






Beautiful paths to probe physics beyond the standard model of particles

K.Trabelsi

karim.trabelsi@lal.in2p3.fr



Jennifer school, July 22nd 2020

Program of the lectures

- **How to study elementary particles**
 - indirect searches for New Physics
 - experiments through history of particle/flavour physics
 - what is Belle (II) experiment(s)

- **Rare B decays**
 - quest for New Physics (beyond Standard Model)
 - two approaches for the same quest (LHCb vs Belle)
 - sign of New Physics ?

2 words on my background



$e^+e^- @ Z$

$e^+e^- @ Y(4S)$

$pp @ 8-13 \text{ TeV}$

$e^+e^- @ Y(4S)$

ALEPH (CERN), Belle (KEK), LHCb (CERN), Belle II (KEK)

CPPM (France), Osaka U (Japan), U Hawaii (USA), KEK (Japan), EPFL (Switzerland), IJCLab (France)

KEK

High Energy Accelerator Research Organization

- Tsukuba, Japan
- Largest Accelerator Facility in Japan (in Asia ?)
- Institute for High Energy Physics (Particle Physics)
- Various researches using accelerators are being done (Universe, Matter, Life)



KEK


High Energy Accelerator Research Organization

Accelerator (Super)KEKB
circumference 3 km



4

more than 20 years ago...




New generation, new experiment

start taking data in 2018...




keywords:
particle physics
flavor physics
beauty, charm, τ ...
intensity frontier
indirect search



Standard Model

we know how important is the first generation

(S.Nishida)



but... more generations, more flavours...

Standard Model in a nutshell

In the Standard Model (theory of the Particle Physics) following particles are considered to be elementary particles:

components of SM:

Matter (fermions)

3 generations: quarks and leptons

Source of Force (Gauge bosons)

Electromagnetic γ

Weak interaction W^{\pm}, Z^0

Strong interaction g (quark only)


} Electro-weak (unified) $SU(2) \times U(1)$
QCD $SU(3)$

Source of Mass

Higgs Boson H^0 (discovered by LHC in 2012)

(Spontaneous breakdown: vacuum expectation \rightarrow mass)

Weinberg – Salam (1976) [gravity is not included]



Parameters of the Standard Model

- 3 gauge couplings + QCD vacuum angle
- 2 Higgs parameters

- 6 quark masses
- 3 quark mixing angles + 1 phase
- 3 (+3) lepton masses
- (3 lepton mixing angles + 1 phase)

flavour parameters

Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa

CKM matrix

PMNS matrix

Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata

() = with Dirac neutrino masses

importance of flavour physics, indirect searches...

SSl2018 • July 30 - August 10 • 46TH SLAC Summer Institute

The STANDARD MODEL at 50: Successes & Challenges

The 2018 SLAC Summer Institute will provide a broad overview of the Standard Model. In addition to providing a survey of the historical development of the different components of the SM, both theoretical and experimental status reports of all aspects of the SM framework will be given showing both the successes and the various challenges that it faces. Lectures will generally be given in the mornings during both weeks. Afternoons include special lectures and topical talks which alternate with discussion sessions, student project sessions and tours. Evening events include poster sessions and social activities. SSI is especially targeted for graduate students and young postdocs.

SCHOOL LECTURES:

The Origins of the Standard Model

Precision Electroweak Theory

Standard Model Probes in Atoms, Molecules & Nuclei

Evolution of Electroweak Theory

Low Energy Precision Measurements

Electroweak Precision Measurements at Colliders

The Development of QCD

Evolution of Accelerators & Technology

Precision QCD & the Standard Model

Nuclear Physics Measurements as Tests of the SM

QCD at the LHC

Astro-Cosmology Window on the SM-Theory & Experiment

QCD on the Lattice

Critical Experiments Establishing the SM

History of the Higgs

The Higgs in the SM

Properties of the Higgs at the LHC

The Physics of Neutrinos

Neutrinos: What Will We Learn in the Next Decade

The Mysteries of Flavor-Theory & Experiment

The Baryon Asymmetry

What & Where is Dark Matter -Theory & Experiment

The Hierarchy & Fine-Tuning Problems

The Physics of Future Colliders-No Lose Theorem?

What Future Higgs Measurements Will Tell Us

The View Ahead

CONTACT:

SS12018, SLAC, MS 81

2575 Sand Hill Road

Menlo Park, CA 94025

email: ssi@slac.stanford.edu

SPONSORSHIP:

The SLAC Summer Institute is hosted

by Stanford University and co-sponsored

by the US Department of Energy and

SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory.




**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF
ENERGY**

<https://conf.slac.stanford.edu/ssi2018>

How to study Elementary Particles


⇒ experiments !!

- In 1911, Rutherford performed an experiment to irradiate α particles to a gold foil.
 - ✓ α particle : nucleus of He atom
 - ✓ α particle from Radium (**radioactive source**)



E. Rutherford

Most α particles passed through the gold foil. However, surprisingly, a very small fraction of them were deflected by much larger than 90 degrees.



⇒ **observation** ("detectors are our eyes"):

"it was as if you fired a 15-inch shell at a piece of tissue paper and it came back and hit you"

– Rutherford

⇒ **interpretation**: "Standard Model"
("Panettone" atom model)

"New Physics"


⇒ **good example of indirect search...**

⇒ **proper experimental setting is most important**


good control of the beam, good shielding...

good coverage of the detector

THOMSON MODEL



RUTHERFORD MODEL




Particle physics experiments


Particle detector lectures from F. Forti

Detectors and other electronic apparatus are required for various purposes in every experiment. The tasks required for most experiments include:


- tracking
- momentum analysis
- neutral particle detection
- particle identification
- triggering, and
- data acquisition



Identifying particles




A detector cross-section, showing particle paths



Main actors in B physics


(ARGUS, CLEO)

Belle
 e^+e^- collision
at 10.6 GeV




⇒ Belle II

BaBar
 e^+e^- collision
at 10.6 GeV




CDF
 $p\bar{p}$ collision
at 1.96 TeV



... and D0

LHCb
 pp collision
at 7 TeV
8 TeV
13 TeV




... and CMS

⇒ LHCb upgrade

logo designed by undergraduate student...



logo designed by undergraduate student...



asymmetric $e^+ e^-$ collider
producing B mesons

but why running at 10.6 GeV ?



Upsilon meson discoveries

"Observation of a Dimuon Resonance at 9.5 GeV in 400 GeV Proton-Nucleus Collisions"


Summer of 1977, a team of physicists, led by Leon M. Lederman, working on experiment 288 in the proton center beam line of the Fermilab fixed target areas discovered the Upsilon Y

1970 proposal: study the rare events that occur when a pair of muons or electrons is produced in a collision of the proton beam from the accelerator on a platinum target
Only one Upsilon is produced for every 100 billion protons which strike the target


The Upsilon apparatus




"The Upsilon fits very nicely into the picture of a super-atom consisting of the bound state of a bottom quark and antiquark"



$Y(4S) = Y(10580)$ B-factory



$Y(1S): 80\%$, $Y(2S): 60\%$, $Y(3S): 36\%$



Particle Data Group

$Y(1S) \quad I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$


| | |
|--|--|
| $Y(1S)$ MASS | $9460.30 \pm 0.26 \text{ MeV} (S = 3.3)$ |
| $Y(1S)$ WIDTH | $54.02 \pm 1.25 \text{ keV}$ |
| $\Gamma(ggg, \gamma g g \rightarrow \bar{d} \text{ anything}) / \Gamma(ggg, \gamma g g \rightarrow \text{anything})$ | $(3.36 \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-5}$ |

$Y(2S) \quad I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$

| | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| $Y(2S)$ MASS | $10023.26 \pm 0.31 \text{ MeV}$ |
| $m_{Y(3S)} - m_{Y(2S)}$ | $331.50 \pm 0.13 \text{ MeV}$ |
| $Y(2S)$ WIDTH | $31.98 \pm 2.63 \text{ keV}$ |

$Y(3S) \quad I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$

| | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|
| $Y(3S)$ MASS | $10355.2 \pm 0.5 \text{ MeV}$ |
| $m_{Y(3S)} - m_{Y(2S)}$ | $331.50 \pm 0.13 \text{ MeV}$ |
| $Y(3S)$ WIDTH | $20.32 \pm 1.85 \text{ keV}$ |




$Y(4S) \quad I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$


also known as $Y(10580)$

| | |
|---------------|-------------------------------|
| $Y(4S)$ MASS | $10579.4 \pm 1.2 \text{ MeV}$ |
| $Y(4S)$ WIDTH | $20.5 \pm 2.5 \text{ MeV}$ |


Y(4S) B-factory



Y(4S)



- 2 B's and nothing else !
 - 2 B mesons are created simultaneously in a L=1 coherent state
- ⇒ before first decay, the final states contains a B and a \bar{B}



$$R(s) = \frac{\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons})}{\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)} = \sum_q Q_q^2$$

The naive parton model:



1 GeV $\leq \sqrt{s} \leq$ 3 GeV, u, d and s quarks

$$R(s) = 3 \cdot \left\{ 1 \cdot \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^2 + 2 \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^2 \right\} = 2$$

14 GeV $\leq \sqrt{s} \leq$ 45 GeV, u, d, s, c and b quarks

$$R(s) = 3 \left\{ 2 \cdot \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^2 + 3 \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^2 \right\} = \frac{11}{3}$$

Y(4S) B-factory



- **"on resonance" production**

$$e^+e^- \rightarrow Y(4S) \rightarrow B_d^0 \bar{B}_d^0, B^+ B^-$$

$$\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow B\bar{B}) \simeq 1.1 \text{ nb}$$

- **"continuum" production ($q\bar{q} = u\bar{u}, d\bar{d}, s\bar{s}, c\bar{c}$)**

$$\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow c\bar{c}) = 1.3 \text{ nb}$$

$$\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow s\bar{s}) = 0.4 \text{ nb}$$

$$\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow u\bar{u}) = 1.6 \text{ nb}$$

$$\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow d\bar{d}) = 0.4 \text{ nb}$$

- $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-) \sim 1 \text{ nb}$

- $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) \sim 1 \text{ nb}$ (calibration)

- **bhabha:** $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-) \sim 100 \text{ nb}$ (luminosity)



Why high luminosity required?

Only small fraction of collision reaction is useful for rare decays.

High statistics to search for slight difference btw matter and anti-matter

A large quantity of collision events needed.

Number of collision events/sec

=

Luminosity

×

cross-section of reaction

(performance of accelerator)

(subject to nature)

$\text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$

cm^2


1 barn = $10^{-24} \text{ cm}^2 \Rightarrow$ integrated luminosity : 1 fb^{-1} , cross-section = 1 nb (10^6 fb)
 $\Rightarrow 10^6$ events

B factories: BaBar and Belle

⇒ experiments designed for β extraction !

⇒ but also charm, τ factories

(fb⁻¹)



> 1 ab⁻¹

On resonance:

$\Upsilon(5S)$: 121 fb⁻¹

$\Upsilon(4S)$: 711 fb⁻¹

$\Upsilon(3S)$: 3 fb⁻¹

$\Upsilon(2S)$: 25 fb⁻¹

$\Upsilon(1S)$: 6 fb⁻¹

Off reson./scan:

~ 100 fb⁻¹

~ 550 fb⁻¹

On resonance:

$\Upsilon(4S)$: 433 fb⁻¹

$\Upsilon(3S)$: 30 fb⁻¹


$\Upsilon(2S)$: 14 fb⁻¹

Off resonance:

~ 54 fb⁻¹

final samples { **BaBar:** $467 \times 10^6 B\bar{B}$ pairs
Belle: $772 \times 10^6 B\bar{B}$ pairs

Belle in a nutshell



very stable detector, good particle identification, (kaon, pion, proton, electron, muon),
 e^+e^- is a clean environment: excellent tracking, triggering, tagging...

Belle in a nutshell



KLM ($K_L\mu$) Detector: Sandwich of 14 RPCs and 15 iron plates

Solenoid: 1.5 T

3.5 GeV e^+

Silicon Vertex Detector:
3/4 detection layers
Vertex resolution $\sim 100\mu\text{m}$

8.0 GeV e^-

Electromagnetic Cal:
CsI(Tl) crystal
 $\sigma_E/E \sim 1.6\% @ 1\text{ GeV}$

Time-of-Flight Counter:
K/ π -ID of high p

Central Drift Chamber
8,400 sense wires
PID with dE/dx

Aerogel Cerenkov Counter:
Refractive index $n=1.01-1.03$
K/ π of middle p

very stable detector, good particle identification, (kaon, pion, electron, muon),
 e^+e^- is a clean environment: excellent tracking, triggering, tagging...

Belle in a nutshell



KLM ($K_L\mu$) Detector: Sandwich of 14 RPCs and 15 iron plates

Solenoid: 1.5 T

3.5 GeV e^+

Silicon Vertex Detector:
3/4 detection layers
Vertex resolution $\sim 100\mu\text{m}$

8.0 GeV e^-

Electromagnetic Cal:
CsI(Tl) crystal
 $\sigma_E/E \sim 1.6\% @ 1\text{ GeV}$

Central Drift Chamber
8,400 sense wires
PID with dE/dx

Time-of-Flight Counter:
 K/π -ID of high p

Aerogel Cerenkov Counter:
Refractive index $n=1.01-1.03$
 K/π of middle p



very stable detector, good particle identification, (kaon, pion, electron, muon),

e^+e^- is a clean environment: excellent tracking, triggering, tagging...

How to detect particles in Belle

How to measure charged particles.

- Magnetic field (1.5 T at Belle) is applied in parallel to the beam axis.
 - ✓ Charged particles curls in the plane perpendicular to the beam axis.
- Measure the trajectory of the charged particles.
 - ✓ Momentum can be obtained by the relation $p \text{ [GeV]} = 0.3 B \text{ [T]} R \text{ [m]}$.





More exactly, only transverse momentum (p_T) can be obtained. But, we also know the direction of the particle. Hence the momentum vector can be calculated.

How to detect particles in Belle

Central Drift Chamber

Sense wire 30 micron diameter gold plated tungsten
Field wire 126 micron diameter aluminium
Gas mixture of Helium 50% and C₂H₆ 50%

+ superconduction magnet
inner radius = 170 cm, B = 1.5 T



Configuration


52 layers
8.4k anodes
radius = 8.5-90 cm
 $-77 \leq z \leq 160$ cm



Performances

$\sigma_{r-\phi} = 130 \mu\text{m}$
 $\sigma_z = 200-1400 \mu\text{m}$
 $\sigma_{p_t}/p_t = 0.3\% (p_t + 1)^{1/2}$
 $\sigma_{dE/dx} = 6\%$

see lectures from T. Wongjirad






How to detect particles in Belle

Silicon Vertex Detector

300 μm thick, 3–4 layer
radius = 2.0–8 cm
Length = 22–40 cm



readout: $\phi \sim 40\text{ k}$, $\theta \sim 40\text{ k}$
resolution: $\sigma_z \sim 30\text{ }\mu\text{m}$



Belle in a nutshell



Silicon Vertex Detector:
3/4 detection layers
Vertex resolution $\sim 100\mu\text{m}$

KLM ($K_L\mu$) Detector: Sandwich
of 14 RPCs and 15 iron plates

Solenoid: 1.5 T

3.5 GeV e^+

8.0 GeV e^-

Electromagnetic Cal:
CsI(Tl) crystal
 $\sigma_E/E \sim 1.6\% @ 1\text{ GeV}$

Time-of-Flight Counter:
K/ π -ID of high p

Central Drift Chamber
8,400 sense wires
PID with dE/dx

Aerogel Cerenkov Counter:
Refractive index $n=1.01-1.03$
K/ π of middle p

very stable detector, good particle identification, (kaon, pion, electron, muon),
 e^+e^- is a clean environment: excellent tracking, triggering, tagging...

examples of particle detectors

see lectures from F. Forti

Comparison different PID methods for K/π separation





illustration of various particle identification methods for K/π separation along with characteristic momentum ranges.




a detector system for PID combines usually several methods

How to detect particles in Belle

- We now know the momentum of the charged particles, but we don't know what the particle is.
 - ✓ Candidates : electron (e^\pm), muon (μ^\pm), pion (π^\pm), kaon (K^\pm), proton (p, \bar{p}).
 - ✓ Other charged particles decay before reaching to the detector.
- Next step : Particle identification.

Example: TOF (time of flight)





- Measure the flight time from the interaction point to the detector.
 - ✓ From the flight time, one can calculate the velocity of the particle.
 - ✓ The mass of the particle can be obtained from the velocity and momentum ($p = mv\gamma$).

The low momentum (up to 1.2GeV) π^\pm/K^\pm is separated by the timing of plastic scintillation counters with 100ps time resolution

How to detect particles in Belle


ACC = Aerogel Cherenkov Counter



12 x 12 x 12 cm³ blocks
960 barrel / 228 endcap
FM - PMT readout, 1788ch

20 photoelectrons
per pion detected
at 3.5 GeV

⇒ K/π separation: 1.2 to 3.5 GeV



How to detect particles






Now, we know the momenta of charged particles, and their masses (from the particle species) \Rightarrow 4-momentum is known


How about neutral particles?

- π^0 decays ($\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$). K_S^0 also decays ($K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$, $\pi^0\pi^0$ with $c\tau = 2.7\text{cm}$).
- The most important neutral particle is the photon (γ).
 - ✓ Not detected inside the tracking device (CDC etc.).
 - ✓ But, photons lose all the energy in the calorimeter (i.e. energy of a photon is measured in the calorimeter).
 - ✓ Direction is known from the measured position
 \Rightarrow 4-momentum is measured.

Long-lived neutral particles (neutrons, K_L^0 ...) are not easy to measure (hadronic interaction). Neutrino is impossible to detect.

How to detect particles in Belle





Belle II detector

Main challenge: Preserve detector performances while luminosity (so beam background) increases

EM Calorimeter: CsI(Tl)
waveform sampling

K_L and muon detector
Resistive Plate Counter (barrel)
Scintillator + WLSF + MPPC
(endcaps)


Vertex Detector
1/2 layers DEPFET
+
4 layers DSSD

Particle Identification
Time-Of-Propagation
counter (barrel)
Prox. focusing Aerogel RICH

Central Drift Chamber
He (50%):C₂H₆ (50%)
small cells, long level arm,
fast electronics

on-going DAQ upgrade
(to be installed in 2020-2021)
PCIe40 board, capable of reading via
high speed optical links and to write
to computer at rate of 100 Gb/s:
limited number of boards (20) enough
to read entire Belle II detector

Installation of Vertex Detector (Fall 2018)



considering now VTX upgrade (2025 or later)