# Semi-leptonic B decays at Belle II



Racha Cheaib DESY July 5th, 2021











- A *B* meson factory in Tsukuba, Japan based on the SuperKEKB accelerator complex.
- Upgrade of its predecessor Belle and KEKB.



- a (Super) B-factory (~1.1 x  $10^9 BB$  pairs per ab<sup>-1</sup>)
- a (Super) charm factory (~1.3 x 10<sup>9</sup>  $c\bar{c}$  pairs per ab<sup>-1</sup>)



## Belle II experiment



## Current Belle II dataset



Many results presented today with 34.6 or 68.2 fb<sup>-1</sup> of reprocessed data.



- Semi-leptonic decays involve neutrinos, which is inferred as missing energy in our detector.
- Inclusive and exclusive  $b \to u\ell\nu$  and  $b \to c\ell\nu$  transitions are crucial for the determination of the CKM matrix elements  $|V_{ub}|$  and  $|V_{cb}|$ .



• Lepton Flavour Violation studies are an important probe for physics beyond the Standard Model.



Semi-leptonic decays









## Full Event Interpretation

- Implement tagging, where one *B* referred to as  $B_{\text{tag}}$  is exclusively reconstructed using hadronic or semi-leptonic modes.
- The remaining tracks and clusters are then attributed to the signal *B*,  $B_{\rm sig}$ , on which the search or measurement of a particular decay is done.
- Any missing energy is attributed to the  $B_{sig}$ .







**Infer momentum and direction of** signal B candidate:

$$p_{Bsig} \equiv (E_{Bsig}, \vec{p}_{Bsig}) = \left(\frac{m_{\Upsilon(4S)}}{2}\right)$$

Ideal for decays with neutrinos, missing energy signatures!









# Full Event Interpretation

Candidates / (0.002 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>) 90 80 11 57

0.4

0.2

Multivariate algorithm with hierarchal approach









- candidate.
- signal probability cut.







## Hadronic FEI calibration

- Calibration is required to account for data MC differences in the FEI algorithm.
- compared between data and MC.



Require  $B_{tag}$  candidate with  $M_{bc} > 5.27 \text{ GeV/c}^2$  and  $-0.15 \le \Delta E \le 0.1$  GeV.

Look for signal side lepton with momentum (in  $B_{sig}$  rest frame) >1 GeV/c.



Using a signal side decay with a large branching fraction  $B \to X \ell \nu$  (~20%), the efficiency of the FEI is







 $B \to X_c \ell \nu$ : main channel for inclusive  $|V_{cb}|$  determination.

$$\Gamma = \frac{G_F^2 m_b^5}{192\pi^3} |V_{cb}|^2 \left(1 + \frac{c_5(\mu) \langle O_5 \rangle(\mu)}{m_b^2} + \frac{c_6(\mu) \langle O_6 \rangle(\mu)}{m_b^3} + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{m_b^4})\right)$$

- Heavy Quark expansion of decay rate with non-perturbative matrix elements and perturbative coefficients.
- Non-perturbative parameters determined using the lepton energy or hadronic mass moments of  $B \rightarrow X_c \ell \nu$
- $B \to D^{(*)} \ell \nu$ : main channel for exclusive  $|V_{cb}|$  determination:
  - Clean experimental modes with low background.
  - Decay rate requires input on the form factor parametrization.

 $|V_{cb}| = (42.2 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-3}$  (inclusive) PDG value  $|V_{cb}| = (39.5 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$  (exclusive) PDG value

 $h \rightarrow c \ell \nu$ 









# Tagged Exclusive $B^0$

- Clean mode for testing FEI calibration and Belle II analysis chain.
- Identify  $B_{tag}$  candidate with  $M_{bc}$ >5.27 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>  $-0.15 < \Delta E < 0.1$  and FEI signal probability >0.001.
- Reconstruct D<sup>0</sup> meson from oppositely charged tracks and form D\*+ with 0.143 <  $\Delta M$  < 0.148 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>
- Identify high momentum lepton with  $p_1^* > 1.0$  GeV and determine  $M_{miss}^2$

$$m_{\rm miss}^2 = \left( p_{e^+ e^-} - p_{B_{\rm tag}} - p_{D^*} - p_{\ell} \right)^2$$

Extract signal yield using a fit to signal + background:

 $\mathscr{B}(\bar{B}^0 \to D^{*+}\ell\nu_{\ell}) = (4.51 \pm 0.41_{stat} \pm 0.27_{syst} \pm 0.45_{\pi_s})\%$ 

In agreement with world average  $\mathscr{B}(\bar{B}^0 \to D^{*+} \ell \nu_{\ell}) = (5.05 \pm 0.14) \%$ 







Extract signal yield with a fit to  $cos\theta_{BY}$ , where  $Y = D * \ell$ .













## **Flagship decay for exclusive V**<sub>cb</sub> measurements!

Reconstruct  $D^0 \to K^- \pi^+$ . Identify lepton using PID algorithms. Suppress  $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$  events using p<sub>D\*</sub><2.4 GeV/c and R<sub>2</sub> <0.3

**Apply D\* veto by combining D candidates with:**  $\pi_s^+$  and exclude  $\Delta m \in [0.144, 0.148]$  GeV/c<sup>2</sup>  $\gamma, \pi_s^0$  and exclude  $\Delta m \in [0.141, 0.146]$  GeV/c<sup>2</sup>



 $B^- \to D^0 \ell \nu$ 



## First measurement at Belle II !







- Heavy Quark Expansion (HQE) in powers of  $1/m_h$
- Determine parameters of HQE using moments of the differential rate.

$$\langle E^n \rangle_{\text{cut}} = \frac{\int_{\boldsymbol{E}_{\ell} > \boldsymbol{E}_{\text{cut}}} d\boldsymbol{E}_{\ell} \, \boldsymbol{E}_{\ell}^n \, \frac{d\Gamma}{d\boldsymbol{E}_{\ell}}}{\int_{\boldsymbol{E}_{\ell} > \boldsymbol{E}_{\text{cut}}} d\boldsymbol{E}_{\ell} \, \frac{d\Gamma}{d\boldsymbol{E}_{\ell}}} \qquad \langle (M_X^2)^n \rangle_{\text{cut}} = \frac{2\pi}{2\pi} \mu_{\boldsymbol{K}}^2, \mu_{\boldsymbol{G}}^2, \rho_{\boldsymbol{D}}^3$$

Using the branching fraction, determine  $|V_{ch}|$ lacksquare $\operatorname{Br}(\bar{B} \to X_c \ell \bar{\nu}) \propto \underbrace{|V_{cb}|^2}_{\tau_B} \left[ \Gamma_0 + \Gamma_{\mu_\pi} \frac{\mu_\pi^2}{m_h^2} + \Gamma_{\mu_G} \frac{\mu_G^2}{m_h^2} + \Gamma_{\rho_D} \frac{\rho_D^3}{m_h^3} \right]$ 

Inclusive  $B \rightarrow X_{c} \ell \nu$ 

 $\frac{\int_{\boldsymbol{E}_{\ell} > \boldsymbol{E}_{\text{cut}}} dM_X^2 (M_X^2)^n \frac{d\Gamma}{dM_X^2}}{\int_{\boldsymbol{E}_{\ell} > \boldsymbol{E}_{\text{cut}}} dM_X^2 \frac{d\Gamma}{dM_X^2}} \qquad R^*(\boldsymbol{E}_{\text{cut}}) = \frac{\int_{\boldsymbol{E}_{\ell} > \boldsymbol{E}_{\text{cut}}} d\boldsymbol{E}_{\ell} \frac{d\Gamma}{d\boldsymbol{E}_{\ell}}}{\int_{\boldsymbol{0}} d\boldsymbol{E}_{\ell} \frac{d\Gamma}{d\boldsymbol{E}_{\ell}}}$  $\rho_{LS}^3, m_b, (m_c)$ 

	Kinetic scheme	1S scheme
<i>O</i> (1)	$m_b, m_c$	m <sub>b</sub>
$O(1/m_b^2)$	$\mu_\pi^2, \mu_G^2$	$\lambda_1,\lambda_2$
$O(1/m_b^3)$	$ ho_D^3, ho_{LS}^3$	$ ho_1, au_{1-3}$

JHEP 1109 055 (2011) Phys. Rev. D 70, 094017 (2004)







$$\langle M_{\rm X}^n \rangle = \frac{\sum_i w_i(M_{\rm X}) M_{{\rm X},{\rm calib},i}^n}{\sum_i w_i(M_{\rm X})} \times \mathcal{C}_{\rm calib} \times \mathcal{C}_{\rm true}$$
  
Calibration Bias

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Hadronic Mass Moments of $B \to X_c \ell \nu^{\text{arx}}$

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_3.jpeg)

Phys. Rev. D 75, 032005, 2007 BABAR-CONF-07/003 arXiv:0707.2670

![](_page_15_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

Achieve more precision by including higher order:

$$\Gamma \propto |V_{cb}|^2 m_b^5 \left[ \Gamma_0 + \Gamma_0^{(1)} \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} + \Gamma_0^{(2)} \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^2 + \frac{\mu_\pi^2}{m_b^2} \left(\Gamma^{(\pi,0)} + \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \Gamma^{(\pi,1)}\right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\mu_G^2}{m_b^2} \left(\Gamma^{(G,0)} + \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \Gamma^{(G,1)}\right) + \frac{\rho_D^3}{m_b^3} \Gamma^{(D,0)} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{m_b^4}\right) \cdots \right)$$

- Number of parameters: 4 up to  $1/m_h^3$ , 13 up to  $1/m_h^4$  and 31 up to  $1/m_h^5$
- Use reparametrization invariance to link different orders of 1/mb and reduce the number of total parameters
- Requires RPI observables such as q<sup>2</sup>

- $2M_B r_G^4 \equiv \frac{1}{2} \langle B | \bar{b}_v [iD_\mu, iD_\nu] [iD^\mu, iD^\nu] b_v | B \rangle \propto \langle \vec{E}^2 \vec{B}^2 \rangle$
- $2M_B r_E^4 \equiv \frac{1}{2} \langle B | \bar{b}_v [ivD, iD_\mu] [ivD, iD^\mu] b_v | B \rangle \propto \langle \vec{E}^2 \rangle$
- $2M_B s_B^4 \equiv \frac{1}{2} \langle B | \bar{b}_v [i D_\mu, i D_\alpha] [i D^\mu, i D_\beta] (-i \sigma^{\alpha \beta}) b_v | B \rangle \propto \langle \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B} \times \vec{B} \rangle$
- HQE expressed in higher order terms

$$Br(\bar{B} \to X_{c} \ell \bar{\nu}) \propto \frac{|V_{cb}|^{2}}{\tau_{B}} \left[ \Gamma_{\mu_{3}} \mu_{3} + \Gamma_{\mu_{G}} \frac{\mu_{G}^{2}}{m_{b}^{2}} + \Gamma_{\tilde{\rho}_{D}} \frac{\tilde{\rho}_{D}^{3}}{m_{b}^{3}} \right. \\ \left. + \Gamma_{r_{E}} \frac{r_{E}^{4}}{m_{b}^{4}} + \Gamma_{r_{G}} \frac{r_{G}^{4}}{m_{b}^{4}} + \Gamma_{s_{B}} \frac{s_{B}^{4}}{m_{b}^{4}} + \Gamma_{s_{E}} \frac{s_{E}^{4}}{m_{b}^{4}} + \Gamma_{s_{qB}} \frac{s_{qB}^{4}}{m_{b}^{4}} \right]$$

## Alternative Inclusive IV<sub>cb</sub>

![](_page_16_Picture_14.jpeg)

Fael, Mannel, Vos, JHEP 02 (2019) 177

## 8 parameters instead of 13 !

$$\left\langle (q^2)^n \right\rangle_{\text{cut}} = \int_{q^2 > q_{\text{cut}}}^2 dq^2 (q^2)^n \frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2} \bigg/ \int_{q^2 > q_{\text{cut}}}^2 dq^2$$
$$R^*(q_{\text{cut}}^2) = \int_{q^2 > q_{\text{cut}}}^2 dq^2 \frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2} \bigg/ \int_0^2 dq^2 \frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2}$$

 $\mu_3, \mu_G, \tilde{\rho}_D, r_E, r_G, s_E, s_B, s_{aB}, m_b, m_c$ 

Determine moments and use it determine |V<sub>cb</sub>|

![](_page_16_Picture_22.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_23.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

- $\bullet$ from rest-of-event (ROE).
- resolution.
- normalization and determine signal probability

![](_page_17_Figure_6.jpeg)

Untagged analysis in progress and targeting summer 2021.

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Experimentally challenging due to dominant  $b \rightarrow c \ell \nu$  background.
- Only certain kinematic regions allow for clean separation: lepton momentum endpoint spectrum or low m<sub>x</sub>.
- $B \to X_u \ell \nu$  is used for inclusive  $|V_{ub}|$  measurement.
  - Precision of (~7%)
  - Operator Product Expansion (OPE) = Heavy Quark Expansion.
  - HQE breaks down and a non-perturbative shape function is

$$d\Gamma = d\Gamma_0 + d\Gamma_2 \left(\frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}{m_b}\right)^2 + d\Gamma_3 \left(\frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}{m_b}\right)^3 + d\Gamma_4 \left(\frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}{m_b}\right)^4$$

- $B \rightarrow \pi \ell \nu$  is used for exclusive  $|V_{ub}|$  measurement.
  - Most precise determination of |V<sub>ub</sub>| (~4%)
  - Requires form factor determination: non-perturbative from lattice QCD (high  $q^2$ ) or LCSR ( $q^2 \sim 0$ ).

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2} = \frac{G_F^2 |V_{ub}|^2}{24\pi^3} |p_\pi|^3 |f_+(q^2)|^2$$

![](_page_18_Figure_13.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Hybrid Modeling for $B \to X_{\mu} \ell \nu$

- Non-resonant component overestimated in generic Belle II MC
- Use hybrid modeling instead, where the non-resonant component is weighted down such that the total number of events matches the inclusive rate:

 $H_i = R_i + w_i I_i$ 

- $H_i$ : total number of hybrid events per bin  $R_i$ : number of resonant events per bin w<sub>i</sub>: hybrid weight per bin
- $I_i$ : number of non-resonant events per bin
- Re-weighting done via eFFORT, in 3D bins of  $E_R, m_X, q^2$ 
  - $E_R$  :lepton energy in Bsig frame
  - $M_X$ : mass of hadronic system X
  - $q^2$ : 4-momentum transfer to leptonic system

Phys. Rev. D 41, 1496, 1990

![](_page_19_Picture_18.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Inclusive $B \to X_{\mu} e \bar{\nu}_{\rho}$

- Measurement of  $|V_{ub}|$  in the lepton endpoint momentum spectrum.
  - Identify one lepton in the event using Particle Identification algorithms.
  - Suppress continuum using multi-variate Boosted Decision Tree trained with event shape variables.
  - In progress: train MVA to distinguish  $b \rightarrow u$  from  $b \rightarrow c$  events based on  $M_X^2$ or rest-of-event variables.

![](_page_20_Figure_6.jpeg)

 $<\pi$ 

Untagged

Isotropic

B

e

B

![](_page_20_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Measured in 5 bins of  $q^2 = (p_B p_\pi)^2$  to extract  $|V_{ub}|$ .
- Identify pion and lepton using PID algorithm with vertex fit to parent B.
- Suppress continuum using multivariate Boosted Decision Trees trained in each  $q^2$  bin.
- Constrain background from simultaneous fit to  $p_1$  in sideband region.
- Signal extraction from a 3D fit to  $\Delta E, M_{bc}, q^2$

![](_page_21_Figure_7.jpeg)

Untagged  $B \rightarrow \pi \ell \nu$ 

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Tagged Exclusive $B^0$

- FEI hadronic tagging to measure  $\mathscr{B}(B^0 \to \pi^- \ell \nu)$ .
- Identify oppositely charged lepton,  $p_e > 0.3$  and  $p_{\mu} > 0.6$  GeV/c, and pion using PID algorithms.
- Suppress continuum using FoxWolfram moment R2.
- Apply  $E_{miss}$  > 0.3 and  $E_{residual}$  < 1.0 GeV.

$$p_{miss} \equiv (E_{miss}, \vec{p}_{miss}) = p_{Bsig} - p_Y$$

• Analysis performed blinded in the signal region  $M_{miss}^2 \leq 1 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4$ .

$$\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \pi^- \ell \nu) | (1.58 \pm 0.43_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.07_{\text{sys}}) |$$

In agreement with world average.

Extract signal yields in bins of  $q^2$  and determine  $|V_{ub}|$ . Similar effort in channels:  $B \to X \ell \nu, X = \pi^0, \rho^+ \rho^0$ 

Sive  $B^0 \to \pi^- \ell \nu_{\ell}$ 0.6 GeV/c,  $B^{0} \to \pi^- \ell \nu_{\ell}$ 

![](_page_22_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

Persistent deviation from Standard Model prediction, measured by **Belle, BaBar and LHCb.** 

![](_page_23_Figure_3.jpeg)

# R(D) and $R(D^*)$

- $W^{-}/H^{-} \xrightarrow{\overline{\nu}_{\tau}} \overline{\overline{\nu}_{\tau}}$   $\overline{B}\left\{\begin{array}{c} b \\ \overline{a} \end{array}\right\} \xrightarrow{\overline{\rho}} \overline{\overline{\rho}} \xrightarrow{\overline{c}} B \\ \overline{a} \end{array}\right\} D^{(*)}$
- Current ongoing analyses with FEI hadronic tagging:
  - Leptonic tau decays

R(D)

![](_page_23_Figure_13.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Separation between signal, normalization and background modes can be established with  $E_{ECL}$ , sum energy of all neutral deposits in the event not related to the  $B_{sig}$  or  $B_{tag}$ reconstruction.
- At Belle II, beam backgrounds contribute to E<sub>ECL</sub> and dilute separation between signal and background.

![](_page_24_Figure_4.jpeg)

Work in progress for further optimization and suppression of hadronic split-offs as well.

Use  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$  data events and examine the cluster shape and energy distribution of energy deposits related to beam backgrounds.

Train MVA to suppress beam background contributions in E<sub>ECL</sub>.

![](_page_24_Figure_10.jpeg)

 $E_{\rm ECL}$ Background Normalization

![](_page_24_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_13.jpeg)

# $B \to X\ell\nu \text{ Prospects at Belle II}$

- With 1 ab<sup>-1</sup> size dataset, the limitation will mainly be systematic.
  - Improved tracking, PID and vertexing tools.
- Improved tagging techniques Full Event Interpretation( see backup) is expected to increase efficiency by ~2%
- Improved measurements for  $N_{B\bar{B}}$  and  $f^{+0}$
- Achieve higher precision in the measurements of the moments for inclusive  $|V_{cb}|$ .
  - Valuable input for theory!
- Provide complementary kinetic information by measuring other single differential spectra, such as the hadronic energy or  $q^2$ .
  - Work already in progress.
- Improved measurements of  $B \to D^{**}\ell\nu$  with 1 ab<sup>-1</sup>
- For inclusive |Vub|, ,maximize shape function information by measuring a large number of differential spectra
- Global fit to the full spectrum, combining  $B \to X_u \ell \nu$  and  $B \to X_s \gamma$ with constraints on HQE parameters from  $B \to X_c \ell \nu$ simultaneously.

![](_page_25_Figure_12.jpeg)

	Statistical	Systematic	Total Exp	Theory	
		(reducible, irreducible)			
$ V_{ub} $ exclusive (had. tagged)					
$711 { m ~fb}^{-1}$	3.0	(2.3,  1.0)	3.8	7.0	
$5 \text{ ab}^{-1}$	1.1	(0.9,  1.0)	1.8	1.7	
$50 \text{ ab}^{-1}$	0.4	(0.3,  1.0)	1.2	0.9	
$ V_{ub} $ exclusive (untagged)					
$605 \text{ fb}^{-1}$	1.4	(2.1,  0.8)	2.7	7.0	
$5 \text{ ab}^{-1}$	1.0	(0.8, 0.8)	1.2	1.7	
$50 \text{ ab}^{-1}$	0.3	(0.3, 0.8)	0.9	0.9	

![](_page_25_Figure_15.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_16.jpeg)

## Conclusion

- $B \to D^{(*)} \ell \nu$  and exclusive  $|V_{ch}|$ :
  - Work in progress at Belle II for improved precision in  $B \to D\ell\nu$  and  $B \to D^*\ell\nu$  results.
  - Expected first |Vcbl measurement by EPS 2021 for untagged  $B \rightarrow D^* \ell \nu$ .
- $B \to X_c \ell \nu$  and inclusive  $|V_{ch}|$ :
  - Novel  $q^2$  moments to be measured at Belle II using tagged and untagged approaches.
  - First results expected by EPS2021.
- $B \to \pi, \rho, \eta \ell \nu$  and exclusive  $|V_{\mu b}|$ 
  - Upcoming results on untagged  $B \rightarrow \pi \ell \nu$  for Fall 2021.
  - Work in progress at Belle II for improved precision in  $B \to \pi \ell \nu$  and  $B \to \rho \ell \nu$ , results by EPS 2021.
- $B \to X_{\mu} \ell \nu$  and inclusive  $|V_{\mu b}|$ :
  - Work in progress at Belle II for first results using lepton endpoint spectrum analysis.

![](_page_26_Picture_16.jpeg)

Back up

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Algorithm has been successfully applied to the $\Upsilon(5S)$ resonance.

![](_page_28_Figure_3.jpeg)

tags at Belle II!

![](_page_28_Figure_5.jpeg)

## FEI prospects • Exploring deep extensions of the FEI.

![](_page_28_Figure_8.jpeg)

• We can look forward to exciting physics results from the growing number of B

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Inclusive $B \to X_u \ell \nu$ at Belle II

- Maximize shape function information by measuring a large number of differential spectra
- Global fit to the full spectrum, combining  $B \to X_u \ell \nu$  and  $B \to X_s \gamma$ with constraints on HQE parameters from  $B \to X_c \ell \nu$  simultaneously
- This has been demonstrated by SIMBA, Analysis of *B*-Meson,
   Inclusive Spectra, group.

	Statistical	Systematic (reducible_irreducible)	Total Exp	Theor
$ V_{ub} $ inclusive	- 1	(reducible, meddelble)		
$605 \text{ fb}^{-1} \text{ (old } B \text{ tag)}$	4.5	(3.7, 1.6)	6.0	2.5 - 4.5
$5 \text{ ab}^{-1}$	1.1	(1.3, 1.6)	2.3	2.5 - 4.5
$50 \text{ ab}^{-1}$	0.4	(0.4, 1.6)	1.7	2.5 - 4.5

- Systematic uncertainties related to tracking and PID will be improved by Belle II upgrades:
  - New and improved PID in the barrel region (time of propagation counter)
  - Smaller drift chamber cell size .
  - Improved detector performance

![](_page_29_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_0.jpeg)

# Hadronic FEI Systematics

Sou

Channel	Fit Model	${\cal B}(B^{0/+}  o X \ell  u)$	Lepton ID	Fit Stat.	Tracking	MC Stat.	$D^*\ell u$ FF	$D\ell u$ FF
$B^+e^-$	2.67	2.09	0.76	0.93	0.91	0.39	0.41	0.06
$B^+\mu^-$	2.93	2.1	2.13	0.86	0.91	0.37	0.38	0.06
$B^0 e^-$	3.72	2.1	0.73	1.22	0.91	0.62	0.43	0.07
$B^0\mu^-$	3.17	2.09	2.13	1.19	0.91	0.6	0.41	0.06

irces	of	uncertaintv	in	%	
	•	anooneanney			

# **Diamond Frame definition**

- q<sup>2</sup> reconstructed using
   Diamond Frame method
- Takes a weighted average over four different possible configurations of the B direction

## $q^2 = (p_B - p_\pi)^2$

![](_page_32_Figure_4.jpeg)