chamber (Andre Lagarrigue et al)

Neutrinos are particles that interact only via the weak interaction, and when the physicists shot neutrinos through the bubble chamber they were able to **detect evidence of the weak neutral current**, **and hence indirect evidence for the Z boson**.







 \mathcal{V}_{μ}

 v_{μ}



Super Proton Synchrotron, proton-antiproton collider, where unambiguous signals of W bosons were seen in January 1983 during a series of experiments made possible by Carlo Rubbia and Simon van der Meer. Experiments are UA1 and UA2.

270 GeV per beam, enough energy to produce W and Z particles first general purpose 4π experiment in high energy physics













Rubbia and van der Meer were promptly awarded the 1984 Nobel Prize in Physics. 40^{40}