FSP Workshop (online): Long-lived particles at Belle II 10 December 2020

Sascha Mehlhase (LMU Munich)

What signatures are we looking for?

Particles that live long enough to deposit most of their energy or decay to Standard Model particles within the detector volume or leave it entirely

Multiple search strategies can be applied to one signature, as observed lifetime is governed by an exponential defined by the proper lifetime ct

decay probability



R [mm]

~4000

~2000

1100

emerging

jets

displaced iets

What challenges do we face?

We are dealing with unconventional signatures/objects that require non-standard **reconstruction**

- Displaced vertices
- → Large-radius tracking
- → Displaced-vertex reconstruction

Disappearing/kinked tracks → Silicon/pixel-only tracking

Emerging jets / decays of neutral particles in the calorimeters → 'Trackless' jets

Heavy charged long-lived particles \rightarrow Low- β tracking and time-of-flight measurements



What challenges do we face?

Standard **triggers** often inefficient or analyses have to rely on MET triggers → dedicated LLP triggers

Standard **recommendations/calibrations** often don't apply to unconventional observables and signatures → dedicated calibrations

Unconventional signatures come with unconventional **backgrounds**

- → often little to no Standard Model background
- → dedicated (usually data-driven) estimates or rejection techniques



Standard tracking inefficient for particles

decaying further than a few mm from the IP

inside-out step with relaxed selections

In Run 2 only affordable for a small fraction of events

inside-out + outside-in tracking

d₀, z₀, #_{Hits}, #_{shared}, ...

Large-radius tracking adds another

and track seeding on un-used hits

Large-radius tracking and displaced-vertex reconstruction





FSP Workshop (online): Long-lived particles at Belle II, December 2020 – Sascha Mehlhase (LMU Munich)

Large-radius tracking and displaced-vertex reconstruction

Large-radius tracking followed by displaced-vertex reconstruction using **two-track seed vertices**

Preselection of tracks to **reduce combinatorics** (d₀ and combinations of p_T , η , $\#_{Hits}^{Pixel}$, $\#_{Hits}^{SCT}$, $\#_{Hits}^{TRT}$, ...)

Two-track seed vertices are extended through **vertex merging** and **track attachment**

Reconstruction **efficiencies around 80%** for high-multiplicity signatures over a wide range in decay radii

Dealing with peculiar **backgrounds**

Long-lived particles in the Standard Model,

Real particles from detector interactions,

Algorithmically induced fakes (random/merged vertices, randomly crossing tracks, ...)





'Trackless' jets

- Calorimeter activity with large **E_{HCAL}/E_{ECAL} fraction** and no/little activity in inner tracker
 - Targeting specifically scenarios where particles decay in HCAL, or end of ECAL
 - Without pointing information, neural networks are used to estimate the jet origin
 - **Non-collision, mainly beam-induced, backgrounds** dominate and are treated care of using per-jet BDTs to classify jets as signal-like, multijet-like or beam background-like
 - Per-jet BDT uses MLP jet-origin information, track information, shower shape and energy-distribution information as well as jet-timing information





FSP Workshop (online): Long-lived particles at Belle II, December 2020 – Sascha Mehlhase (LMU Munich)

Low-ß tracking and time-of-flight measurements

Default **muon reconstruction assumes** $\beta = 1$ and becomes inefficient for heavy charged *detector-stable* particles

Similar problem also arises at trigger level

Dedicated low- β algorithm treats β as a free parameter

Increased efficiency at lower β value and β estimate based on time-of-flight measurement

Using high-pT inner detector tracks as seeds and trigger/RPC hits from multiple bunch crossings as velocity input

Requires a **dedicated multi-step offline timing calibration** involving more than 700k elements (RPC strips and MDT tubes) using $\beta \approx 1$ muons as reference

Data-driven verification using **satellite-satellite collisions**



Other observables used in LLP searches

Time-of-flight measurements in the hadronic tile calorimeter

again offline timing calibration necessary

dE/dx in pixel detector, transition-radiation tracker and muon drift tubes

calibrated using Standard Model candles and interesting for different signatures

Fraction of **high-threshold hits** in transition-radiation detector

even accessible without tracking

Silicon/pixel-only tracking

can rely on interaction point as possible anchor

obviously there's **more** ...





LLP triggers

Also on the trigger level dedicated approaches are necessary and in use

multiple-object-multiple-bunch-crossing trigger

"CalRatio" / trackless-jet trigger

"MuonRol" / inner-detector-veto muon trigger

empty-bunch trigger / collision-veto trigger

• • •

. . .

would be good to add some inner-tracker-decays trigger e.g. by counting hits not assigned to *trigger tracks*, but within a jet/MET-selected region of interest



Conclusion

- Many distinct signatures to cover
- Many different (non-standard) observables required/used
- Significant efforts in analyses go into observables and understanding backgrounds

Didn't talk so much about actual results (on purpose), but there is plenty already and plenty to come <u>https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic</u> (LLP filter)