# Measurement of Beam Polarization through Tau Forward-Backward Polarization Asymmetry

### Caleb Miller

University of Victoria

Feb 10, 2021



## Motivation

- Beam polarization is being considered as a future upgrade to SuperKEKB
- A polarized electron beam would allow Belle II to make many precise measurements of electro-weak parameters. Including A<sub>LR</sub> for e,μ,τ,c,b

$$A_{\rm LR} = \frac{\sigma_{\rm L} - \sigma_{\rm R}}{\sigma_{\rm L} + \sigma_{\rm R}} = \frac{4}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{G_F s}{4\pi\alpha Q_f} \right) g_A^e g_V^f \langle Pol \rangle \propto T_3^f - 2Q_f \sin^2 \theta_{\rm W}$$



### Motivation

- Beam polarization is being considered as a future upgrade to SuperKEKB
- A polarized electron beam would allow Belle II to make many precise measurements of electro-weak parameters. Including A<sub>LR</sub> for e,μ,τ,c,b

$$A_{\rm LR} = \frac{\sigma_{\rm L} - \sigma_{\rm R}}{\sigma_{\rm L} + \sigma_{\rm R}} = \frac{4}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{G_F s}{4\pi\alpha Q_f} \right) g_A^e g_V^f \langle Pol \rangle \propto T_3^f - 2Q_f \sin^2 \theta_{\rm W}$$



$$\sigma(s^2\theta_W) \approx 0.0002 \\ (40 \mathrm{ab}^{-1})$$

### Measuring Beam Polarization with Tau Decays

• The  $\tau$  decay,  $\tau \rightarrow \pi \nu$ , provides a powerful technique to measure polarization.



### Pion Momentum Polarization Sensitivity

• Assuming a pure sample of  $\tau \to \pi \nu$  events



Figure: Pion momentum distributions for a right handed tau(blue) or a lefted handed tau(red)

## Pion Momentum Polarization Sensitivity

In reality it's not easy to determine the tau helicity state but most of the sensitivity still exists from just a polarized electron beam



Figure: Pion momentum distributions for a right handed electron(blue) or a lefted handed electron(red)

Pion Angular Polarization Sensitivity

Left Polarization

No Polarization

**Right Polarization** 







### **Event Selection**

Using BaBar data to develop technique

- ▶ Full BaBar data set is 513.7 fb<sup>-1</sup>
- Using Run 3 as unblinded sample (32.28  $fb^{-1}$ )
- Studied multiple tagging options
  - (pion tag) $\tau \tau \rightarrow \pi \nu + \pi \bar{\nu}$  large backgrounds from  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$
  - (3 pion tag)  $\tau \tau \rightarrow \pi \pi \pi \nu + \pi \bar{\nu}$  still needs work
  - (electron tag)  $\tau \tau \to e \nu + \pi \bar{\nu}$  large backgrounds from  $e^+e^- \to e^+e^-$
  - (rho tag)  $\tau \tau \rightarrow \pi n \pi^0 \nu + \pi \bar{\nu}$  very pure
- The "rho tag" was changed to allow multiple pi0's. This doubled the number of signal events which pass the selection

## **Event Selection**

- 1. Event passes the BGFTau flag and a L3trig
- 2. Total charge of the event is zero
- 3. Two charged tracks
- 4. Both tracks within  $0.430 < \theta_{lab} < 2.350$
- 5. Both tracks satisfy E/p < 0.75
- 6. The total event  $P_T$  is greater than 1.2 GeV
- 7. Neutral clusters less than 50 MeV are removed from the event
- 8. Neutral clusters within 40cm of a track are associated with the track
- 9. A good signal track
  - 9.1 No other neutral clusters in the hemisphere
  - 9.2 Fails the a BDT VeryLoose muon PID selector
- 10. A tag track with good  $\pi^0(s)$ 
  - 10.1 Neutral clusters with  $\pi^0$  PID likelihood>20 or,
  - 10.2 Pair of clusters with a mass between 115 and 155  $\mbox{MeV}$

## Momentum and $\cos \theta$ distributions



Trk\_ctcm\_neg





# Fitting

- The fit is done with a Barlow template method
- In order to be sensitive to polarization Tau MC was produced for a left and right polarized electron beam
- The unpolarized Tau MC into 3 statistically independent samples and then merged with non-Tau MC to produce data-like samples
- The 3 Tau samples contain 42.7 fb<sup>-1</sup> equivalent events and are scaled to 32.28 fb<sup>-1</sup>
- The data (or equivalent MC) is then fit as a linear combination of the templates

$$D = a_l L + a_r R + a_b B + a_m M + a_u U + a_c C$$
(1)

$$\sum_{i} a_i \equiv 1 \tag{2}$$

$$\langle Pol \rangle \equiv a_l - a_r$$
 (3)

L=Left Polarized Tau MC, R=Right Polarized Tau MC, B=Bhabha, M= $\mu\mu$ , U=uds, C= $c\overline{c}$ 

## **Template Examples**

Example distributions for  $\tau \to \pi \nu$ 

Left Polarization

No Polarization

#### **Right Polarization**







## **Template Examples**

### Example distributions for data equivalent MC

Left Polarization

No Polarization

#### **Right Polarization**



Fit Result



### Fit Results

|          | Positive Charge      | Negative Charge      | Combined Average     |
|----------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Tau MC 1 | $0.0010\pm0.0140$    |                      |                      |
|          | $-0.0010\pm0.0140$   | $0.0034\pm0.0140$    | $0.0012\pm0.0099$    |
| Tau MC 2 | $-0.0035 \pm 0.0140$ | $-0.0339 \pm 0.0151$ | $-0.0176\pm0.0103$   |
| Tau MC 3 | $-0.0074 \pm 0.0150$ | $-0.0184{\pm}0.0151$ | $-0.0128 \pm 0.0106$ |
| Data     | $0.0244{\pm}0.0146$  | $0.0231{\pm}0.0157$  | $0.0238{\pm}0.0107$  |

Figure: Run 3 Fit, 32.3 fb<sup>-1</sup>

### Polarization Sensitivity

- To test the total polarization sensitivity, the polarized Tau MC was split into 2 sets
- One set for measuring polarization, one set for mixing known polarization states



# Systematic Study List

- Momentum Resolution
- Angular Resolution
- ▶ non- $\tau$  Backgrounds
- au Branching Fraction
- Track List
- ▶  $\pi^0$  Likelihood
- Event  $P_T$
- ► £ Weighting

- Boost Vector
- Muon PID
- Neutral Energy Resolution
- ▶  $\pi^0$  Mass
- BGFTau
- ► E/p
- Neutral-Track Cluster Association

## Systematics Approach

Method 1: Change in MC-Data agreement

- In the case where Data and MC are in good agreement, the variable is changed and the relative shift between the Data and MC is taken as the systematic
- Method 2: Change in Data fit
  - In the case where the MC differs significantly from the Data, the MC is corrected and the shift it causes in the Data fit is taken as the systematic

In some cases both methods are used iteratively until the systematic is understood at an acceptable level

### Muon PID systematic

- To evaluate the Muon PID uncertainty the effect of switching from the VeryLoose to Loose version of the selector was studied
- In particular the shift in polarization fit for the data and MC was compared

 $\begin{array}{ccc} {\sf Cut} & {\sf Sample} & {\sf Shift in \ Fit} & {\sf Shift \ in \ Agreement} \\ {\sf PID \ Change} & {\sf MC} & -0.0065 {\pm} 0.0023 \\ {\sf Data} & -0.0035 & 0.0030 {\pm} 0.0023 \end{array}$ 

## **Boost Correction**

 Used muon pairs to look at the boost through the muon pair acollinearity





Figure: a collinearity in  $\phi$ 

# **Boost Correction**

After correction



Figure: acollinearity in  $\theta$ 

Figure: a collinearity in  $\phi$ 

Correction to MC causes the Data fit to shift by 0.0005

# Systematic Study List

- Momentum Resolution  $\checkmark$
- Angular Resolution  $\checkmark$
- $\blacktriangleright\,$  non- $\tau\,$  Backgrounds  $\checkmark\,$
- $\blacktriangleright~\tau$  Branching Fraction  $\checkmark$
- ► Track List 🗸
- ▶  $\pi^0$  Likelihood  $\checkmark$
- Event  $P_T \checkmark$
- ► L √

- ► Boost Vector 🗸
- ► Muon PID 🗸
- ▶ Neutral Energy Resolution  $\checkmark$
- ▶  $\pi^0$  Mass  $\checkmark$
- ► BGFTau 🗸
- ► E/p √
- ► Neutral-Track Cluster Association √

# Systematic summary

| Study                  | Systematic |
|------------------------|------------|
| Р                      | 0.0015     |
| Boost                  | 0.0005     |
| heta                   | 0.0002     |
| $\phi$                 | 0          |
| non- $	au$ Backgrounds | 0.0002     |
| $PID_{\mu}^{*}$        | 0.0030     |
| au-BF                  | 0.0001     |
| $E_{\gamma}*$          | 0.0016     |
| Charged List           | 0.0003     |
| $\pi^0$ Mass           | 0.0008     |
| $\pi^0$ Likelihood     | 0.0003     |
| BGFTau                 | 0.0009     |
| Event $P_T$            | 0.0003     |
| $E/p^*$                | 0.0015     |
| ${\mathscr L}$ Weight  | 0.0001     |
| Neutral-Track Distance | 0.0016     |
| Quad Sum               | 0.0045     |

\* Statistically limited

## BaBar Next Steps

- Previously listed systematic studies all completed
- Currently working on sensitivity to hemisphere definition
- BaBar review committee is formed and ready to review analysis
- BAD note finished and will be sent to BaBar review committee soon
- > So far only Run 3 used,  $\sim$ 7.5% of total
- Expect full measurement uncertainty will be:  $\sigma_P = 0.0030_{stat} \pm 0.0045_{sys}$
- Many of the dominant systematics are statically limited so final systematic uncertainity is expected to improve still