Workflow Management in HEP

Caspar Schmitt

Belle II Germany Meeting, LMU/MPP

September 20, 2022

Caspar Schmitt

Belle II Germany Meeting, LMU/MPP

1 / 14

Overview

1 Motivation

2 Example

3 Workflow Management

4 Requirements

5 Comparison

Caspar Schmitt

э

э

< • • • **•**

Motivation

With modern HEP analyses being both increasingly

complex (MVA Analysis, Training Interdependent NNs, ...)

scaled (more data for e.g. rare processes, precision measurements, ...) many undocumented dependencies emerge.

Motivation

With modern HEP analyses being both increasingly

complex (MVA Analysis, Training Interdependent NNs, ...)

scaled (more data for e.g. rare processes, precision measurements, ...) many undocumented dependencies emerge.

Manual execution and job steering by analyst is

- error-prone
- time-consuming
- deteriorates the reproducability of results and the transparency of collaborative reviews
- hinders data preservation efforts.

Workflow Management in B^0 mixing analysis @ Belle II

A **workflow**: a top-level entity of workload to accomplish a scientific objective. A **task**: a step in a workflow, processes input to produce output.



Need for... Workflow Management Systems!

э

Workflow Management Systems

Single executable, full analysis automatized and portable!

Fully automatized tasks:

- 1. submitting gbasf2 jobs on LSF Grid
- 2. job steering, dataset downloading and error handling
- 3. batching basf2 jobs, job steering, file merging and error handling
- 4. selection cuts, event selection and fit shape extraction
- 5. event binning and multivariate fitting
- 6. plotting final result.

results/orBin6-signal



7. . . .

Directed Acyclic Graph in B^0 mixing analysis @ Belle II

A group of tasks = a workflow, described by directed acyclic graph (DAG).



SetunGhast2

Skim

Two possible realizations for Workflow Management

Report Based:

- store report file for each execution, evaluate reports of predecessors
- not-intensive on storage



Robert Fischer. Workflow Management for User Analysis in Particle Physics, ACAT 2017.

Two possible realizations for Workflow Management

Target Based:

- check for output target before execution
- more intensive on storage
- No intermediate metadata storage with potential failure



Robert Fischer. Workflow Management for User Analysis in Particle Physics, ACAT 2017.

8 / 14

Requirements for Workflow Management System

Wishlist:

- Encapsulation in logically separated steps.
- Factorization within a workload: management and analysis layer are fully decoupled
- Interchangeability of workloads storage and run locations
- Universality in programming language, workload outputs etc.



M. Rieger. Design pattern for analysis automation on distributed resources using luigi analysis workflows, EPJ Web of Conferences, EDP Sciences 2020.

Requirements for Workflow Management System

Wishlist (continued):

- Automatization in data retrieval, dependency resolution (and bookkeeping).
- Detachable in VE, Containers, Sandboxes, ...
- Complex Workflows: non-static, dynamic run-dependencies, conditional branching, parallelisation, looping, ...



Tadashi Maeno. PanDA Evolution for ATLAS and Beyond, Software Comp. Table 2022.

10 / 14

э

소 티 에 가 제 귀 에 문 에 문 어 문 어 있다.

Comparison of Selected Workflow Management Systems

Single applications:

Luigi

- developed by Spotify, open sourced
- Python syntax
- target based
- integrated analysis code and WF logic
- focus on dynamic DAG visualization and remote execution support
- automatised job steering on LSF and KEKcc...

Snakemake

- developed at Uni Duisburg-Essen
- Custom Python-based syntax
- ► target based
- Analysis code and WF logic <u>factorize</u>
- focus on environment management and remote execution support
- automatised job steering on LSF and KEKcc...

Server-based: e.g. Reproducible Analysis Platform developed by CERN.

Simple example for workflow in Luigi

```
#luigi_workflow.py
import numpy
import subprocess
```

```
class Task3(luigi.Task):
    def requires(self):
        return [Task2(NumberOfRandoms = 10), Task2(NumberOfRandoms = 5)]
```

```
def output(self):
    return luigi.LocalTarget("final/finalResult.txt")
```

def run(self):

```
command = ["cat"]
for input in self.input(): command.append(input.path)
with self.output().open("w") as output:
    output.write(subprocess.check_output(command).decode())
```

```
class Task2(luigi.Task):
```

```
NumberOfRandoms = luigi.IntParameter()
def requires(self):
    return Task1()
```

```
def run(self):
    vith self.input().open("r") as input:
        numpy.random.seed(int(input.readlines()[0]))
    vith self.output().open("u") as output:
        for i in range (self.NumberOfRandoms): output.vrite(f"(numpy.
        random.random()\ha")
```

```
class Task1(luigi.Task):
    def output(self):
        return luigi.LocalTarget("initial/seed.txt")
```

```
def run(self):
    with self.output().open("w") as output:
        output.write(str(42))
```

Dynamic DAG visualization:



Automatic parallelization: luigi --module my module Task3 --workers 2 --local-scheduler

Simple example for workflow in Snakemake

```
#snakefile
rule Task3
    input:
        "intermediate/intermediateResult 10.txt".
        "intermediate/intermediateResult 5.txt"
   output
        "final/finalResult.txt"
   shell:
        "cat {input} > {output}"
rule Task2
   input:
        "initial/seed.txt"
   output
        "intermediate/intermediateResult (NumberOfRandoms).txt"
   conda
        "environment.yaml"
    containerized
        "container.sif"
    params
        current NumberOfBandoms = lambda wildcards: int(wildcards.
                                               NumberOfBandoms)
    script
        "python_script.py"
rule Tacki
   output
        "initial/seed.txt"
   shell.
        "echo 42 \ge \{output\}"
#python_script.py
input file = snakemake.input[0]
output file = snakemake.output[0]
number of randoms = snakemake.params.current NumberOfRandoms
import numpy
with open(input_file, "r") as input:
   numpy.random.seed(int(input.readlines()[0]))
```



Caspar Schmitt

with open(output file. "w") as output

Belle II Germany Meeting, LMU/MPP

Extensive comparison upcoming...

	Criteria	Luigi	Snakemake	Reana
Project	Learning curve	intermediate	simple	simple
	Programming languages	single	multiple	multiple
	Relation to analysis code	integrated	complete factorization	complete factorization
	Workflow language	Python	custom Python-based	Python-based
	Boilerplate code	minimal	minimal	intermediate
	Data formats	any	any	any
	Dependency management	automatic	automatic	automatic
	State management	target based	target based	target based
Interface	Visualization and monitoring	extensive	no dynamic DAG	no dynamic DAG
	Execution control	extensive	extensive	limited
	Error handling and debugging	failed output remains	failed output deleted	single-use clean environment
	Architecture	single application	single application	server
Features	Scalability	easy	easy	easy
	Portability	easy	easy	easy
	Environment management	minimal	extensive	limited
	Storage systems support	extensive	extensive	extensive
	Remote execution support	extensive	extensive	extensive
	Authentication mechanism	environment variables	environment variables	access tokens
	Version control	external	external and internal	external and internal
Integration	Installation	pip, non-root	conda, non-root	pip, non-root
	Documentation	extensive	extensive	incomplete
	Support	extensive	extensive	satisfactory
	System developers	Spotify Group	academic team	CERN
	History and activity	very active	very active	less active
	User community	large	large	significant
	Long term perspective	good	good	acceptable
	Lock-in	yes	no	no
	License	Spotify Group, free use	MIT, free use	CERN
	Use in Punch	Belle 2	LHCb, Radioastronomy	CERN
_				

Caspar Schmitt

Belle II Germany Meeting, LMU/MPP



-4 B 4