





Compton polarimetry for SuperKEKB: laser system, photon detector

D. Charlet¹, T. Ishibashi², A. Martens¹, M. Masuzawa², F. Mawas^{1*}, Y. Peinaud¹, D. Zhou², F. Zomer¹

1: IJCLab; 2: KEK; *: PhD student

Compton scattering



Fig. 1. Tree diagrams for $e^-\gamma \rightarrow e^-\gamma$

$$x = \frac{2E_0\omega_0}{m^2}(1+\cos\alpha) \qquad y = \frac{E_\gamma}{E_0}$$

The Compton cross-section averaged over scattered particles spins:



Electron beam polarization independent

Electron beam polarization dependent

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dy}(x,y) \cong \frac{d\sigma_0}{dy} \left(1 + \mathcal{P}_z \mathcal{P}_c^{las} A_{LR}\right)$$

A But small opening angle of scattered particles:

• Transverse polarimetry is more difficult to implement wrt longitudinal polarimetry

Integration

- 1. For spin rotators at current B2E location of SuperKEKB
 - ightarrow a nice place before BLA2LE where polarization is longitudinal and enough free space
 - \rightarrow polarimeter data is representative of polarization at Belle II IP (up to magnet mislalignments)
- 2. For spin rotators at about 80m from Belle II IP
 - ightarrow no solution found to measure longitudinal polarization
 - \rightarrow use same location but measure transverse polarization (no detailed study at this stage of this)



Mechanical system integration



+ some neutron + lead shielding around electronics to be located below the table

+ some lead shielding around the table to protect the laser

Zhou, Ishibashi Beam impedance (longitudinal)

Preliminary results of impedance calculation

- · Impedance calculation by T. Ishibashi
 - Longitudinal wake with 6 mm Gaussian bunch is very weak.
 - The calculated loss factor, resistance and inductance are 4.4e-5 V/pC, 3.1e-3 Ohm, and 8.0e-4 nH, respectively.
 - Comparing with Table 1 of Ref. [1], these values are very small.



Table 1: Impedance budget for the SuperKEKB main rings. Summarised are the contributions to the loss factor $k_{||}$ [V/pC], the fitted resistance R [Ω] and inductance L [nH] for each type of components. The resistances and inductances are calculated at the nominal bunch lengths of σ_z =5 and 4.9 mm for LER and HER, respectively.

		-	-				
Component		LER		HER			
Component	$k_{ }$	R	R L		R	L	
ARES cavity	8.9	524		3.3	190	121	
SC cavity	-	-	-	7.8	454	-	
Collimator	1.1	62.4	13.0	5.3	309	10.8	
Res. wall	3.9	231	5.7	5.9	340	8.2	
Bellows	2.7	159	5.1	4.6	265	16.0	
Flange	0.2	13.7	4.1	0.6	34.1	19.3	
Pump. port	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	34.1	6.6	
SR mask	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	21.4	0.7	
IR duct	0.0	2.2	0.5	0.0	2.2	0.5	
BPM	0.1	8.2	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	
FB kicker	0.4	26.3	0.0	0.5	26.2	0.0	
FB BPM	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.0	
Long. kicker	1.8	105	1.2		-	-	
Groove pipe	0.1	3.8	0.5		-	-	
Electrode	0.0	0.7	5.7		-	-	
-	10.2	1137	36.4	29.0	1677	62.1	

[1] D. Zhou et al., Impedance calculation and simulation of microwave instability for the main rings of SuperKEKB, in Proceedings of IPAC'14, Dresden, Germany.

Zhou, Ishibashi

Beam impedance (vertical)

Preliminary results of impedance calculation

- Impedance calculation by T. Ishibashi
 - Vertical dipole and quadrupole wakes with 6 mm Gaussian bunch are weak.
 - The dipole and quadrupole kick factors weighted by beta function $\beta_y=100$ m are $\beta_y\kappa_y=-0.89$ V/pC and -0.88 V/pC, respectively. These values are very small, concerning the total $\beta_y\kappa_y$ of HER in the order of 10⁴ V/pC.



7

Laser system



Rough design based on past experience 300µm beam size shall not be a problem (Rayleigh range of 1m) Elliptic beam can be delivered with cylindrical lenses/mirrors

Key aspect: remote operation of the system that will be located in the tunnel

Possibility to synchronize the laser on the RF clock of the accelerator using the WhiteRabbit+IDROGEN technology under experimental investigation at IJCLab

Photon detector integration

'minor' modifications of BLA2LE region

ightarrow no modification of magnet required

 \rightarrow NEG pumping on the 'wrong' side

Requires modification of a pipe to let photon beam out

ightarrow proposed pipe modification (validated by T. Ishibashi and D. Zhou)

63 Pumping port side need to be changed. Xray out : 210 µrad ů6 mm at 14,5 meters BreadBoard 450x450 mm²

Integration in accelerator



Single channel photon detector

Basic elements

- a VERY FAST radhard scintillating crystal → BaF2
 - Need to filter out the slow component ightarrow UV optical filters
- a PMT with low transit time dispersion \rightarrow commercially available (hamamatsu for instance)
- Bunch/bunch measurement



Funding request to ANR submitted (answer feb-June '24)

Conceptual acquisition chain



Expected performance



Table 4. Systematic uncertainties on the extraction of P_z , see text for details. Background modeling and absolute knowledge of the laser polarization dominates.

Source	Uncertainty on $P_z(\%)$			
Laser beam polarization	0.30			
Backgrounds	0.16			
Fit procedure	0.080			
Beam energy	0.050			
Spatial misalignment	0.015			
Angular misalignment	0.015			
Longitudinal misalignment	0.015			
Transverse electron beam polarization	0.015			
Total	0.35			

- Statistical precision: 1%/bunch every 5min
- NB: fit can be performed in 1min for the 2500 bunches assuming few nuisance parameters known and fixed (calibration can occur from time to time)
- Systematic uncertainty related to beam transport from Compton IP to Belle 2 IP need to be evaluated → misalignements in beam transport

PUBLISHED BY IOP PUBLISHING FOR SISSA MEDIALAB

RECEIVED: September 28, 2023 ACCEPTED: October 12, 2023 PUBLISHED: October 16, 2023

- A summary of the conceptual studies performed for the laser system and the scattered photon detector has been published recently in JINST
- A summary of this paper is inserted in the current CDR draft
- Further progress on detector relies on success of funding
- Synchronisation scheme for laser to be validated in 2024
- Currently investigating possibility of a concept of a transverse polarimeter using converter + pixels

11/12/2023

Conceptual study of a Compton polarimeter for the upgrade of the SuperKEKB collider with a polarized electron beam

D. Charlet,^a T. Ishibashi,^b A. Martens,^{a,*} M. Masuzawa,^b F. Mawas,^a Y. Peinaud,^a D. Zhou^b and F. Zomer^a

^aUniversité Paris-Saclay, CNRS/IN2P3, IJCLab, 91405 Orsay, France ^bHigh Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), Tsukuba 305-0801, Japan

E-mail: aurelien.martens@ijclab.in2p3.fr

ABSTRACT: The physics scope of the Belle II experiment currently acquiring data at the SuperKEKB collider will expand with a polarized electron beam upgrade, as recently proposed. Among the required elements for this upgrade, a real time diagnosis of the polarization is necessary to ensure it is large for all bunches in the accelerator during its regular operation. This will be realized by inserting a Compton polarimeter in the accelerator. Its conceptual design is described and no show-stopper for its integration has been identified. An estimation of the sensitivity of the polarimeter is made by means of toy Monte-Carlo studies. The proposed design accounts for the constraint to preserve the performance of the SuperKEKB accelerator and to cope with the short time separation of successive bunches. We show that the polarimeter will measure for each bunch the polarization within five minutes with a statistical precision below 1% and systematic uncertainties below 0.5%. It has the capability of providing this information online on a similar timescale. This work paves the way towards future implementation of real-time Compton polarimetry in several future projects.

KEYWORDS: Accelerator Subsystems and Technologies; Beam-line instrumentation (beam position and profile monitors, beam-intensity monitors, bunch length monitors); Instrumentation for particle accelerators and storage rings - high energy (linear accelerators, synchrotrons)

*Corresponding author.

© 2023 IOP Publishing Ltd and Sissa Medialab

https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/18/10/P10014

Compton polarimetry

 $\frac{d\sigma}{dy}(x,y) \cong \frac{d\sigma_0}{dy} \left(1 + \mathcal{P}_z \mathcal{P}_c^{las} A_{LR}\right)$ $\frac{d\sigma}{dy}(x,y) \cong \frac{d\sigma_0}{dy} \left(1 + \mathcal{P}_T \mathcal{P}_c^{las} A_{UD}\right)$

Polarization dependent term generates a left-right asymmetry function of E_{γ}



Conceptual design

- A summary of the conceptual studies performed for the laser system and the scattered photon detector has been published recently
- A summary of the results is inserted in the current CDR draft



Figure 4. Drawing of the modified beam pipe for the insertion of the photon calorimeter in the SuperKEKB ring.

Published by IOP Publishing for Sissa Medialab

RECEIVED: September 28, 2023 ACCEPTED: October 12, 2023 PUBLISHED: October 16, 2023

Conceptual study of a Compton polarimeter for the upgrade of the SuperKEKB collider with a polarized electron beam

D. Charlet,^a T. Ishibashi,^b A. Martens,^{a,*} M. Masuzawa,^b F. Mawas,^a Y. Peinaud,^a D. Zhou^b and F. Zomer^a

^aUniversité Paris-Saclay, CNRS/IN2P3, IJCLab, 91405 Orsay, France ^bHigh Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), Tsukuba 305-0801, Japan

E-mail: aurelien.martens@ijclab.in2p3.fr

inst

ABSTRACT: The physics scope of the Belle II experiment currently acquiring data at the SuperKEKB collider will expand with a polarized electron beam upgrade, as recently proposed. Among the required elements for this upgrade, a real time diagnosis of the polarization is necessary to ensure it is large for all bunches in the accelerator during its regular operation. This will be realized by inserting a Compton polarimeter in the accelerator. Its conceptual design is described and no show-stopper for its integration has been identified. An estimation of the sensitivity of the polarimeter is made by means of toy Monte-Carlo studies. The proposed design accounts for the constraint to preserve the performance of the SuperKEKB accelerator and to cope with the short time separation of successive bunches. We show that the polarimeter for and systematic uncertainties below 0.5%. It has the capability of providing this information online on a similar timescale. This work paves the way towards future implementation of real-time Compton polarimetry in several future projects.

KEYWORDS: Accelerator Subsystems and Technologies; Beam-line instrumentation (beam position and profile monitors, beam-intensity monitors, bunch length monitors); Instrumentation for particle accelerators and storage rings - high energy (linear accelerators, synchrotrons)

https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/18/10/P10014

*Corresponding author.

© 2023 IOP Publishing Ltd and Sissa Medialab

11/12/2023

Polarisation upgrade Workshop -- (hybrid) Victoria

Using Y. Peng data, https://indico.belle2.org/event/5306/

Beam sizes and spin projection in z



Transverse polarimetry at HERA



 $E = E_{up} + E_{down}$ $\eta = \frac{E_{up} - E_{down}}{E_{up} + E_{down}}$

Tungston-scintillator sampling calorimeter $12 \times 1.6 X_0$ Two optically isolated halves, read-out on four sides

Exactly the operation that was done at HERA TPOL a long time ago.

Notoriously a difficult task but 'do-able'

- → Requires detailed simulations
- → Requires some transverse sampling (not easy for photons)

Eased by implementing a nicely vertically segmented electron detector.





Choice of scintillating material

Basic elements

- a VERY FAST radhard scintillating crystal \rightarrow BaF2, the only solution ?
- Filter for the slow component
- a PMT with low transit time dispersion (AND solar blind ?) \rightarrow commercially available ?
- Associated electronics

Table 35.4: Properties of several inorganic crystals. Most of the notationis defined in Sec. 6 of this *Review*.

Parameter	: ρ	MP	X_0^*	R_M^*	dE/dx^*	λ_I^*	$\tau_{\rm decay}$	$\lambda_{ m max}$	n^{\dagger}	Relative output [‡]	Hygro- scopic?	d(LY)/dT
Units:	g/cm ³	³ °C	cm	cm	MeV/cm	cm	ns	nm		output	scopiei	$\%/^{\circ}C^{\$}$
$\overline{\mathrm{NaI}(\mathrm{Tl})}$	3.67	651	2.59	4.13	4.8	42.9	245	410	1.85	100	yes	-0.2
BGO	7.13	1050	1.12	2.23	9.0	22.8	300	480	2.15	21	no	-0.9
BaF_2	4.89	1280	2.03	3.10	6.5	30.7	650^{s}	300^{s}	1.50	36^s	no	-1.9^{s}
							$< 0.6^{f}$	220^{f}		4.1^{f}		0.1^f
CsI(Tl)	4.51	621	1.86	3.57	5.6	39.3	1220	550	1.79	165	slight	0.4
CsI(Na)	4.51	621	1.86	3.57	5.6	39.3	690	420	1.84	88	yes	0.4
CsI(pure)	4.51	621	1.86	3.57	5.6	39.3	30^s	310	1.95	3.6^{s}	slight	-1.4
							6^{f}			1.1^{f}		
$PbWO_4$	8.30	1123	0.89	2.00	10.1	20.7	30^s	425^{s}	2.20	0.3^{s}	no	-2.5
							10^{f}	420^{f}		0.077^{f}		
LSO(Ce)	7.40	2050	1.14	2.07	9.6	20.9	40	402	1.82	85	no	-0.2
PbF_2	7.77	824	0.93	2.21	9.4	21.0	-	-	-	Cherenkov	no	-
CeF_3	6.16	1460	1.70	2.41	8.42	23.2	30	340	1.62	7.3	no	0
$\overline{\text{LaBr}_3(\text{Ce})}$	5.29	783	1.88	2.85	6.90	30.4	20	356	1.9	180	yes	0.2
$CeBr_3$	5.23	722	1.96	2.97	6.65	31.5	17	371	1.9	165	yes	-0.1

Slow component filtering



From Saint-Gobain datasheet.

Long tail \rightarrow pile-up

Requires subtraction (in average), but fluctuations remain \rightarrow spoil resolution

Solar blind photocathodes (CsTe) only reduce the. Slow component such that is amounts about 50% of the final light yield

Use UV optical filters instead → commercial products exist for instace at 185nm +- 20nm (FWHM)

Y doped BaF2 being investigated

Stefan Diehl *et al* 2015 *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **587** 012044





 Main requirements: fast (low rise time, low transfer time spread) and not blind to UV.





 25×10^{6}

100

0.8

16

0.37

100

800

Gain

Time response

Pulse linearity at 2 % deviation

Anode dark current (after 30 min storage in darkness)

Anode pulse rise time

Transit time spread (FWHM)

Electron transit time

nA

ns

ns

ns

mA