



Belle II: Recent results, status and prospects

Abi Soffer Tel Aviv University

KEK Theory Meeting on Particle Physics Phenomenology

Outline

- Introduction to SuperKEKB, Belle II, and our data taking
- A selection of recent results
- Status and plans

SuperKEKB collider



- Design luminosity: $6 \times 10^{35} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$
- 30 times that of its predecessor KEKB
- Key: realizing "nanobeam" beamspot size: $6 \times 0.06 \times 150 \ \mu m^3$
- Also an excellent vertexing constraint



Final focusing magnet





Belle II detector

Muon & K_L system



Belle II Collaboration

- 1208 members
- 124 institutions

Russia

Malaysia

Kazakhstar

Indian Ocean

Turkmenista

• 28 countries

Ukraine

Egypt

Sudan

Türkiye

Saudi Arabi

Somalia

Madagascar

Ethiopia

Mozambique

Tanzania

omania

Greece

Libya

Cha

Angola

DRC

Botswana

South Africa

Zambia

Zimbabwe



Argentina

Western Sahara

urkina

Nigeria

Guinea Faso

Ghana

Rich physics program

- Bottomonium and charmonium physics
- Exotic hadrons, QCD, cross sections
- Charm physics
- Tau physics
- B physics
- CKM unitarity-triangle phases (CP violation) and sides ((semi)leptonic decays)
- Direct searches for BSM particles in various scenarios
- After proposed upgrades:
 - Higher energies $\rightarrow \Upsilon(5S), \Upsilon(6S)$ physics
 - Beam polarization \rightarrow electroweak physics: $\sin^2 \theta_W$, left-right asymmetries

Run history

- Mostly at $\sqrt{s} = 10.58 \text{ GeV}, \ \Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B\overline{B}$
- Recently broke luminosity record (again): $5.1 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$
- Luminosities of other colliders:
 - KEKB: $2.1 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$
 - PEP-II: $1.2 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$
 - LHC: $2.1 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$
- So far collected 575 fb^{-1}



- Main issue: "sudden beam loss" (SBL) events, hamper luminosity increases and damage detector and accelerator components
 - Two SBLs damaged 2% of PXD gates \rightarrow PXD turned off as a precaution
- More on this at the end of the talk

Results shown today

- Branching fraction of $B^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu_{\tau} \ (2502.04885)$
- Search for $B^0 \to K^{*0} \tau^+ \tau^-$
- Branching fraction and CP asymmetry in $B^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$
- CKM unitarity triangle phase ϕ_2 with $B \rightarrow \rho^+ \rho^-$
- Search for production of a dark Higgs with inelastic dark matter
- Evidence for $P_{c\bar{c}s}(4459)^0$ pentaquark in Y decays (2502.09951)

Results shown today

- Branching fraction of $B^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu_{\tau} \ (\underline{2502.04885})$
- Search for $B^0 \to K^{*0} \tau^+ \tau^-$
- Branching fraction and CP asymmetry in $B^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$
- CKM unitarity triangle phase ϕ_2 with $B \rightarrow \rho^+ \rho^-$
- Search for production of a dark Higgs with inelastic dark matter
- Evidence for $P_{c\bar{c}s}(4459)^0$ pentaquark in Y decays (2502.09951)

\rightarrow Belle data:

- 5.8 fb^{-1} : $102 \times 10^6 \text{ Y}(1S)$
- 24.5 fb⁻¹: $158 \times 10^6 \Upsilon(2S)$

Belle II Run 1 data:

- 365 fb⁻¹: 386 × 10⁶ $\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B\overline{B}$
- 43 fb⁻¹ below the $\Upsilon(4S)$ to study continuum background

 $B^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu_{\tau}$

 $B^+ \to \tau^+ \nu_{\tau}$

- This is the leptonic B decay with the largest branching fraction
- In the SM:

$$\mathcal{B}(B^{+} \to \tau^{+} \nu_{\tau}) = \frac{G_{F}^{2} m_{B} m_{\tau}^{2}}{8\pi} \left[1 - \frac{m_{\tau}^{2}}{m_{B}^{2}} \right]^{2} f_{B}^{2} |V_{ub}|^{2} \tau_{B}$$
Uncertainty:
$$\overset{<1\%}{[\text{FLAG 2411.04268]}} \overset{<1\%}{[\text{PDG]}}$$

- Can be used to extract V_{ub} independently of semileptonic decays
- Probe BSM scenarios,
 - e.g., Type-II 2HDM:

$$B(B^+ \to \tau^+ \nu_\tau)_{\text{2HDM-II}} = B(B^+ \to \tau^+ \nu_\tau)_{\text{SM}} \times \left(1 - \frac{M_{B^+}}{M_{H^+}} \tan\beta\right)^2$$

• 2-3 neutrinos in the final state \rightarrow must reconstruct both *B* mesons: $B_{\text{sig}} \rightarrow \tau \nu_{\tau}$ and $B_{\text{tag}} \rightarrow$ hadronic final state (semileptonic also possible)



B_{tag} selection



 $\rightarrow \tau^{+} \nu_{a}$

B_{sig} selection

- Signal $B^+ \to \tau^+ \nu_{\tau}$ decay reconstructed with an e^+ , μ^+ , π^+ , or $\rho^+ \to \pi^+ \pi^0$
- Veto events with additional tracks
- Assign all non-B_{tag} ECL clusters (passing photon quality cuts [2]), to the "rest of the event" (ROE).



- $E_{\text{ECL}}^{\text{extra}}$: total energy of ROE clusters in the calorimeter (ECL)
- $m_{\text{miss}}^2 = (p_{ee} p_{\text{tag}} p_{\tau} p_{\text{ROE}})^2$: missing mass squared

[1] The physics of the B factories, EPJC 74, 3026 (2014).[2] EPJ Web of Conf. 295, 09035 (2024).

signal-side

 $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{sig}}$

tag-side

 $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{tag}}$



Signal extraction



 $B^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu_{\tau}$

Fit 1D projections



$B^+ \to \tau^+ \nu_{\tau}$

$B^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu_{\tau}$ summary





Relative uncertainties. 1% & 5%

$\overset{B^0 \to K^{*0}\tau^+\tau^-}{\text{Search for } B^0 \to K^{*0}\tau^+\tau^-}$

- Suppressed FCNC process sensitive to NP
- Involving 3rd generation fermions, where we see:
 - 3.1 σ tension in $\bar{B} \to D^{(*)}\tau\bar{\nu}$ [1]
 - 2.7 σ tension in $B^+ \to K^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ [2]
- SM prediction:
 - $B(B^0 \to K^{*0}\tau^+\tau^-) = (0.98 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-7}$ [3]

• Potential enhancements up to ~ 10^{-4} predicted given $\overline{B} \rightarrow D^{(*)}\tau \overline{\nu}$ [3]:





[1] HFLAV: 2411.18639
[2] Belle II: PRD 109, 112006 (2024)
[3] PRL 120, 181802 (2018) 15

 $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \tau^+ \tau^-$

Event selection

- Due to multiple neutrinos: use FEI for B_{tag} reconstruction
- In the B_{sig} :
 - Each τ reconstructed from e, μ, π, ρ (excluding the $\rho^+ \rho^-$ combination)
 - K^{*0} reconstructed from $K^-\pi^+$
- Require that there are no additional tracks
- Assign all ECL clusters passing photon quality requirement to the ROE

$B^0 \to K^{*0} \tau^+ \tau^-$

Signal extraction

- Construct a BDT combining
 - Event-shape variables
 - K^* and τ candidate kinematics
 - $p^{\mu}_{
 m miss}$
 - $E_{\rm ECL}^{\rm extra}$

-
$$q^2 = (p_{\tau^+} + p_{\tau^-})^2 = (p_{ee} - p_{tag} - p_{K^*})^2$$

- $m(K^*\tau^{\pm} \text{ candidate})$

- Fit distribution of BDT > 0.5 for signal + $q\bar{q}$ + $B\bar{B}$ background —
- Fit central value: $B(B^0 \to K^{*0}\tau^+\tau^-)$ $= (-0.15 \pm 0.86 \pm 0.52) \times 10^{-3}$
- 90% CL Upper limit (CLs method): $B(B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\tau^+\tau^-) < 1.8 \times 10^{-3}$
- Previous limit: Belle w. 711 fb⁻¹ [1]: $B(B^0 \to K^{*0}\tau^+\tau^-) < 3.1 \times 10^{-3}$

Signal shown with $Br = 10^{-2}$



[1] PRD 108, L011102

CKM phase $\phi_2(\alpha)$



Isospin relations in $B \to \pi^0 \pi^0$

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}A(B^0 \rightarrow \pi^{\dagger}\pi^{\dagger})$

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\overline{A}\left(B^{0}\rightarrow\pi^{+}\pi^{-}\right)$

• Isospin relations are used to disentangle the loop contribution & obtain $\Delta \phi_2$

 $B \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$

- Requires measuring branching $A(B^+ \to \pi^+\pi^0), \overline{A}(B^+ \to \pi^+\pi^0)$ fractions and CP asymmetries for $\pi^+\pi^-, \pi^\pm\pi^0, \pi^0\pi^0$
- Experimentally, $\pi^0 \pi^0$ is the most difficult: only photons
- We fit data to ΔE , M_{bc} , C_t (continuum suppression), w_t (B_{tag} flavor mistag-rate)



 $B(\pi^0\pi^0) = (1.25 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-6}, \quad A_{CP}(\pi^0\pi^0) = 0.03 \pm 0.30$

Input for future measurements of ϕ_2 with $B \to \pi^+ \pi^-$

(30



$B^0 \to \rho^+ \rho^-$

- Isospin relations: only small loop contamination in $B^0 \rightarrow \rho^+ \rho^-$: advantage for ϕ_2
- Obtain signal BR & longitudinal-polarization fraction from 6D fit to $\Delta E, m(\pi^{\pm}\pi^{0}), \cos \theta_{\rho^{\pm}}$, continuum-suppression variable





Determination of S, C, ϕ_2

- Reconstruct the flavor and decay position of the B_{tag} from ROE tracks
- Fit the Δt distribution, accounting for detector resolution & flavor mistag





Results

$$B(B^{0} ! \longrightarrow) = (2.88^{+0.23+0.29}_{-0.22-0.27}) \rightarrow 10^{-5},$$

$$f_{L} = 0.921^{+0.024+0.017}_{-0.025-0.015},$$

$$S = -0.26 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.08,$$

$$C = -0.02 \pm 0.12^{+0.06}_{-0.05},$$

- Add results from BABAR, Belle, LHCb to perform $B \rightarrow \rho \rho$ isospin analysis:
- Obtain new world average

$$\phi_2(\rho\rho) = \left(92.6 + 4.5 - 4.7\right)^\circ, \qquad \Delta\phi_2 = \left(2.4 + 3.8 - 3.7\right)^\circ$$

• Improving the previous world average

$$\phi_2(\rho\rho) = \left(91.5 + 4.8 - 5.2\right)^\circ, \qquad \Delta\phi_2 = \left(2.4 + 4.2 - 3.8\right)^\circ$$

Dark Higgs iDM Dark Higgs with inelastic dark matter



 χ_1, χ_2 : dark fermions with small mass difference Δm $\rightarrow \chi_2$ is long lived $\rightarrow \chi_1 \subseteq$ relic DM

 $m(A') > m(\chi_2) > m(\chi_1)$

Parameters [1]:

- $m(A'), m(h'), m(\chi_1), \Delta m$
- ε,θ
- $g_D = \sqrt{4\pi\alpha_D}$ = dark U(1) coupling

[1] PRD 64, 043502 (2001)

A': dark photon, kinetic mixing ϵ with SM γ

h': dark Higgs, mixing θ with SM Higgs, may be long lived

Signal extraction



- $\pi^+\pi^-$: different below and above 1 GeV

Dark Higgs iDM

Dark Higgs iDM

Results (examples shown)

Model-independent upper limits on product of cross section & branching fractions



Model-dependent limits on model parameters





Evidence for $P_{c\bar{c}s}$ pentaquark in $\Upsilon(1S, 2S)$ decays

- QCD allows for multiquark states in addition to mesons and baryons
- Many such exotic states with c, b quarks discovered in last ~20 years
- LHCb has reported on two $J/\psi\Lambda$ resonances (valence quark content $udsc\bar{c}$):
- $P_{c\bar{c}s}(4459)^0$, in $\Xi_b \to K J/\psi \Lambda$ with 3.1σ evidence [1]
 - $M = 4458.8 \pm 2.9 + {}^{+4.7}_{-1.1}$ MeV
 - $-\Gamma = 17.3 \pm 6.5 + {}^{+8.0}_{-5.7}$ MeV
- $P_{c\bar{c}s}(4338)^0$, in $B^- \to \bar{p}J/\psi \Lambda$ with 15σ observation [2]
 - $M = 4338.2 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.4$ MeV
 - $-\Gamma = 0.7 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.3 \text{ MeV}$
- Close to the production thresholds of $\Xi_c^0 \overline{D}^{*0} \& \Xi_c^+ D^-$, respectively
- Theoretical suggestions include loosely bound molecules, tightly bound pentaquarks, or due to rescattering effects

[1] Sci. Bull. 66, 1278 (2021).
[2] PRL 131, 031901 (2023).

Data and analysis strategy

- We search for the $P_{c\bar{c}s}$ states in data collected with Belle:
 - 5.8 fb⁻¹ containing $102 \times 10^6 \Upsilon(1S)$ events

 $\Upsilon(1S, 2S) \rightarrow P_{c\bar{c}s}X$

- 24.5 fb⁻¹ containing $158 \times 10^6 \Upsilon(2S)$ events
- 89 fb^{-1} of off-resonance data to study continuum background (yet good physics)
- Look for inclusive production of $P_{c\bar{c}s}$ states in $\Upsilon(1S, 2S) \rightarrow P_{c\bar{c}s} X$.
- Reconstruct only $P_{c\bar{c}s} \to J/\psi \Lambda$ candidates, with $J/\psi \to \ell^+ \ell^-, \Lambda \to p\pi^-$

Data and analysis strategy

- We search for the $P_{c\bar{c}s}$ states in data collected with Belle:
 - 5.8 fb⁻¹ containing $102 \times 10^6 \Upsilon(1S)$ events

 $\Upsilon(1S, 2S) \rightarrow P_{c\bar{c}s}X$

- 24.5 fb⁻¹ containing $158 \times 10^6 \Upsilon(2S)$ events
- 89 fb^{-1} of off-resonance data to study continuum production
- Look for inclusive production of $P_{c\bar{c}s}$ states in $\Upsilon(1S, 2S) \rightarrow P_{c\bar{c}s} X$.
- Reconstruct only $P_{c\bar{c}s} \to J/\psi \Lambda$ candidates, with $J/\psi \to \ell^+ \ell^-, \Lambda \to p\pi^-$



Signal extraction 1

- Use signal MC sample of $\Upsilon \to P_{c\bar{c}s} \overline{\Lambda} q\bar{q}$ to determine the mass resolutions σ
- Create a ~ $3\sigma \times 3\sigma$ signal region and equal side and corner sidebands

 $\Upsilon(1S, 2S) \rightarrow P_{c\bar{c}s}X$



$\Upsilon(1S,2S) \to P_{c\bar{c}s}X$

Signal extraction 2

• Data contain 3 types of background:

 J/ψ is good, Λ is good, both are combinatorial

• Assume the backgrounds are distributed linearly in the small $M_{\ell\ell} \times M_{p\pi}$ region, so the total background yield in the SR is $N_{SR} = \frac{1}{2} N_S - \frac{1}{4} N_C$



$$\Upsilon(1S,2S) \to P_{c\bar{c}s}X$$

Results 1:

$J/\psi\Lambda$ continuum cross section

• Subtracting the background, we find

 $N_{J\psi\Lambda}^{1S} = 84 \pm 11, \quad N_{J\psi\Lambda}^{2S} = 140 \pm 17, \quad N_{J\psi\Lambda}^{\text{off}} = 134 \pm 21$

• Obtain the continuum cross section @ 10.52 GeV:

First measurement



Results 2: $Br(\Upsilon \to J/\psi \Lambda + X)$

- $N_{J\psi\Lambda}^{1S}$, $N_{J\psi\Lambda}^{2S}$ include a continuum contribution
- Subtract it using $N_{J\psi\Lambda}^{\text{off}}$ and accounting for *L*, ε ratios and \sqrt{s} dependence
- Obtain:

 $Br(\Upsilon(1S) \to J/\psi \Lambda + X) = (36.9 \pm 5.3 \pm 2.4) \times 10^{-6}$

 $Br(\Upsilon(2S) \to J/\psi \Lambda + X) = (32.0 \pm 5.5 \pm 3.0) \times 10^{-6}$

• The 2S result contains 1S contributions via $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) \pi \pi / \eta$. Subtracting:

 $Br_{direct}(\Upsilon(2S) \to J/\psi \Lambda + X) = (22.0 \pm 5.7 \pm 3.1) \times 10^{-6}$

First measurements

$\frac{Y(1S, 2S) \rightarrow P_{c\bar{c}s}X}{P_{c\bar{c}s}}$ signal extraction 1



$\frac{Y(1S,2S) \rightarrow P_{c\bar{c}s}X}{P_{c\bar{c}s}}$ signal extraction 2

- Fit the combined 1S + 2S samples to the sum of 3 components:
 - $P_{c\bar{c}s}$ signal
 - $J/\psi \Lambda \text{ non-}P_{c\bar{c}s} \qquad \text{(histogram from)}$
 - Non- J/ψ and/or non- Λ (sidebands fit to $\exp(cM_{I/\psi\Lambda})\sqrt{M_{I/\psi\Lambda}-M_0}$)



(Breit-Wigner \otimes resolution Gaussian)

 $J/\psi\Lambda$ threshold



Results 3: $P_{c\bar{c}s}$ signal

3 types of fits:

 $\Upsilon(1S, 2S) \rightarrow P_{c\bar{c}s}X$

1. Constraining $P_{c\bar{c}s}(4459)$ mass & width to LHCb (& uncertainties):

$$N_{4459} = 21 \pm 5$$

- Fitting without signal, $\Delta(-2 \log L) = 13.01$
- From 4.3×10^5 pseudo experiments $\rightarrow p = 3.8 \times 10^{-4} \rightarrow 3.4\sigma$ significance
- 2. Without constraining $P_{c\bar{c}s}(4459)$ mass & width $M_{4459} = 4471.7 \pm 4.8 \pm 0.6 \text{ MeV}$ 1.8 σ from LHCb $\Gamma_{4459} = 21.9 \pm 13.1 \pm 2.7 \text{ MeV}$ 0.3 σ from LHCb

 3.8σ significance

3. Separate 1S and 2S fits to both resonances (subtracting 1S contribution from 2S):

Mode		$\mathcal{B}(\times 10^{-6})$	
$\Upsilon(1S) \to P_{c\bar{c}s}(4459)^0$	+ anything	$3.5\pm2.0\pm0$	$\overline{0.2}$
$\Upsilon(2S) \to P_{c\bar{c}s}(4459)^0$	+ anything	$2.9\pm1.7\pm0$.4
$\Upsilon(1S) \to P_{c\bar{c}s}(4338)^0$	+ anything	< 1.8	90% CI
$\Upsilon(2S) \to P_{c\bar{c}s}(4338)^0$	+ anything	< 1.6	35

Belle II status and future

- Sudden beam losses (SBLs): luminosity limit, hardware damage
- In low-energy ring and at least half the times in high-energy ring,
 SBLs understood to be due to radiation-damaged vacuum-seal grease → dust
- To fix: opening flanges, removing grease, closing, vacuum scrubbing
- October 2025: continue Run 2 until 2028 \rightarrow long shutdown for upgrades
- Luminosity projections:



Thank you!

Physics Today https://pubs.aip.org/physicstoday/online/44027



Backup slides



MC corrections 1

- B_{tag} efficiency × branching fraction is corrected using data with $B_{\text{sig}} \rightarrow D^{(*)}\pi$ and $B_{\text{sig}} \rightarrow \ell X$ data [arXiv:2008.06096]
 - Tag-mode-dependent data/MC scale factors between 0.6 and 1.1
- Differences b/w continuum MC and off-resonance data corrected by reweighting with a / boosted decision tree (BDT) of event-shape variables:



MC corrections 2

Traced to different numbers of ROE photons

40



 $B^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu_{\tau}$



Procedure validated on control regions and signal embedding in clean $B \rightarrow J/\psi K$ events



MC corrections

- Efficiency correction with signal embedding:
 - Select a clean sample of $B^0 \to J/\psi K^{*0}$ events in data
 - Remove the $J/\psi K^{*0}$ and add (embed) a signal MC decay
 - Reconstruct the event, including B_{tag}
 - Determine the signal efficiency
 - → multiplicative correction of $1/(0.81 \pm 0.09)$ for signal and correct-tag bgd.
- Use same-flavor sample to correct the ECL-cluster multiplicity in the ROE



Results

Decay mode	n_s	$\mathcal{B}(10^{-4})$
Simultaneous	94 ± 31	1.24 ± 0.41
$e^+ \nu_e \ \overline{ u}_ au$	13 ± 16	0.51 ± 0.63
$\mu^+ \ u_\mu \ \overline{ u}_ au$	40 ± 20	1.67 ± 0.83
$\pi^+ \overline{ u}_{ au}$	31 ± 13	2.28 ± 0.93
$\rho^+ \overline{\nu}_{\tau}$	6 ± 25	0.42 ± 1.82
	Decay mode Simultaneous $e^+ \nu_e \overline{\nu}_{\tau}$ $\mu^+ \nu_\mu \overline{\nu}_{\tau}$ $\pi^+ \overline{\nu}_{\tau}$ $\rho^+ \overline{\nu}_{\tau}$	Decay mode n_s Simultaneous 94 ± 31 $e^+ \nu_e \overline{\nu}_{\tau}$ 13 ± 16 $\mu^+ \nu_\mu \overline{\nu}_{\tau}$ 40 ± 20 $\pi^+ \overline{\nu}_{\tau}$ 31 ± 13 $\rho^+ \overline{\nu}_{\tau}$ 6 ± 25

Source	Syst.
Simulation statistics	13.3%
Fit variables PDF corrections	5.5%
Decays branching fractions in MC	4.1%
Tag B^- reconstruction efficiency	2.2%
Continuum reweighting	1.9%
π^0 reconstruction efficiency	0.9%
Continuum normalization	0.7%
Particle identification	0.6%
Number of produced $\Upsilon(4S)$	1.5%
Fraction of B^+B^- pairs	2.1%
Tracking efficiency	0.2%
Total	15.5%

Systematic uncertainties

• Incorporated as nuisance parameters in the fit

 $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \tau^+ \tau^-$

Source	Impact on $\mathcal{B} \times 10^{-3}$
$B \to D^{**} \ell / \tau \nu$ branching fractions	0.29
Simulated sample size	0.27
$q\bar{q}$ normalization	0.18
ROE cluster multiplicity	0.17
π and K ID	0.14
B decay branching fraction	0.11
Combinatorial $B\overline{B}$ normalization	0.09
Signal and peaking $B^0\overline{B}^0$ normalization	0.07
Lepton ID	0.04
π^0 efficiency	0.03
f_{00}	0.01
$N_{\Upsilon(4S)}$	0.01
$D \to K_L$ decays	0.01
Signal form factors	0.01
Luminosity	< 0.01
Total systematics	0.52
Statistics	0.86



$\phi_2(\alpha)$ from $B \to \rho^+ \rho^-$

- Isospin relations in $B \rightarrow \rho \rho$ show it to have a small loop pollution \rightarrow favorable
- It's a $P \rightarrow VV$ process, so the decay probability depends on ρ^{\pm} decay angles θ_{\pm}



according to

$$P(\theta_+, \theta_-) \propto f_L \cos^2 \theta_+ \cos^2 \theta_- + \frac{1}{4} (1 - f_L) \sin^2 \theta_+ \sin^2 \theta_-$$

where f_L is the longitudinal-helicity-amplitude fraction: $f_L = \frac{|H_0|^2}{|H_0|^2 + |H_+|^2 + |H_-|^2}$



Event selection

- Vertex-fit the $h' \to x^+ x^-$ and ${A'}^* \to e^+ e^-$ candidates
- $h' \rightarrow x^+ x^-$ vertex points to interaction point to within 3.1°
- Reject h' & A' candidates consistent with K_S , Λ , ϕ decays
- Reject back-to-back track pairs (cosmic rays)
- No additional tracks
- $E_{\rm ECL}^{\rm exra} < 1 \, {\rm GeV}$
- Missing energy > 0.4 GeV
- Missing momentum \rightarrow sensitive detector region e^{-} γ A' r

 e^+

 χ_1

 χ_2

 $\Upsilon(1S, 2S) \rightarrow P_{c\bar{c}s}X$

Systematics

• On $J/\psi\Lambda$ cross sections and branching feations:

Source	$\Upsilon(1S)$	$\Upsilon(2S)$	e^+e^- annihilation
PID	1.4	1.4	1.4
Tracking	1.4	1.4	1.4
Λ selection	4.0	3.6	3.4
J/ψ mass window	2.1	1.0	2.0
Λ mass window	1.6	3.2	2.7
Modeling in simulation	1.8	1.7	1.8
Decay mode in simulation	2.3	3.5	1.9
Branching fractions	1.4	6.3	1.4
$N_{\Upsilon(1S,2S)}$	2.0	2.6	—
Luminosity	—	_	1.4
MC sample statistics	0.5	0.5	0.5
$1{+}\delta_{\mathrm{ISR}}$	—	—	1.0
Sum in quadrature	6.4	9.5	6.2

- Systematics on $P_{c\bar{c}s}(4459)^0$ mass (0.6 MeV) and width (2.7 MeV) obtained by:
 - Moving the sideband positions
 - Replacing exponential function in sideband PDF by Chebyshev polynomial
 - Changing bin width in the fit
 - Changing mass resolution
 - Changing simulation of non- $P_{c\bar{c}s} J/\psi \Lambda$ background