

# Chiral Belle: Polarized e<sup>-</sup> Beam Upgrade for SuperKEKB

Michael Roney BPAC Meeting February 2024

### **Chiral Belle: A Unique Opportunity for New Physics Discoveries**

SuperKEKB's HIGH LUMINOSITY drives the rich research program of Belle II and getting to the design luminosity is our highest priority

FORTUITOUSLY, SuperKEKB's HIGH LUMINOSITY also enables an entirely new, rich and unique way to probe new physics when we POLARIZE THE ELECTRONS BEAM Unique New Physics Probe into Dark Sector via Precision measurement of weak mixing angle @ 10GeV

6x precision of BNL's EIC

Worlds Highest Precision Weak Neutral Current Measurements with  $\mu$ , c and b Many times more precise than World Average of CERN & SLAC measurements Avoids LHC hadronization uncertainties

Chiral Belle Physics Program

Going beyond muon g-2 Measured at BNL & FERMILAB: Tau g-2 100x more precise than can be reached elsewhere

Worlds Highest Precision Weak Neutral Current Universality Measurements with e, μ, τ, c and b many times more precise than CERN & SLAC measurements

### **Polarization in SuperKEKB**

- Goal is ~70% polarization with 80% polarized source (SLC had 75% polarization at the experiment) producing longitudinal electron spins at source
- Electron helicity would be changed for trains of bunches by controlling the circular polarization of the source laser illuminating a GaAs photocathode (similar to SLC source)
- Inject transversely (vertically) polarized electrons into the High Energy Ring (HER) needs spin rotator just after photocathode source, e.g. Wien Filter
- Rotate spin to longitudinal before IP, and then back to vertical after IP using solenoidal and dipole fields – requires Spin Rotators
- Use Compton polarimeter to monitor longitudinal polarization with <1% absolute precision, higher for relative measurements (arXiv:1009.6178) needed for real time polarimetry
- Use tau decays to get absolute average polarization at IP

### e-Beam Polarization Upgrade in SuperKEKB

- Requires high SuperKEKB luminosity AND e- beam polarization
- Source R&D highly synergistic with other international efforts, e.g. EIC
- Requires spin rotators in HER that do not reduce the luminosity (i.e. transparent to the lattice) – high luminosity is required for Chiral Belle
- Requires Precision measurement of polarization (0.005 precision needed)

From Report of Oct 2023 BPAC meeting

#### 8.3 Recommendations

- Evaluate the feasibility of installation of another electron gun in the injection chain.
- Initiate a study on the overall resource needs for the polarisation upgrade and its downstream requirements on analysis, simulation and reconstruction.
- Develop a realistic schedule, including cost and resources, for the planned Touschekpolarisation lifetime test for 2024 as quickly as possible.
- Develop a coherent overall upgrade plan, coordinated with other Belle II detector and SuperKEKB machine upgrades being planned, with a well-defined scope as soon as possible.

### From Report of Oct 2023 BPAC meeting

#### 8.3 Recommendations

• Evaluate the feasibility of installation of another electron gun in the injection chain.

- Initiate a study on the overall resource needs for the polarisation upgrade and its downstream requirements on analysis, simulation and reconstruction.
- Develop a realistic schedule, including cost and resources, for the planned Touschekpolarisation lifetime test for 2024 as quickly as possible.
- Develop a coherent overall upgrade plan, coordinated with other Belle II detector and SuperKEKB machine upgrades being planned, with a well-defined scope as soon as possible.

From Report of Oct 2023 BPAC meeting

#### 8.3 Recommendations

- Evaluate the feasibility of installation of another electron gun in the injection chain.
- Initiate a study on the overall resource needs for the polarisation upgrade and its downstream requirements on analysis, simulation and reconstruction.
- Develop a realistic schedule, including cost and resources, for the planned Touschekpolarisation lifetime test for 2024 as quickly as possible.
- Develop a coherent overall upgrade plan, coordinated with other Belle II detector and SuperKEKB machine upgrades being planned, with a well-defined scope as soon as possible.

### **KEK Injection Linac polarization BMAD studies**

Inject transversely polarized beam at the HER injection point



- Tracking 100 particles for 20000 turns in the HER with BMAD
- This study estimates polarization lifetime > 10 hours

Y. Peng's (UVictoria)

### For SuperKEKB



For 70% polarization this is a ~4% effect assuming (overall) momentum acceptance of 0.6%

[Aurélien Martens (IJCLab) presentation in Feb 2023 B2GM and described in current draft of Chiral Belle CDR]

### **Touschek Lifetime Studies**

# Background Group has measured the Touschek Lifetime in the HER at the few per-mil level – sufficient for measuring polarization

effects which are at the 4% level

Period	Experimental Touschek Lifetime (minutes)		
May 2020	37.929 ± 0.057 (0.15%)		
June 2020	33.656 ± 0.064 (0.19%)		
June2021	27.93 ± 0.10. (0.36%)		
December 2021	24.107 ± 0.079 (0.33%)		

[Andrii Natochii (BNL) presentation in Oct 2023 B2GM and described in current draft of Chiral Belle CDR]

#### A Touschek polarimeter for SuperKEKB

A. Martens, F. Mawas, A. Natochii, M. Roney, D. Zhou, ... Institute name in English, Town, Country

#### Abstract

A stages approach is considered for an upgrade of the SuperKEKB accelerator with a polarized electron beam. In this context the usefulness of a measurement of the beam polarization by means of its Touschek lifetime is investigated here.

Keywords Touschek lifetime; beam polarization

#### 1 Introduction

2 An upgrade of the SuperKEKB accelerator with polarized electron heams would enhance the physics reach of the Bell Encycriment by otherwise impossible measurements of electroweak asymmetries and tau-sertex as its g-2.11]. The first step consists in demonstraining that the required current of polarized electron heam can be produced, transported in the linae to the main SuperKEKB ring and stored for a long e-mough time without loss of vertical polarization. The next stage would consist in actually implementing modifications to the main SuperKEKB ring by inserting spin rotators and a Compton polarimeter to ensure and optimize a longtubuln polarization the Bell El Interaction point. In order to minimize modifications to the main ring prior a demonstration that significantly polarized tectron bunches can be us stored in SuperKEKB, it is of interest to find a simple, possibly on invisive technique to diagnose the us polarization in SuperKEKB. We investigate here the possibility to do so by means of Touschek

<sup>13</sup> This document is organized as follows. First we introduce the dependence of the Touschek lifetime <sup>14</sup> as a function of beam polarization. We investigate its impract for the SuperKEKB ring. In a second <sup>15</sup> section, we investigate the present status of Touschek lifetime measurements in the SuperKEKB ring <sup>16</sup> that are presently made in the context of beam background diagnostics for the Belle II experiment. We <sup>17</sup> finally list the needs for a manimized by oldrarizon measurement at SuperKEKB.

#### 18 2 Touschek lifetime and polarization

<sup>10</sup> Toxachek described the lifetime of electrons in AdA (accumulation ring) in 1963 [2], as a result of <sup>20</sup> Moeller scattering in between electrons of a beam in a ring. Right after, Bater and Kihoze pointed out that <sup>21</sup> the Toxachek lifetime is sensitive to polarization [3]. It was then used in the VEPP-2M ring to measure <sup>22</sup> depolarization, and in turn the beam energy, by measuring the counting rate of scattered electrons [4]. It <sup>21</sup> allowed to realize a first precision mass measurement of the *J*/Psi, that was continuously improved until <sup>22</sup> it reached a few parts per million accuracy on the beam energy measurement at VEPP-4M [5]. Since <sup>23</sup> then it has been continuously used by the accelerator physics community to measure beam polarization,

<sup>28</sup> then it has been continuously used by the accelerator physics community to measure beam polarization, <sup>28</sup> also at the most modern synchrotron light sources, see for instance [6–8] and is planned to be used at <sup>27</sup> FCC-ee too [9].

In order to quantitatively investigate the effect of beam polarization on the Touschek lifetime at 9 SuperKEKB we follow the formalism developed in Ref. [9–11], where a flat beam approximation is being 10 used. It is obtained after calculations that the ratio of Touschek lifetimes with and without polarization 10 reads

 $\frac{\tau_T(P=0)}{\tau_T(P)} = 1 + \frac{<\bar{F}(\xi)>_s}{<\bar{C}(\xi)>_s}P^2,$ 

11

(1)

### Presented at EB last week

### Engagement of KEK Accelerator Team

- Met with Zhanguo Zong, Ohuchi-san and Masuzawa-san on 26 Oct 2023 following the last EB meeting (Karim Trabelsi, Aurelien Martin and Michael Roney)
  - KEK team expressed interest in the Touschek Polarization Measurement Experiment proposal & put us in touch with Ego-san, Linac head
- Met with Ego-san Nov 22 (Karim Trabelsi and Zachary Liptak in person, Michael Roney called in)
- Yoshida-san assigned to & working with us, as he is in charge of the electron guns of the Linac
  - He signed this years' related US-Japan request and prepared content for it
- Zhanguo Zong is KEK PI for related US-Japan request

### Timeline for Touschek Polarization Experiment

- Winter-Spring 2023/24: Submit proposal to US-Japan committee.
  - US-Japan Submission includes request for funds at KEK for Touschek Polarization Experiment; funds at BNL for spin rotator R&D; and modest funds at Hiroshima for further source R&D
  - Hearing will be in early March this year preparing for this now
- Spring-Summer 2024 complete planning & start work on polarized source;

### prepare formal written proposal for Touschek Polarization Experiment

- Procurement of source (possibly existing DC gun), DC power supply, etc
- Laser/laser control system with polarizer etc.
- Wien filter design
- Assembling and testing before installation; use Mott polarimeter in test setup
- Preparation of infrastructure to couple into LINAC and related alterations to the beamline
- Submit formal written proposal for EB approval to proceed with other formalities to move the Touschek-Polarization Lifetime Experiment forward
- Before summer 2025: Complete construction and testing outside source room
- Summer 2025: perform necessary alterations to beamline and install
- Perform runs at end of calendar 2025
- Final year of the US-Japan proposal is for analysis of data taken in this run (along with ongoing magnet work, etc.)

### Touschek Polarization Experiment Status

- Spring 2024 complete planning for polarized source development and prepare formal written proposal for:
  - Procurement of source (possibly existing DC gun), DC power supply, etc
  - Laser/laser control system with polarizer etc.
  - Wien filter design
  - Assembling and testing before installation; use Mott polarimeter in test setup
  - Preparation of infrastructure to couple into LINAC and related alterations to the beamline
- Yoshida-san proposing use of pulsed DC gun put together over summer 2024
- Will engage the Nagoya group who have a Mott polarimeter
- PhD student coming to KEK to work as part of Yoshida-san team on testing of polarized source in test setup: autumn 2024-spring 2025

### Timeline for Touschek Polarization Experiment

- Winter-Spring 2023/24: Submit proposal to US-Japan committee.
  - US-Japan Submission includes request for funds at KEK for Touschek Polarization Experiment; funds at BNL for spin rotator R&D; and modest funds at Hiroshima for further source R&D
  - Hearing will be in early March this year preparing for this now
- Spring-Summer 2024 complete planning & start work on polarized source;

### prepare formal written proposal for Touschek Polarization Experiment

- Procurement of source (possibly existing DC gun), DC power supply, etc
- Laser/laser control system with polarizer etc.
- Wien filter design
- Assembling and testing before installation; use Mott polarimeter in test setup
- Preparation of infrastructure to couple into LINAC and related alterations to the beamline
- Submit formal written proposal for EB approval to proceed with other formalities to move the Touschek-Polarization Lifetime Experiment forward
- Before summer 2025: Complete construction and testing outside source room
- Summer 2025: perform necessary alterations to beamline and install
- Perform runs at end of calendar 2025
- Final year of the US-Japan proposal is for analysis of data taken in this run (along with ongoing magnet work, etc.)

From Report of Oct 2023 BPAC meeting

#### 8.3 Recommendations

• Evaluate the feasibility of installation of another electron gun in the injection chain.

• Initiate a study on the overall resource needs for the polarisation upgrade and its downstream requirements on analysis, simulation and reconstruction.

- Develop a realistic schedule, including cost and resources, for the planned Touschekpolarisation lifetime test for 2024 as quickly as possible.
- Develop a coherent overall upgrade plan, coordinated with other Belle II detector and SuperKEKB machine upgrades being planned, with a well-defined scope as soon as possible.

### Some clarifications

Running with polarized e- beams will have a negligible impact on the downstream resource requirements of the collaboration:

Belle II is used 'as is': identical reconstruction and processing – and all polarization data will be used for conventional Belle II analyses

The data size does not change, apart from adding per-event information indicating whether the colliding e- beam of the event is nominally left-handed, right-handed or unpolarized

The MC samples do not significantly change since, apart from tau-pairs, the e-polarization does not have an impact on the event kinematics

### Some clarifications

For the tau-pairs, we will generate left-handed and right-handed samples as this is needed to measure the beam polarization

 BaBar has done this and published the results on tau beam polarimetry last year – clear demonstration that it will have a negligible impact on the downstream resources

Note: Chiral Belle has attracted new members into Belle II with interest in this new precision neutral current electroweak program

 $\rightarrow$  this has already been a net gain of personnel into the collaboration.

Bottom line: The demands on the downstream resources are essentially no different than any other physics analysis of Belle II data

#### From Report of Oct 2023 BPAC meeting

#### 8.3 Recommendations

- Evaluate the feasibility of installation of another electron gun in the injection chain.
- Initiate a study on the overall resource needs for the polarisation upgrade and its downstream requirements on analysis, simulation and reconstruction.
- Develop a realistic schedule, including cost and resources, for the planned Touschekpolarisation lifetime test for 2024 as quickly as possible.
- Develop a coherent overall upgrade plan, coordinated with other Belle II detector and SuperKEKB machine upgrades being planned, with a well-defined scope as soon as possible.

Determining upstream requirements are in progress and focus of this year's US-Japan request

From Report of Oct 2023 BPAC meeting

#### 8.3 Recommendations

• Evaluate the feasibility of installation of another electron gun in the injection chain.

- Initiate a study on the overall resource needs for the polarisation upgrade and its downstream requirements on analysis, simulation and reconstruction.
- Develop a realistic schedule, including cost and resources, for the planned Touschekpolarisation lifetime test for 2024 as quickly as possible.

• Develop a coherent overall upgrade plan, coordinated with other Belle II detector and SuperKEKB machine upgrades being planned, with a well-defined scope as soon as possible.

#### Will take this into account in Chiral Belle CDR

Note that any changes to the HER lattice planned for LS2 must be incorporated into new polarization studies, As these are not known at this time, the plan is to keep up with any changes as they occur in order to stay in sync.

### **Staging of Chiral Belle Precision electroweak measurements**

Fermion	$g_V^f$ (SM)	$g_V^f$ (WorldAve)	$\sigma(g_V^f)$ Chiral Belle0.5ab <sup>-1</sup>	$\sigma(g_V^f)$ Chiral Belle 1ab <sup>-1</sup>	$\sigma(g_V^f)$ Chiral Belle 5ab <sup>-1</sup>	$\sigma(g_V^f)$ Chiral Belle 10ab <sup>-1</sup>
b-quark	-0.3437 ± 0.0001	-0.3220 ± 0.0077	0.0026 3x improvement over WA	0.0022	0.0018	0.0018 3x improvement over WA
c-quark	0.1920 ± 0.0002	0.1873 ± 0.0070	0.005	0.0036 2x improvement over WA	0.0018	0.0014 5x improvement over WA
Tau	-0.0371 ± 0.0003	-0.0366 ± 0.0010	0.0069	0.0049	0.0022	0.0015
Muon	-0.0371 ± 0.0003	-0.03667 ± 0.0023	0.0043	0.0031	0.0014	0.0010 2x improvement over WA
Electron	-0.0371 ± 0.0003	-0.03816 ± 0.00047	0.0055	0.0039	0.0017	0.0012







### Chiral Belle probes both high and low energy scales

#### Chiral Belle Precision electroweak measurements

Fermion	$g_V^f$ (Standard Model)	$g_V^f$ (World Average)	$\sigma(g_V^f)$ (Chiral Belle 40ab-1)
b-quark	-0.3437 ± 0.0001	-0.3220 ± 0.0077	0.0020 (4 x improvement)
c-quark	$0.1920 \pm 0.0002$	0.1873 ± 0.0070	0.0010 (7 x improvement)
Tau	$-0.0371 \pm 0.0003$	-0.0366 ± 0.0010	0.0008
Muon	$-0.0371 \pm 0.0003$	-0.03667 ± 0.0023	0.0005 (4 x improvement)
Electron	-0.0371 ± 0.0003	-0.03816 ± 0.00047	0.0006

Combined analysis (assuming universality) :  $\sigma(g_V^f) = 0.00033_{stat} \pm 0.00018_{sys}$  [cf. SM error of ±0.0003]



More physics in Additional Material...

Precision weak mixing angle  $\sin^2\theta_w$  to ±0.0002 with 40 ab<sup>-1</sup> <P>=70% using  $A_{LR} = (\sigma_{Left} - \sigma_{Right})/(\sigma_{Left} + \sigma_{Right})$  and measured beam polarization to ± 0.005 same precision as at Z<sup>0</sup>-pole measured at CERN (LEP) and SLAC (SLD) But at 10GeV probes energy scaling of  $\sin^2\theta_w$  making Chiral Belle a UNIQUE precision probe of New Physics in dark sector with e,  $\mu$ ,  $\tau$ , c- and b-quarks



Adapted from Zhao et al., "Neutral Weak Interactions at an EIC" Eur. Phys. J.A 53 (2017) 3, 55

24

### Beyond Touschek-Polarization Lifetime Experiment

Assuming Touschek-Polarization Lifetime Experiment validates the Long Term Tracking studies:

- Complete R&D on the spin rotators, Compton polarimeters and polarized source, including prototyping
- Schedule for installing one spin rotator to gain operational experience, including dipole mode only and demonstrate its transparency with solenoid+skew-quads
- Consider when to install around LS2 and operations after LS2 under requirement that luminosity is highest priority

### Summary

- SuperKEKB's high luminosity fortuitously also enables an entirely new, highimpact and unique physics program when we polarize the electron beam
- 0.3% Beam polarization systematic uncertainty can be reached with both Tau Polarimetry and Compton Polarimetry
- Compact Spin Rotator provides solution to transparency with minimal changes to lattice AND ability to have SuperKEKB with no spin rotator (i.e. just use the dipole field) when we do not run with polarized beams – Long Term Tracking studies show minimal impact on beam lifetime and polarization lifetime
- Next step: Progressing on putting LTT studies to the test with Touschek Polarization Experiment working with KEK source team
- Conceptual Design Report, with schedule, is in preparation

### **Additional Material**

### **B-factory Programme Advisory Committee**

#### 8 Upgrade plan with beam polarisation

#### 8.1 Status

With Run 2 about to start with an expected running period from 2024 to 2028, reaching a peak instantaneous luminosity of  $2 \times 10^{35}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>, aiming for a total integrated luminosity up to 10 ab<sup>-1</sup>, the collaboration is considering a major upgrade of the detector in parallel to the upgrade of the accelerator.

At this meeting, no update was provided on the upgrade of various detector components, but the implementation of longitudinal electron polarisation was discussed in more detail. Longitudinal polarisation of the electron beam, where the electron helicity can be flipped bunch-train by bunch-train, combined with the prospect of large integrated luminosity, opens up the possibility for an interesting and unique physics program. Precision electroweak studies via the measurement of  $\sin^2 \vartheta_W$  with a precision similar to that at the Z-pole but at a different centre-of-mass energy of 10 GeV would be possible. The measurement would be very competitive with, and complementary to, a similar measurement from the MØLLER experiment at JLab and measurements at the EIC. It also opens the possibility of measuring g - 2 for the tau-lepton at the  $10^{-5}$  level to be compared to the current level of precision of  $\mathcal{O}(10^{-2})$ . The physics program with polarisation requires high statistics, with integrated luminosities of 20–50 ab<sup>-1</sup> assumed for the quoted goals. The proposal is to run with polarised beams while also accumulating high statistics for the approved Belle II program of flavor physics.

There are three key elements that are required for running with polarised beams: a low-emittance polarised electron source with about 80% polarisation, spin rotators in the High Energy Ring (HER) that do not reduce the luminosity, and a high precision Compton polarimeter that can measure the polarisation to better than 1% precision. When running with a polarised electron beam, transversely polarised electrons would be injected into the HER and rotated to longitudinal right before the interaction point (IP). Tau-decays would be used to get the absolute average polarisation at the IP.

Simulation studies of the proposed spin rotation setup show minimal impact on beam and polarisation lifetime, but these simulations do not take into account collisions. It will be important to cross-check the simulation results with data. To that end, a twoday experiment with a transverse polarised beam in SuperKEKB is proposed to validate the Touschek-polarisation lifetime on the timescale of the end of 2024. The studies will initially be performed without collisions, then the impact of collisions on the lifetimes will be measured. This would require the installation of a source of transversely polarised electrons and a suitably adjusted transfer line for the HER injection, and a polarimeter. A formal proposal is being prepared with a realistic schedule in consultation with KEK source experts and the LINAC team, to be submitted to the Belle II executive board. It was noted that the original machine configuration could be recovered with the spin rotator design that is being considered by turning off various beamline elements and retuning the machine.

#### **8.2** Concerns

- The physics goals of running with polarised beams require high statistics, with integrated luminosities of 20–50 ab<sup>-1</sup>. This implies that the upgrade would need to be made in a timely manner to profit from the accumulation of such a large dataset. It will be crucial to validate that the complication of adding polarisation does not perturb the achievement of high integrated luminosity, both during the testing and setting up of a polarisation upgrade as well as its final operation.
- Having data with polarised beams is expected to lead to more demands on resources, in particular for the physics analyses, simulation and reconstruction. It will also require a significant amount of effort from the accelerator team.
- The installing of another gun in the injection chain is not trivial and is expected to require significant resources.
- To be ready for the polarisation tests by the end of 2024 without limiting the approved programme of integrating luminosity looks overly optimistic.
- The idea of a polarisation upgrade will be documented in a forthcoming CDR. How this upgrade interfaces with other proposed upgrades to the Belle II detector and SuperKEKB machine upgrades are very important and were not discussed at this review. There is a serious concern that the forthcoming CDR (and possibly multiple CDRs) will not yet be a well thought-out overall package of upgrades, supported by the full collaboration, but rather a collection of possible options, and none of the other machine changes that are being considered will be included there.
- The full scope of the upgrade remains ill-defined. The timeline for LS2, planned for 2028, is very short. There is a serious concern that the timescale and resources required for realising the overall upgrade are underestimated and that the process is not as coordinated across the collaboration and the machine team as should be.

#### **8.2** Concerns

- The physics goals of running with polarised beams require high statistics, with integrated luminosities of 20–50 ab<sup>-1</sup>. This implies that the upgrade would need to be made in a timely manner to profit from the accumulation of such a large dataset. It will be crucial to validate that the complication of adding polarisation does not perturb the achievement of high integrated luminosity, both during the testing and setting up of a polarisation upgrade as well as its final operation.
- Having data with polarised beams is expected to lead to more demands on resources, in particular for the physics analyses, simulation and reconstruction. It will also require a significant amount of effort from the accelerator team.
- The installing of another gun in the injection chain is not trivial and is expected to require significant resources.
- To be ready for the polarisation tests by the end of 2024 without limiting the approved programme of integrating luminosity looks overly optimistic.
- The idea of a polarisation upgrade will be documented in a forthcoming CDR. How this upgrade interfaces with other proposed upgrades to the Belle II detector and SuperKEKB machine upgrades are very important and were not discussed at this review. There is a serious concern that the forthcoming CDR (and possibly multiple CDRs) will not yet be a well thought-out overall package of upgrades, supported by the full collaboration, but rather a collection of possible options, and none of the other machine changes that are being considered will be included there.
- The full scope of the upgrade remains ill-defined. The timeline for LS2, planned for 2028, is very short. There is a serious concern that the timescale and resources required for realising the overall upgrade are underestimated and that the process is not as coordinated across the collaboration and the machine team as should be.

#### 8.3 Recommendations

- Evaluate the feasibility of installation of another electron gun in the injection chain.
- Initiate a study on the overall resource needs for the polarisation upgrade and its downstream requirements on analysis, simulation and reconstruction.
- Develop a realistic schedule, including cost and resources, for the planned Touschekpolarisation lifetime test for 2024 as quickly as possible.
- Develop a coherent overall upgrade plan, coordinated with other Belle II detector and SuperKEKB machine upgrades being planned, with a well-defined scope as soon as possible.

### **Chiral Belle: A Unique Opportunity for New Physics Discoveries**

### Snowmass 2021 White Paper Upgrading SuperKEKB with a Polarized Electron Beam: Discovery Potential and Proposed Implementation arXiv:2205.12847 (Sept. 2022)

From KEK Roadmap 2021 (May 31, 2021)

"Other proposals for future research, such as measurements using the Belle II detector and polarized electrons requiring **a modest upgrade to SuperKEKB**, have been made. R&D will continue to examine the technical feasibility of such projects while confirming their physics impact."

#### The Conceptual Design Report (CDR) for the polarization upgrade is being drafted

## Chiral Belle's *unique* program of discovery-driven precision physics – an opportunity that comes once in a generation

With  $A_{LR} = (\sigma_{Left} - \sigma_{Right})/(\sigma_{Left} + \sigma_{Right})$  and beam polarization measured to ± 0.005:

- Precision  $\sin^2\theta_W (\sigma_{\sin 2\theta_W} = 0.0002)$ : same precision as at Z<sup>0</sup>-pole but at 10GeV Probes energy scaling of  $\sin^2\theta_W$  & unique probe of dark sector with e,  $\mu$ ,  $\tau$ , c, and b
  - cf MOLLER at JLab electron couplings only; complementary as they are at lower energy
  - $\sin^2\theta_W$  at EIC at BNL in SuperKEKB energy range, but EIC will have lower precision ( $\sigma_{\sin 2\theta_W}$  (EIC) = 0.0012 *cf* 0.0002 @ Chiral Belle) and only for couplings involving 1<sup>st</sup> generation fermions
- Highest precision Z<sup>0</sup>-fermion (neutral current) vector current coupling measurements by many factors for  $\mu$ , b, c (for e and  $\tau$ : comparable precision to World Average at Z<sup>0</sup>)
- Highest precision neutral-current universality measurements by many factors (e.g. b:c universality 14x more precise with 40ab<sup>-1</sup> Chiral Belle *cf* World Average)
- Highest precision tau g-2 by many orders of magnitude  $O(10^{-5})$  cf  $O(10^{-2})$
- Other parts of physics program reported in Snowmass Whitepaper

### A New Path for Discovery in a Precision Neutral Current Electroweak Program

- Left-Right Asymmetries (A<sub>LR</sub>) yield high precision measurements of the neutral current vector couplings (g<sub>V</sub>) to each of five fermion flavours, *f*:
  - beauty (D-type)
  - charm (U-type)
  - tau
  - muon
  - electron

Recall: 
$$g_V^f$$
 gives  $\theta_W$  in SM 
$$\begin{cases} g_A^f = T_3^f \\ g_V^f = T_3^f - 2Q_f \sin^2 \theta_W \end{cases}$$

#### as well as light quarks

 $T_3$  = -0.5 for charged leptons and D-type quarks +05 for neutrinos and U-type quarks

### 'Chiral Belle' Left-Right Asymmetries

Electron helicity would be chosen randomly pulse-to-pulse by controlling the circular polarization of the source laser illuminating a GaAs photocathode.



### **Magnetic Moment of τ lepton**

No effect seen in the 0.511 MeV electron.

If effect is caused by New Physics related to mass of  $\mu$ , a MUCH bigger effect would exist for the  $\tau$ **Current bound in tau** ~  $\mathcal{O}(10^{-2})$ **Chiral Belle reach** ~  $\mathcal{O}(10^{-5})$  with 50ab<sup>-1</sup> (*Phys.Rev.D* 106 (2022) 9, 093007)

# 1000 times more precise and is only experiment that can do this

Approaches precision regime in  $\tau$  sensitive to Minimal Flavour Violation equivalent of  $(g-2)_{\mu}$  anomaly and is in regime of other new physics scenarios

How? Detector level systematic uncertainties cancel in asymmetries between left (right) beams

# $\tau$ -lepton g-2 is VERY HOT Topic in Physics

# PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERSHighlightsRecentAcceptedCollectionsAuthors

### Highlights

#### **Editors' Suggestion**

#### Observation of the $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \tau\tau$ Process in Pb + Pb Collisions and Constraints on the $\tau$ -Lepton Anomalous Magnetic Moment with the ATLAS Detector

G. Aad *et al.* (ATLAS Collaboration) Phys. Rev. Lett. **131**, 151802 (2023) – Published 12 October 2023



The ATLAS and CMS experiments have separately measured photon-induced  $\tau$ -lepton pair production in Pb+Pb collisions, providing a novel probe of the  $\tau$  anomalous magnetic moment. Show Abstract +

### Beam Polarization: Can be measured to < 0.005



DOI: https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.108.092001

© 2023 IOP Publishing Ltd and Sissa Medialab

N

N

ω

5

Н

Z

S

н

 $\square$ 

 $\mathbf{0}$ 

Р

 $\vdash$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

0

 $\vdash$ 

### Beam Polarization: Can be measured to < 0.005

Covering particles, fields, gravitation, and cosmology       Receive: September 28, 2023         Highlights       Recent       Accepted       Collections       Authors       Referees       Search       Press       About       Publishes: October 16, 2023         Open Access       Precision e <sup>-</sup> beam polarimetry at an e <sup>+</sup> e <sup>-</sup> B factory using tau-pair       Conceptual study of a Compton polarimeter for the upgrade of the SuperKEKB collider with a polarized	
Open AccessConceptual study of a Compton polarimeter for the upgrade of the SuperKEKB collider with a polarized	
events     electron beam       J. P. Lees et al. (BABAR collaboration)     Phys. Rev. D 108, 092001 – Published 2 November 2023	2023
Article References No Citing Articles PDF HTML Export Citation D. Charlet, <sup>a</sup> T. Ishibashi, <sup>b</sup> A. Martens, <sup>a,*</sup> M. Masuzawa, <sup>b</sup> F. Mawas, <sup>a</sup> Y. Peinaud, <sup>a</sup> D. Zhou <sup>b</sup> and F. Zomer <sup>a</sup> <sup>a</sup> Universite Paris-Saclay, CNRS/IN2P3, IJCLab, 91405 Orsay, France <sup>b</sup> High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), Tsukuba 305-0801, Japan	JINS
A BSTRACT - We present a new technique, "tau polarimetry," for measuring the longitudinal beam polarization present in an $e^+e^-$ collider through the analysis of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ events. By exploiting the sensitivity of $\tau$ decay kinematics to the longitudinal polarization can be measured with a 3 per mil systematic uncertainty at the interaction point using a technique that is independent of spin used beam transport modeling. Using $424.2 \pm 1.8b^{-1}$ of <i>BABAR</i> data at $\sqrt{s} = 10.58$ GeV, the average longitudinal polarization of the PEP-II e <sup>+</sup> e <sup>-</sup> collider has been measured to be $\langle P \rangle = 0.0035 \pm 0.0024_{stat} \pm 0.0029_{syst}$ . The systematic uncertainty studies are described in detail, which can serve as a guide for future applications of tau polarimetry. A proposed $e^-$ beam longitudinal polarization upgrade to the SuperKEKB $e^+e^-$ collider form this technique.	T 18 P10014
A comparison       Image:	

### Consider Compact spin rotator



Follows Uli Wienands's (Argonne National Laboratory) idea and direction:

- Replace some existing ring dipoles on both sides of the IP with the dipolesolenoid combined function magnets and keep the original dipole strength to preserve the machine geometry
- Avoids repositioning of other magnets in the ring
- Install 6 skew-quadruple on top of each rotator section to compensate for the x-y plane coupling caused by solenoids

### Original machine can be recovered by turning off solenoid and skew-quadrupole fields + retune with only the dipoles

(BNL expertise in construction of direct wind magnets suitable for these magnets)

Y. Peng (UVic) with Uli Wienands (ANL)



- Left Rotator (L-Rot) rotates the spin from the vertical to the horizontal plane
- Right Rotator (R-Rot) rotates the spin back to the vertical direction
- 4 **B2E** dipoles (using SAD lattice naming convention for HER) shown above to be replaced with the spin rotator magnets

**Long Term Tracking(LTT):** Explores *non-linear* features of beam lifetime and polarization lifetime with radiation damping and radiation fluctuations/quantum excitation

BMAD LTT studies [N. Tessema (UVic) + U. Wienands (ANL)] of Peng-Wienand spin rotator solution after improving the dipole model in BMAD deployed for these compact magnets

### **Conclusion:**

- Beam is stable with compact spin rotators (5 million turns with 20 particles no lost particles)
- Good polarization lifetime of ~25 minutes (~10 top-up times) with HER energy of 7.035 GeV (0.4% [i.e.+28MeV] higher than default energy) – currently using LTT to map lifetime vs energy to maximize polarization lifetime & for resonant depolarization considerations

# History of Touschek lifetime being used to measure transverse polarization

- Touschek described the lifetime of electrons in AdA ('accumulation ring') in 1963 (Bernardini et al., Phys. Rev. Lett 10 (1963) 407)
- Baier & Khoze, pointed out that Touschek lifetime is sensitive to polarization (At. Energ. 25 (1968) 440)
- It was then use in the VEPP-2M ring to measure depolarization (and thus beam energy): Derbenev Part. Acc. 8 (1978) 115
  - Measuring the counting rate of scattered electrons
- Ex: Allowed first precision mass measurement of J/Psi (3096.93+-0.09 MeV) then superseded in 1993 (E760)
- Continously improved at VEPP-4M (KEDR at VEPP-4M: 3096.900 ± 0.002 ± 0.006 MeV): Phys. Lett 96B (1980) 214; Blinov et al., proc. of EPAC (2002) 1954

- More recently used at :
  - HIgS (DUKE): NIMA 614 (2010) 339
  - SOLEIL, NIMA 697 (2013) 1
  - Diamond Light Source, PRAB22 (2019) 122801
  - Based on expressions given in NIMA 554 (2005) 85
  - Also proposed for FCCee: arXiv1909.12245

## Touschek-Polarization Lifetime Experiment Draft Proposal

Dedicate 2 days at the **end** of the 2025 running period (December 2025) if possible, to inject transversely polarized beam into HER (**this timing puts priority on getting luminosity**)

Run the machine and measure Touschek Lifetime in the HER with and without polarization

Explore different polarization conditions (>3 Touschek lifetimes).

One Option to be considered:

Inject bunch trains into HER with

1/8 of the HER fill with ZERO polarization Followed by bunch train of 1/8 of HER with UP transverse polarization Followed by bunch train of 1/8 of HER with ZERO transverse polarization Followed by bunch train of 1/8 of HER with DOWN transverse polarization Followed by bunch train of 1/8 of HER with ZERO transverse polarization Followed by bunch train of 1/8 of HER with UP transverse polarization Followed by bunch train of 1/8 of HER with ZERO transverse polarization Followed by bunch train of 1/8 of HER with DOWN transverse polarization Followed by bunch train of 1/8 of HER with ZERO transverse polarization Followed by bunch train of 1/8 of HER with ZERO transverse polarization

#### Perform these studies initially without collisions, then study the impact of collisions on polarization lifetime: measure the magnitude of beam-beam depolarizing effects

### Touschek-Polarization Lifetime Experiment Draft Proposal

Option 2:

Run the machine for two days and measure Touschek Lifetime in the HER

In a series of polarization conditions (>3 Toushek lifetimes).

PolRun 1: HER with ZERO polarization

PolRun 2: UP transverse polarization

PolRun 3: ZERO polarization

PolRun 4: DOWN polarization

PolRun 5: ZERO polarization

PolRun 6: UP transverse polarization

PolRun 7: ZERO polarization

PolRun 8: DOWN polarization

Perform these studies with single HER beam and then with HER and LER in collision (or after collisions) to measure the magnitude of beam-beam depolarizing effects

### **Existing tension in data on the Z-Pole**



#### 3.2 $\sigma$ tension between A<sub>LR</sub> (SLC) and A<sup>0,b</sup><sub>fb</sub>(LEP)

LHC precision electroweak program limited by strong interaction hadronization effects in  $Z \rightarrow$  b-quark pairs (Physics Report 2006) But Chiral Belle is at B-meson pair production threshold, so not limited by this

Chiral Belle unique position to resolve whether this tension is early sign of e:b universality violation signally New Physics or a fluctuation

40ab<sup>-1</sup> @ Chiral Belle gives Highest precision neutral-current universality measurements by many factors (e.g. Chiral Belle b-quark to cquark universality measurement is 14x more precise than combined World Average) <sup>46</sup>



- Adapted from Fig. 3 of H. Davoudiasl, H.S. Lee and W.J. Marciano, Phys.Rev.D 92(5),2015. •
- Red bars shows expected  $\pm 1$  sigma uncertainty = 0.0002 with 40 ab<sup>-1</sup> at Chiral Belle [placed at arbitrary positions].
- Also sensitive to parity violation induced by exchange of heavy particles e.g. a hypothetical TeV-scale Z' boson, which if couples only to lepton will be uniquely produced @ Belle II and not in pp collisions.

### Magnetic Moment of $\tau$ lepton

$$a_\ell = (g_\ell - 2)/2$$

Large deviation in anomalous magnetic moment of muon:

$$a_{\mu}^{\exp} - a_{\mu}^{SM} = (251 \pm 59) \times 10^{-11} [4.2\sigma]$$
 (Older BNL and New FERMILAB measurements)

No effect seen in the electron.

If effect is caused by New Physics related to the mass of muon (106MeV >> 0.511MeV)

τ

A MUCH bigger effect would exist for 1777 MeV tau lepton

Expectation from Minimal flavor violation for more massive tau lepton is:

$$a_{\tau}^{\text{BSM}} \sim a_{\mu}^{\text{BSM}} \left(\frac{m_{\tau}}{m_{\mu}}\right)^2 \sim 10^{-6}$$

and larger under other new physics scenarios

#### Current bound in tau ~ $\mathcal{O}(10^{-2})$

Chiral Belle reach ~  $\mathcal{O}(10^{-5})$  with 50ab<sup>-1</sup>

How? Small systematic uncertainties with polarization method





ATLAS Collaboration



Magnetic dipole moments of $\tau$ lepton				
Contributions to $F_2(s)$ in units of $10^{-6}$ .				
	s = 0	$s = (10 \mathrm{GeV})^2$		
1-loop QED	1161.41	-265.90		
e loop	10.92	-2.43		
$\mu \ \mathrm{loop}$	1.95	-0.34		
2-loop QED (mass independent)	-0.42	-0.24		
HVP	3.33	-0.33		
EW	0.47	0.47		
total	1177.66	-268.77		

(Crivellin, Hofericher, Roney, Phys. Rev. D 106 (2022) 9, 093007)

- Detector level systematics cancels in asymmetries between left (right) beams.
- Precision  $\simeq O(10^{-5})$  or better expected with 50 ab<sup>-1</sup> of data with polarized beam.
- 1000 x more precise than current limits
- Approaches the precision regime in tau that would be sensitive to Minimal Flavour Violation equivalent of muon g-2 anomaly

Chiral Belle physics broader program includes:

- τ electric dipole moment (EDM)
- Improved precision measurements of  $\tau$  Michel Parameters
- e<sup>-</sup> beam polarization can be used to reduce backgrounds in τ→μγ and τ→eγ – leading to improved sensitivities; also electron beam polarization and can be used to distinguish Left and Right handed New Physics currents.
- Polarized e+e- annihilation into a polarized  $\Lambda$  or a hadron pair experimentally probes dynamical mass generation in QCD

### Precision measurement of polarization: Tau Polarimetry

**BABAR** paper demonstrates that beam polarization can be measured with  $0.5ab^{-1}$  to 0.4% at IP with analysis of taupair events by exploiting the sensitivity of  $\tau$  decay kinematics to the longitudinal polarization of the beams

*"Precision e<sup>-</sup> beam polarimetry at an e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> B factory using tau-pair events"* 2308.00774 [hep-ex]

PRD accepted and in-press

 $\langle P \rangle = 0.0035 \pm 0.0024_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.0029_{\text{sys}}$ 



TABLE III. Summary of systematic uncertainties associated with the tau polarimetry polarization measurement. The systematic uncertainties are combined across runs, accounting for correlations, to give the 'Combined' column and summed in quadrature to arrive at the totals.

al	Source	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	Run 4	Run 5	Run 6	Combined
	$\pi^0$ efficiency (VII A 1)	0.0025	0.0016	0.0013	0.0018	0.0006	0.0017	0.0013
	Muon PID (VII C)	0.0018	0.0018	0.0029	0.0011	0.0006	0.0016	0.0012
	Split-off modeling (VII B 1)	0.0015	0.0017	0.0016	0.0006	0.0016	0.0020	0.0011
_	Neutral energy calibration (VII A 2)	0.0027	0.0012	0.0023	0.0009	0.0014	0.0008	0.0010
_	$\pi^0$ mass (VII B 2)	0.0018	0.0028	0.0010	0.0005	0.0004	0.0004	0.0008
	$\cos \alpha$ (VII B 3)	0.0015	0.0009	0.0016	0.0007	0.0005	0.0005	0.0007
	$\pi^0$ likelihood (VII B 4)	0.0015	0.0009	0.0015	0.0006	0.0003	0.0010	0.0006
	Electron PID (VII C)	0.0011	0.0020	0.0008	0.0006	0.0005	0.0001	0.0005
	Particle transverse momentum (VII B 5)	0.0012	0.0007	0.0009	0.0002	0.0003	0.0006	0.0004
	Boost modeling (VII A 3)	0.0004	0.0019	0.0003	0.0004	0.0004	0.0004	0.0004
	Momentum calibration (VII A 4)	0.0001	0.0014	0.0005	0.0002	0.0001	0.0003	0.0004
	Max EMC acceptance (VII B 7)	0.0001	0.0011	0.0008	0.0001	0.0002	0.0005	0.0003
	$\tau$ direction definition (VII A 5)	0.0003	0.0007	0.0008	0.0003	0.0001	0.0004	0.0003
	Angular resolution (VII A 6)	0.0003	0.0008	0.0003	0.0003	0.0002	0.0003	0.0003
	Background modeling (VII A 7)	0.0005	0.0006	0.0010	0.0002	0.0003	0.0003	0.0003
	Event transverse momentum (VII B 6)	0.0001	0.0013	0.0005	0.0002	0.0002	0.0004	0.0003
	Momentum resolution (VII A 4)	0.0001	0.0012	0.0004	0.0002	0.0001	0.0005	0.0003
)	$\rho$ mass acceptance (VII B 8)	0.0000	0.0011	0.0003	0.0001	0.0002	0.0005	0.0003
18/	$\tau$ branching fraction (VII A 8)	0.0001	0.0007	0.0004	0.0002	0.0002	0.0002	0.0002
	$\cos \theta^{\star}$ acceptance (VII B 9)	0.0002	0.0006	0.0004	0.0001	0.0001	0.0004	0.0002
	$\cos \psi$ acceptance (VII B 9)	0.0002	0.0003	0.0002	0.0002	0.0002	0.0003	0.0002
	Total	0.0058	0.0062	0.0054	0.0030	0.0026	0.0038	0.0029

**3** per mil systematic uncertainty with 0.5ab<sup>-1</sup> of real data

(Caleb Miller Oct 2023 B2GM)

51

### Precision measurement of polarization: Compton Polarimetry

2023

SNL.

Ĥ

 $\square$ 

00

Р

 $\vdash$ 

0

0

 $\rightarrow$ 

inst	

PUBLISHED BY IOP PUBLISHING FOR SISSA MEDIALAB RECEIVED: September 28, 2023 ACCEPTED: October 12, 2023 PUBLISHED: October 16, 2023

Conceptual study of a Compton polarimeter for the
upgrade of the SuperKEKB collider with a polarized
electron beam

D. Charlet, ^ T. Ishibashi, ^ A. Martens, ^.\* M. Masuzawa, ^ F. Mawas, ^ Y. Peinaud, ^ D. Zhou<sup>b</sup> and F. Zomer<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS/IN2P3, IJCLab, 91405 Orsay, France <sup>b</sup> High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), Tsukuba 305-0801, Japar

*E-mail:* aurelien.martens@ijclab.in2p3.fr

Assraxer: The physics scope of the Belle II experiment currently acquiring data at the SuperKEKB collider will expand with a polarized electron beam upgrade, as recently proposed. Among the required elements for this upgrade, a real time diagnosis of the polarization is necessary to ensure it is large for all bunches in the accelerator during its regular operation. This will be realized by inserting a Compton polarimeter in the accelerator. Its conceptual design is described and no show-stopper for its integration has been identified. An estimation of the sensitivity of the polarimeter is made by means of toy Monte-Carlo studies. The proposed design accounts for the constraint to preserve the performance of the SuperKEKB accelerator and to cope with the short time separation of successive bunches. We show that the polarimeter will measure for each bunch the polarization within five minutes with a statistical precision below 1% and systematic uncertainties below 0.5%. It has the capability of providing this information online on a similar timescale. This work paves the way towards future implementation of real-time Compton polarimetry in several future projects.

KEYwoRDS: Accelerator Subsystems and Technologies; Beam-line instrumentation (beam position and profile monitors, beam-intensity monitors, bunch length monitors); Instrumentation for particle accelerators and storage rings - high energy (linear accelerators, synchrotrons)

\*Corresponding author.

© 2023 IOP Publishing Ltd and Sissa Medialah

https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/18/10/P10014

**Table 4**. Systematic uncertainties on the extraction of  $P_z$ , see text for details. Background modeling and absolute knowledge of the laser polarization dominates.

Source	Uncertainty on $P_z(\%)$
Laser beam polarization	0.30
Backgrounds	0.16
Fit procedure	0.080
Beam energy	0.050
Spatial misalignment	0.015
Angular misalignment	0.015
Longitudinal misalignment	0.015
Transverse electron beam polarization	0.015
Total	0.35

#### Open points

- Systematic uncertainty related to beam transport from Compton IP to Belle 2 IP
- Prototype photon detector → French National Research Agency call for funding, answer in Spring.
- Laser synchronization → possible solution found with relevant KEKB expert, relevant tests may be performed in the coming year at IJCLab and then possibly at KEK on longer timescale.

#### (Aurélien Martens presentation in Oct 2023 B2GM)

### **Alternative Energies and LTT**





### Spin motion in the KEK Injection Linac





These spin tracking using BMAD show if the electron starts with vertical spin (0,1,0) at the source, after all the vertical beam motion, it will end up with a vertical spin at the injection point, as desired.

#### Initial Frequency Map Analysis (FMA) dynamic aperture studies using BMAD – show no large changes work by Noah Tessema (UVic) advised by D. Zhou (KEK), U. Wienands (ANL)



#### Initial Frequency Map Analysis (FMA) dynamic aperture studies using BMAD – show no large changes work by Noah Tessema (UVic) advised by D. Zhou (KEK), U. Wienands (ANL)





Yuhaou Peng

Yuhao Peng

### Ring parameter comparisons with BMAD following closed-geometry optimization and after matching tune and chromaticity to the original HER

Machine Parameter	Original Ring	Rot Installed
Tune $Q_x$	45.530994	45.530994
Tune $Q_y$	43.580709	43.580709
Chromaticity $\xi_x$	1.593508	1.593508
Chromaticity $\xi_y$	1.622865	1.622865
Damping partition $J_x$	1.000064	0.984216
Damping partition $J_y$	1.000002	1.005266
Emittance $\varepsilon_x$ (m)	$4.44061 \times 10^{-9}$	$4.89628 \times 10^{-9}$
Emittance $\varepsilon_y$ (m)	$5.65367 \times 10^{-13}$	$3.96631 \times 10^{-12}$

#### Yuhao Peng

#### Single Particle Spin Tracking Result

Spin Component	Entrance of the L-Rot	IP	Exit of the R-Rot
X	-0.0000450734	0.0000066698	0.0000538792
Y	0.9999999959	0.0000926945	0.9999999959
Z	-0.0000788085	0.9999999957	-0.0000728110



59

Yuhao Peng

	Solenoid	Field (T)
L Bet	<b>B2EALSQ</b>	-4.8431
L-Rot	<b>B2EBLSQ</b>	-2.5774
R-Rot	<b>B2EARSQ</b>	-3.6084
	<b>B2EBRSQ</b>	-3.9420

- Solenoid fields below 5 T limit
- Maximum skew-quad strength is ~20 T/m, below 30T/m limit
- Maximum Ring quad is ~ 14 T/m, which is achievable

### **Compact Spin Rotator - Coil Feasibility**

Brett Parker (BNL)



- We plan to use BNL Direct Wind coil production technique to fabricate the nested coil structure.
- Results from first pass NbTi coil structure shown here yield desired operating margin at 4.22 K.
- Final coil layout requires careful optimization balancing warm-bore, intermediate heat shield, support structure and current lead designs to allow standalone cryocooler operation in tunnel.
- Resources needed to carry out this optimization
- Our R&D results will then be used as a basis for a formal request to appropriate funding agency(ies) for the spin rotator component of a future Belle II based Spin Physics upgrade of SuperKEKB.

61

### **Compact Spin Rotator - Cryostat System Feasibility**

Brett Parker (BNL)





Low Heat-Leak Support Points



BNL Design Work: Snake magnet in AGS tunnel and conceptual Oak Ridge MPEX cryostat showing warm bore, low heat-leak support structure, current leads and integrated cooling via cryocoolers.

- Basic consideration: enough warm bore to accommodate HER beam pipe with water cooling and vacuum features.
- Also need some radial space for inner cryostat heat shield.
- But skew-guad inner radius should be as small as possible in order to limit peak field (we want to use NbTi cable!).
- We are far from any cryogenic supply; so, use cryocoolers.
- Cryocooler capacity depends upon heat leak: e.g., the heat shield, support structure and current lead requirements.
- For redundancy/rapid maintenance use closed "wet system."
- We need a self-consistent pre-conceptual design to find out basic info' such as helium structure (cryogenic safety input).
- Feedback from mechanical design used to adjust coil design and ultimately validate magnetic strengths for HER optics.