### The tau lepton mass measured at Belle II

Radek Žlebčík (on behalf of the Belle II Collaboration)

Dec 4, 2023 Louisville





Particle Zoo

 $m_e$ 

 $m_{\mu}$ 

 $m_{\tau}$ 

=

=

=

# **Masses of leptons**

 $(105.6583755 \pm 0.0000023) \text{ MeV}$ 

 $(1776.86 \pm 0.12) \text{ MeV}$ 

PDG 2022



#### **Standard Model of Elementary Particles and Gravity**

page 2

#### $2R_\infty h$ $m_{ m e}=$ $c lpha^2$ 1.777 GeV/c<sup>2</sup> 105.7 MeV/c<sup>2</sup> -1 0.511 MeV/c<sup>2</sup> 1/2 <sup>-1</sup> <sup>1/2</sup> **e** 1/2 tau muon electron 0.3 x 10<sup>-9</sup> 22 x 10<sup>-9</sup> 68000 x 10<sup>-9</sup> 36 x 10<sup>-9</sup>

#### TAU2023 | 4 December 2023 | Radek Žlebčík

**Relative precisions of masses** 

### **Needed precision**



300 USD

# Lepton flavor universality & tau lepton mass



### Is the fraction of tau decays to electron consistent with SM?

# Lepton flavor universality & tau lepton mass



Tau lepton mass is exciting on its own!

### **Previous measurements**



### **Methods**





### **Give me a scale!**

### $\tau$ factories

Source	$\Delta m_{\tau} \; ({\rm MeV}/c^2)$
Theoretical accuracy	0.010
Energy scale	$+0.022 \\ -0.086$
Energy spread	0.016
Luminosity	0.006
Cut on number of good photons	0.002
Cuts on PTEM and acoplanarity angle	0.05
mis-ID efficiency	0.048
Background shape	0.04
Fitted efficiency parameter	+0.038
Total	-0.034 + 0.094
	-0.124

### BES III 70% Phys.Rev.D 90 (2014)

#### Systematic uncertainties of the tau mass

### **B** factories

Source	Uncertainty (MeV)
Momentum Reconstruction	0.39
CM Energy	0.09
MC Modeling	0.05
MC Statistics	0.05
Fit Range	0.05
Parameterization	0.03
Total	0.41

### BaBar 98% Phys.Rev.D 80 (2009)

Source of systematics	$\sigma$ , MeV/ $c^2$
Beam energy and tracking system	0.26
Edge parameterization	0.18
Limited MC statistics	0.14
Fit range	0.04
Momentum resolution	0.02
Model of $\tau \rightarrow 3\pi \nu_{\tau}$	0.02
Background	0.01
Total	0.35

Belle 74% Phys.Rev.Lett. 99 (2007)

### **BES III method**



### **BESIII (τ factory)**

Source	$\Delta m_{\tau} \; ({\rm MeV}/c^2)$
Theoretical accuracy	0.010
Energy scale	$^{+0.022}_{-0.086}$
Energy spread	0.016
Luminosity	0.006
Cut on number of good photons	0.002
Cuts on PTEM and acoplanarity angle	0.05
mis-ID efficiency	0.048
Background shape	0.04
Fitted efficiency parameter	+0.038
Total	$+0.094 \\ -0.124$

BES III 70% Phys.Rev.D 90 (2014)

Compton-scattering of laser light used to measure beam energies.

# **Challenges for B factories**



### **BaBar (B factory)**

Source	Uncertainty (MeV)
Momentum Reconstruction	0.39
CM Energy	0.09
MC Modeling	0.05
MC Statistics	0.05
Fit Range	0.05
Parameterization	0.03
Total	0.41

BaBar 98% Phys.Rev.D 80 (2009)

### **Pseudo-mass**





### **Belle II**

Unprecedented luminosity, 4.7x10<sup>34</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> world record

- Belle II at asymmetric-energy SuperKEKB e+e- collider
- B & charm & tau factory  $\sigma_{bb} \sim \sigma_{cc} \sim \sigma_{ au au} \sim 1 \; {\rm nb}$
- Clean environment of ee collisions:
  - $\rightarrow$  Efficient reconstruction neutrals
  - $\rightarrow$  Missing energy
  - $\rightarrow$  Interaction vertex
- Data taking is getting restarted (LS1 July 2022 - November 2023)
- Accumulated 424 fb<sup>-1</sup> (190 fb<sup>-1</sup> used in the tau-mass analysis)



The z-axis of the coordinate system points towards electron momentum

# **Final-state momentum scale**

- Calibration of track momenta using  $D^0 \,{\rightarrow}\, K\pi$  as standard candle
- Momentum SFs are derived by comparing D<sup>0</sup> peak position with PDG value
   → SFs function of charge & cos θ
- Systematic uncertainties:
  - $\rightarrow$  m(D<sup>0</sup>) PDG uncertainties
  - $\rightarrow$  peak position modeling
  - $\rightarrow$  detector misalignment

Tau mass unc. from momentum-scale 0.39 MeV (BaBar) → 0.07 MeV (Belle II)

$$M_{\min} = \sqrt{M_{3\pi}^2 + 2(\sqrt{s}/2 - E_{3\pi}^*)(E_{3\pi}^* - P_{3\pi}^*)}$$
$$m_{\tau}^2 = (p_{3\pi} + p_{\nu})^2$$



# **Calibration of the collision energy**





### **Y4S resonance**





Mean B meson energy biased towards  $M(Y_{4S})$  due to beam energy smearing

# **Time dependence of collision energy**



### **Belle II results**



Source	$\frac{\text{Uncertainty}}{\left[\text{MeV}/c^2\right]}$
Knowledge of the colliding beams:	
Beam energy correction	0.07
Boost vector	$\leq 0.01$
Reconstruction of charged particles:	
Charged particle momentum correction	0.06
Detector misalignment	0.03
Fitting procedure:	
Estimator bias	0.03
Choice of the fit function	0.02
Mass dependence of the bias	$\leq 0.01$
Imperfections of the simulation:	
Detector material budget	0.03
Modeling of ISR and FSR	0.02
Momentum resolution	$\leq 0.01$
Neutral particle reconstruction efficiency	$\leq 0.01$
Tracking efficiency correction	$\leq 0.01$
Trigger efficiency	$\leq 0.01$
Background processes	$\leq 0.01$
Total	0.11

### **Belle II results**





### **Conclusions**

$$m_{\tau} = 1777.09 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.11 \,\mathrm{MeV}/c^2$$

- $\bullet$  Belle II  $\,m_{\tau}$  determination has much higher accuracy than Belle / BaBar
- Belle II achieved even slightly better precision than BESIII (tau-factory)
- $\bullet$  Substantial part of the  $m_{\tau}$  uncertainty comes from external inputs, e.g. Y4S resonance shape
  - $\rightarrow$  plan to reduce external-input dependence