

Systematic effects simulation studies for an electron beam polarimeter for SuperKEKB

Farah MAWAS

Aurélien MARTENS

16/07/2021

Systematic effects simulation studies for an electron beam polarimeter for SuperKEKB

Introduction

Current status: Several sensitivity studies shown in the past Look-up tables (LUTs) introduced to fasten the fit procedure

Purpose of today's presentation:

- a) Reminder of Compton scattering and cross section
- b) MC sensitivity studies reviewed with LUTs
- c) Detector misalignment
- d) Beam jittering related to top-up injection
- e) Backgrounds

Compton backscattering



Compton backscattering

$$x = \frac{2E_e E_\lambda}{m^2} (1 + \cos \theta_0) \qquad \qquad y = \frac{E_\gamma}{E_e}$$

The Compton cross-section averaged over scattered particles spins:



Electron beam polarization independent

Electron beam polarization dependent

Toy-MC sensitivity studies

We simulate the e-/laser interaction and we try to extract the polarization of the electrons

Assumptions:

- 1min data taking (6 10⁶ turns)
- Laser: \mathcal{P}_{las}^{circ} = -1, \mathcal{P}_{las}^{lin} = 0 λ = 515 nm P = 5W @ 250MHz σ_x = 200 μ m, σ_y = 200 μ m

Detector's geometry:

- BaF₂ Crystal size: 2.5cm
- L = 30 m
- Resolution: $\sigma_E \sim A\sqrt{E}$
- miscalibration scale~1.1

• Electron beam: $\mathcal{P}_Z = 0.7$, $\mathcal{P}_T = 0$ $Q_e = 10 \ nC$ $\sigma_x = 170 \mu m, \sigma_y = 5 \mu m$

We concentrate on photon detection only

Fixed parameters: e- beam energy and spread, detector energy resolution, $\mathcal{P}_T = 0$, \mathcal{P}_{las}^{circ} , $\mathcal{P}_{las}^{lin}=0$

Fitted parameters: \mathcal{P}_Z , miscalibration scale, relative scale of each contribution (1 or 2 Compton photons)

Toy-MC sensitivity studies



type	Pz^{gen}	A^{gen}	Miscalibration scale	σ_{Pz}	Other parameters	$\mu_{Pz}^{pull,fit}$	$\sigma_{Pz}^{pull,fit}$
Α	0.7	0.1	1.1	0.0099	-	-0.0469	0.9583
В	0.7	0.1	1.03	0.0112	-	-0.0130	0.9312
С	0.7	0.03	1.1	0.0088	-	0.0009	0.9594
D	0.7	0.01	1.1	0.0085	-	-0.112	0.9614
Е	0.3	0.03	1.1	0.0086	-	-0.0156	1.006
F	0.7	0.1	1.0	0.0095	-	-0.009182	0.9594
G	0.7	0.03	1.0	0.0086	-	0.03982	0.9818
Η	0.7	0.1	1.1	0.0099	dx=1mm	0.0600	0.9607
Ι	0.7	0.1	1.1	0.0099	dx=2mm	0.4189	0.9452
J	0.7	0.1	1.1	0.0099	dy=1mm	-0.0317	0.9574
Κ	0.7	0.1	1.1	0.0099	$P_{T} = 0.3$	0.0167	0.973
L	0.7	0.1	1.1	0.0104	$P_{las}^{lin} = -0.05; P_{las}^{circ} = -0.95$	-0.0133	0.9622

Basic Alignment of detector

Mean energy deposited in the detector per bunch-crossing as function of the misalignment of the detector:



Following e-mail exchange with Oide-san. Any mistake reflects <u>our</u> misunderstanding.

Beam jitters at injection

Injection of fresh electrons affects the beam dynamics in two ways:



Shift of the center of gravity of e bunch: Damped by the feedback in ~100turns



Emittance growth: Damped by radiation in ~10000turns



Goal: study the jitters and their impact on the sensitivity of the polarimetry

16/07/2021



Systematic effects simulation studies for an electron beam polarimeter for SuperKEKB



Systematic effects simulation studies for an electron beam polarimeter for SuperKEKB

Effect on energy spectrum



Backgrounds

List of background contributions:

- Bremsstrahlung radiation -
- Synchrotron radiation
- Compton on blackbody radiation



Main Contribution ?

Just as at HERA, we suppose bremsstrahlung radiation is one of the dominant contributions

We try to make a first rough estimate here

Bremstrahlung

Bremsstrahlung radiation :

Z: mean atomic number of the residual gas nucleus , taken as 2.2 or 7

 $e + g \longrightarrow e + g + \gamma$

The cross section averaged over scattered particles spins :

$$y = \frac{E_{\gamma}}{E_e}$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dy} = \frac{4\alpha r_e^2}{y} \{ \left(y^2 - \frac{4}{3}y + \frac{4}{3} \right) (Z^2 \ln(184.15Z^{-1/3}) + Z \ln(1194Z^{-2/3})) + \frac{1}{9}(Z^2 + Z)(1 - y) \}$$

Detector energy resolution has no effect except for small energies



P.M Lewis et al. First measurements of beam backgrounds at SuperKEKB. NiMA 914 (2019) 69

Following e-mail exchange with Andrii Natochi. Any mistake reflects <u>our</u> misunderstanding.

Bremstrahlung luminosity

Luminosity of the collision between the electron bunch and the gas particles in the beam pipe of length L:







gas particles uniformly distributed in the beam pipe, having pressure P







Synchrotron radiation

'Critical energy" of synchrotron radiation:
$$E_c = \frac{3}{2}\gamma^3 \frac{c}{\rho} \sim 5keV$$

p: radius of curvature ~ 146 m



Solution: put a ~1 mm lead plate in front of the detector to get rid of synchrotron radiation

Summary and next steps

Main lessons:

- A 2 mm misalignment of the detector \rightarrow a 0.4% bias on longitudinal polarization
- Average deposited energy in detector is hardly varying with misalignment
- Extraction of Pz is immune (as expected) against transverse electron polarization
- Extraction of Pz is immune (as expected) against linear laser polarization (as soon as the circular polarization is perfectly known)
- Beam displacement and emittance growth at injection do not affect the energy spectrum of photons significantly (within statistical fluctuations)
- Background contributions seem anecdotic, even bremsstrahlung. May require further consolidation when a location is decided

Next steps:

- Include Bremsstrahlung in the fit
- Test the concept of a *BaF*₂ detector
- Start mounting a laser R&D setup
- Costing exercise for the laser system

Compton on blackbody

The beam pipe emits photons through blackbody radiation, these photons scatter on the electron beam and the scattered photons contribute in the background effects and have an impact on the energy spectrum.

The blackbody energy spectrum: $\frac{dn(E_{\lambda})}{dE_{\lambda}} \propto \frac{E_{\lambda}^2}{e^{E_{\lambda}/k_BT}-1}$

Basic Alignment of detector

Deposited energy in the detector with misalignment :



Etot as function of dy

Misalignment of the e beam: (w/o emittance growth)



dy as function of turn number

Taking into consideration misalignment on e- beam + Emittance growth :



turn number

turn number

Taking into consideration misalignment on e- beam + Emittance growth :



sigma y as function of turn number

Taking into consideration misalignment on e- beam + Emittance growth :



xpos as function of turn number

xpos and ypos are the positions of electrons inside the bunch on the x and y axis



16/07/2021

Systematic effects simulation studies for an electron beam polarimeter for SuperKEKB

Comparison:



Taking into consideration misalignment on e- beam + Emittance growth : xpos: the positions of electrons inside the bunch on the x axis



xpos as function of turn number

Misalignments on the detector

Perfectly aligned beam

