# LATTICE QCD RESULTS AND TAU measurements exchange: important inputs for precision tests

Mattia Bruno



2024 Belle II Physics week KEK, Japan, October 14th

**KONKRAKSAKSA B** 

 $QQ$ 

Hadronic *τ* decays require a non-perturbative approach to QCD this talk: predictions from Lattice Field theories (disclaimer) selection of a few topics, far from complete

- 1. Lattice QCD
- 2. Rates from Lattice QCD
- 3. Hadronic *τ* decays: strange sector
- 4. Hadronic *τ* decays: light sector



### Lattice field theories

Mathematically sound non-perturbative formulation of QCD

lattice spacing  $a \rightarrow$  regulate UV divergences finite size  $L \rightarrow$  infrared regulator

Continuum theory  $a \to 0$ ,  $L \to \infty$ 

Euclidean metric  $\rightarrow$  Boltzman interpretation of path integral



$$
\langle O \rangle = \mathcal{Z}^{-1} \int [DU] e^{-S[U]} O(U) \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} O[U_i]
$$

Very high dimensional integral  $\rightarrow$  Monte-Carlo methods



# LATTICE QCD

We start from QCD Lagrangian with  $N_f$  flavors:  $\mathcal{L}(q_0, \{am_a\})$ dimensionless bare coupling  $q_0$  $N_f$  dimensionful quark masses  $\{am_q\}$ 

Sacrifice  $N_f + 1$  input quantities makes LQCD predictive typically hadron masses  $\pi^-, K^-, \Omega^$ often pion/kaon decay constant instead of  $m<sub>Ω</sub>$ 

Primary objects in LQCD are Euclidean correlators physical quantities obtained from their manipulation typically energies  $+$  matrix elements of low-lying states e.g.  $m_{\pi}$ ,  $m_{n}$ ,  $\pi \rightarrow 0$ ,  $K \rightarrow \pi$ 



## **PHENOMENOLOGY**

#### 1. Lattice QCD calculation of a quantity statistical errors (lattice) systematic errors possible contaminations from excited states discretization effects finite volume, quark mass dependence

2. Lattice  $\mathsf{QCD} \neq \mathsf{Standard}$  Model

(SM) systematic errors QED effects, strong isospin breaking effects of heavy quarks

3. Experimental precision



#### Hadronic *τ* decays **Fermi theory**

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
\mathcal{M}_f(P, q, p_1 \cdots p_{n_f}) = \frac{G_{\rm F} V_{\rm ud}}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{u}_{\nu}(-q) \gamma_{\mu}^L u_{\tau}(P) \langle \text{out}, p_1 \cdots p_{n_f} | \mathcal{J}_{\mu}^-(0) | 0 \rangle
$$

$$
d\Gamma = \frac{1}{4m} d\Phi_q \sum_f d\Phi_f \sum_{\text{spin}} |\mathcal{M}_f|^2
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{4m} d\Phi_q \frac{G_{\rm F}^2 |V_{\rm ud}|^2}{2} \mathcal{L}_{\mu\nu}(P, q) \rho_{\mu\nu}^{\rm w}(p)
$$

Transverse and longitudinal components  $I = L, T$ Charged spectral densities isospin limit  $= \rho_T^{w,0}$  $\left[ d\Phi_q = \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega_q} \right]$ 

$$
\frac{d\Gamma(s)}{ds} = G_{\rm F}^2 |V_{\rm ud}|^2 \frac{m^3}{16\pi} \sum_{I} \kappa_I(s) \,\theta(m_\tau^2 - s) \,\rho_I^{\rm w,0}(s)
$$

 $\approx$  DEGLI 5 / 19

 $(1 - 1)$   $(1 - 1)$   $(1 - 1)$   $(1 - 1)$   $(1 - 1)$   $(1 - 1)$   $(1 - 1)$   $(1 - 1)$   $(1 - 1)$ 

### Time-like processes

**Euclidean correlators**

<span id="page-6-0"></span>Rotation to Euclidean metric  $\leftarrow$  Monte Carlo methods



finite noisy data  $\rightarrow$  no analytic continuation back to Minkowski

so what physical information in Euclidean correlators?

Toy example:

- 1.  $J(t)$  scalar current w/ zero total momentum
- 2. Hamiltonian  $H$ ,  $H|n\rangle = E_n|n\rangle$
- 3.  $\langle \tilde{J}(t) \tilde{J}(0) \rangle = \langle 0 | \tilde{J}(0) e^{-tH} \tilde{J}(0) | 0 \rangle = \int d\omega e^{-t\omega} \rho(\omega)$

Spectral density contains physical information experiment → spectral densities ← Lattice [cor](#page-5-0)r[el](#page-7-0)[at](#page-5-0)[or](#page-6-0)[s](#page-7-0)



#### Inverse Laplace **Method**

7 / 19

 $(1 + 4)$ 

<span id="page-7-0"></span>Lattice correlator  $\langle \tilde{J}(t) \tilde{J}(0) \rangle = \int d\omega \, e^{-\omega t} \, \rho(\omega) \qquad [e^{-\omega t}] \to [\kappa(\omega)] \qquad \Gamma = \int d\omega \, \kappa(\omega) \, \rho(\omega)$ Inverse Laplace Physical observable

Inversion of Laplace transform is ill-conditioned problem errors of Lattice correlators amplified, tend to explode regularization scheme is required at intermediate stage regulator acts as a smearing kernel

```
A new frontier for Lattice QCD [HLT][Bailas et al][MB et al][more ..]
inclusive (=all channels) smeared spectral densities
     \checkmark high-precision
exclusive, e.g. 1 \rightarrow 2\checkmark formalism [MB, Hansen][Hansen, Bulava][Tantalo, Patella]
     numerical tests
```


#### **Lattice systematics**

1. up, down physical masses  $\sqrt{2} \leftarrow$  algorithmic + technological advances strange quark  $\sqrt{ }$ , sea charm effects if small typically controlled



2. lattice cutoff typically  $\in$  [1.7*,* 4] GeV

3. energy resolution  $\frac{2\pi}{L} \approx 200$  MeV

4. stat errs grow exponentially at long distances

 $(1 - 1)$   $(1 - 1)$   $(1 - 1)$   $(1 - 1)$   $(1 - 1)$   $(1 - 1)$   $(1 - 1)$   $(1 - 1)$   $(1 - 1)$ 

What is better (on paper) for Lattice QCD?  $s$ meared  $\rho = \int d\omega \, \rho(\omega^2) \, \kappa(\omega)$  w/ broad  $\kappa$ possibly low-pass filter  $\rightarrow$  inclusive  $\tau$  rates perfect candidate



Hadronic *τ* decays require a non-perturbative approach to QCD this talk: predictions from Lattice Field theories (disclaimer) selection of a few topics, far from complete

- 1. Lattice QCD
- 2. Rates from Lattice QCD
- 3. Hadronic *τ* decays: strange sector
- 4. Hadronic *τ* decays: light sector



### Hadronic *τ* decays

Recent first works on total rates [ETMC '23 '24] remarkable precision

1. Current 
$$
J_{\mu} = \bar{u}(V - A)_{\mu}s
$$
  
\n2.  $\langle J_k(t, \vec{x}) J_k^{\dagger}(0) \rangle = \int d\omega \, e^{-\omega t} \omega^2 \, \rho_T(\omega^2)$   
\n3.  $[e^{-\omega t} \omega^2] \rightarrow [\kappa_T]$   
\n4.  $\frac{R_{us}^{(\tau)}}{|V_{us}|^2} \propto \sum_{I = T, L} \int ds \, \kappa_I(s) \, \rho_I(s)$   
\n5. experimental  $R_{us}^{(\tau)} = \frac{\Gamma(\tau \to X_{us} \nu)}{\Gamma(\tau \to e \nu \bar{\nu})}$ 



Lattice QCD *<* 1% accuracy in isopin limit isospin-breaking missing demonstrates potential of the method



## A possible scenario

**Gedanken experiment**

Lattice spectral density (two-point correlator) fully inclusive comparison with fully inclusive experimental data known tensions in  $|V_{us}|$  with exclusive modes  $K_{\ell 3}$ ,  $K_{\ell 2}$ 



several kernels w/ similar goals already proposed [Boyle et al '10][Boito et al]

suppose systematics at high-energies

family of kernels *κ* w/ smooth cutoff

- $\rightarrow$  beneficial for Lattice QCD (finite-volume)
- $\rightarrow$  examine inclusivity problem



## EXAMPLE  $N_f = 2$

Example in toy model  $N_f = 2 m_\pi \approx 215 \text{ MeV}$ 



isovector vector spectral density

smearing w / Cauchy kernel 
$$
\frac{\epsilon}{(\omega - E_\star)^2 + \epsilon^2}
$$

 $A \equiv \mathbf{1} + A \pmb{\overline{\otimes}} \mathbf{1} + A \pmb{\overline{\otimes}} \mathbf{1} + A \pmb{\overline{\otimes}} \mathbf{1} +$ 

 $\epsilon$  in lattice units,  $\epsilon \simeq 0.1 \approx 215$  MeV



Hadronic *τ* decays require a non-perturbative approach to QCD this talk: predictions from Lattice Field theories (disclaimer) selection of a few topics, far from complete

- 1. Lattice QCD
- 2. Rates from Lattice QCD
- 3. Hadronic *τ* decays: strange sector
- 4. Hadronic *τ* decays: light sector



#### HADRONIC INPUT FOR  $(g-2)_\mu$ **Motivations**



Hadronic Vacuum Polarization (HVP) contribution to *a<sup>µ</sup>* largest error in theory prediction optical theorem relates it to  $\sigma(e^+e^-\to\mathrm{had})$ fragmented experimental situation in *ee* → *ππ*



EM current Final states  $I = 0, 1$  neutral



*V* − *A* current

Final states  $I = 1$  charged

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$ 

[Alemani et al '98] provided isospin-breaking corrections  $\rightarrow \tau$  relevant role in  $(g - 2)_{\mu}$ 



### Euclidean *τ* windows

15 / 19

Euclidean time windows recently introduced in  $(g - 2)$ <sub>µ</sub> HVP roughly map onto energy windows



#### Isospin breaking effects

#### **A possible strategy**

 $($   $\Box$   $\rightarrow$   $($   $\overline{B}$   $\rightarrow$   $($   $\overline{B}$   $\rightarrow$   $($   $\overline{B}$   $\rightarrow$ 



**DEGLIS** 16 / 19

# *W* regularization

**Short-distance effects**

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$ 

[Sirlin '82][Marciano, Sirlin '88][Braaten, Li '90] Effective Hamiltonian  $H_W \propto G_{\rm F}O_{\mu\nu}$ 

*G*<sup>F</sup> low-energy constant; 4-fermion operator *Oµν*

At  $O(\alpha)$  new divergences in EFT  $\rightarrow$  need regulator, Z factors



1  $\frac{1}{k^2} = \frac{1}{k^2 - 1}$  $k^2 - m_W^2$  $-\frac{m_W^2}{\frac{12}{4}m_H^2}$  $k^2(k^2 - m_W^2)$ 

[Sirlin '78]

 $q$ 

 $\bar{q}^{\prime}$ ′

1. universal UV divergences re-absorbed in  $G_F$ 

2. process-specific corrections in *SEW* , like a *Z* factor

 $\mathsf{Effective Hamiltonian}$  at  $O(\alpha)$ :  $H_W \propto G_{\rm F} S_{EW}^{1/2} O_{\mu\nu}$ matching required as noted by [Carrasco et al '15][Di Carlo et al '19]



#### FIRST RESULTS

#### **Connected strong-isospin breaking**

Ideas from stochastic locality [Lüscher '17][RBC/UKQCD '23][MB, Cé et al '23]



18 / 19



**Theory meets experiment**

Lattice QCD in isospin limit very precise access to inclusive time-like smeared densities now possible isospin-breaking effects relevant and next target

Pheno impactful studies require manipulation of *d*Γ*/ds*, hence:

- i. covariance matrices
- ii. "details on photons" relevant

paired with correct isospin-breaking corrections from LQCD

iii. typically unit normalized rates  $\frac{1}{\Gamma}$ *d*Γ *ds* require branching fractions improve determination of those?

# **Thanks for your attention**



## Numerical Inverse Laplace

Approximate solution  $\sum_t g_t e^{-\omega t} = \kappa(\omega)$ 

- 1. minimize norm  $\int d\omega \big[\sum_t g_t e^{-\omega t} \kappa(\omega)\big]^2$
- $2$ . define  $A(t,t') = \int d\omega e^{-\omega(t+t')}$  ,  $f(t) = \int d\omega \kappa(\omega) e^{-\omega t}$
- 3. solution is  $g_t = \sum_{t'} [A^{-1}]_{t,t'} f(t')$

*A* ill-conditioned  $\rightarrow g_t$  useless in practice

Regulators:

1. covariance matrix [Backus, Gilbert '68][Hansen, Lupo, Tantalo '19] 2. Tikhonov **1988** [MB, Giusti, Saccardi '24]  $W[\lambda] = A(1 - \lambda) + \lambda B$  and evaluate  $g_t = \sum_{t'} [W^{-1}]_{t,t'} f(t')$ 3. gaussian processes as broader framework [Del Debbio et al '24] 4. truncation to fewer time-slices (improves cond. number of  $A$ )  $\epsilon_{\text{DEGLISTUDI}}$ DI MIL. Chebyshev polynomials [Bailas, Hashimoto, Ishikawa '20] handful selection of points  $[Boito et al]$ 

### DECAY CONSTANTS



Leading-order in electro-weak (tree-level)  $\Gamma^{(0)}(\pi^+ \to \ell^+ \nu) = \frac{G_F^2}{8\pi} |V_{ud}|^2 f_\pi^2 m_\ell^2 m_\pi \left(1 - \frac{m_\ell^2}{m_\pi^2}\right)$  $\setminus^2$ experimental rate very precise  $\rightarrow$  NLO

 $\text{Radius} \ \Gamma(\pi^+ \to \ell^+ \nu[\gamma]) = \Gamma^{(0)}(\pi^+ \to \ell^+ \nu)[1 + \delta_{\pi}]$ can be computed in ChPT



IR divergences properly cancel: universal short and long distance parts structure-depedent parts more difficult in ChPT (large syst. errs)



 $A \Box B$   $A \overline{B} B$   $A \overline{B} B$   $A \overline{B} B$ 

### Masses, Matrix elements

