

Anselm Baur (DESY)

Belle II Germany Meeting, Munich, Sept. 20th 2022









DEPFET

DEPFET Working Principle

- p-channel MOSFET sits on top of a fully depleted Si bulk
- Internal gate below FET gate
- Free electrons drift to internal gate \rightarrow O(ns)
- Internal gate charge amplifies source-drain current $g_q = \frac{\partial I}{\partial q} \approx 500 \; \frac{\mathrm{pA}}{e^-}$
- Clear mechanism to empty internal gate

Operating DEPFET

- DEPFET sensor biasing: 11 voltages with complex cross-dependencies
- Voltages dominant impact on charge collection: $V_{\text{HV}},~V_{\text{drift}},~\text{and}~V_{\text{clear-off}}$

Characteristics

- High signal/noise ratio (low internal capacities)
- Charge storage \rightarrow can be read out at any time
- Thin sensor (75 µm)
- Low material budget
 - \rightarrow ideal for low momentum measurements
 - (< 2GeV, Coulomb (multi-) scattering dominant contribution)





PXD Modules and **PXD** Ladders

Module Properties:

- 250 x 768 (192 000) pixels per module ٠
- 50 x 55-85 µm² (varies in z-direction) ٠
- Whole module consists self supporting Si ٠
- 4 types of modules inner-, outer-, -forward, -backward ٠
- Readout and control ASICs at end of sensor area and on the balcony

Module Readout:

- Switcher: initiates readout and clear of 4 rows simultaneously ٠
- Drain current digitizer (DCD): converts analog drain currents in digital values [0, 256] ADU ٠
- Data handling processor (DHP): DCD/Switcher configuration, and triggered readout .
- Zero suppressed readout out on DHP: hit when signal > pedestal + threshold ٠
- Readout cycle: 20 µs in rolling shutter mode ٠

PXD Ladder:

Forward and backward module glued together at short end to a ladder ٠





Module

 $250 \ge 768$

pixels

1000 Drains

192 Gates 192 Clears

large pixels

2

12

c)

current

Drains

 \mathbf{b}

Clear

Gate

Clear pulse

signal & pedestal

sample

signal





PXD1

L2_029 +Y

fwd

2 034

PXD1:

- Was installed 2018 2022 in Belle II at KEK
- Layer 1: 15/16 modules working
- Layer 2: equipped with 2 ladders covering dead L1 module

Belle II Vertex Detector:

- PXD: 2 layers, SVD: 4 layers
- Mounted on a 2 layer Be beam pipe

PXD1 Aging:

- Suffered from high instantaneous radiation doses
 - * $~\sim$ 150 dead gates, 1 unstable switcher region
- Pedestal aging already visible (pedestal currents drifting apart)
- * Regions with lower efficiencies $\mathsf{appear} \to \mathsf{pedestal}$ compression, DCD gain









Exp: 26; Run: 1968 (latest physics run before LS)

Sep 20, 2022

Advantage of Completed 2 Layer PXD

MC Studies:

- 10k **BBbar** events
- Detector simulation with 2 PXD layers
- Track reconstruction using standard tracking chain
- Figures of Merit
 - Fraction of MC hits found in the reconstructed track

 $\mathrm{hit\ efficiency} = \frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{mc_hits_in_reco_track}}}{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{hits,mc_track}}}$

• Fraction of MC hits in the reconstructed track hits (how much background was picked up?)

$$\mathrm{hit} \ \mathrm{purity} = \frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{mc_hits_in_reco_track}}}{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{hits,reco_track}}}$$

2 Layer PXD:

- higher probability to select correct PXD hits in 1st PXD layer at higher background levels
- No direct influence on impact parameters in x-y (L1 highest impact)







From Wafer to Pixel Vertex Detector

HLL

wafer

- flip chip

- repair work

Steps:

- Modules are **built** at HLL and MPP ٠
- Tests/characterization at several test sites ٠
- Ladder gluing an Half-shell assembly at MPP ٠
- Pre-commissioning at DESY .
- Final Commissioning at KEK .

Module Testing and Characterization (Mass-Testing):

- First power up of the module .
- Checking functionality of pixel matrix .
- Determining operation parameters
- Tuning of the analog/digital converter .
- Optimizing pedestal compression .
- Maximize charge collection and optimize homogeneous pixel response

Grading of Modules:

- ٠ During 4 years of testing, scans and parameters adjusted over time
- Preliminary grading proposal was never applied to all tested modules ٠
- Working point optimization not uniform ٠
- Number of working pixels as strongest FOM



Sep 20, 2022

PXD2 Half-Shell Assembly at MPP

SCB

Ladder Mounting

- Aligned Support Cooling Blocks (SCBs) held by a rotation device
- Mylar foil between SCB and ladder \rightarrow electrical isolation
- Carbon tubes installed in between SCBs (fixed on one side)
- Ladder by ladder mounted on the SCBs
- First 4 inner layer (L1) ladders, then 6 outer layer (L2) ladders

Electrical isolating foil

Carbon Tube (N2 Cooling)

SCB

1st PXD2 Half-Shell at DESY

Half-Shell Test Stand at DESY

Test Setup:

- Full DAQ readout chain to operate modules
- CO2 cooling of the HS in a monitored low humidity volume
 - HS power consumption: 190 W (~9 W/module)
 - CO2 temperature: 0 15 °C (KEK -20°C)
- N2: matrix cooling and dry volume
- Half-Shell operation limited by number of available power supplies \rightarrow operate $\frac{1}{2}$ HS at once

Tests and Measurements:

- Full electrical test if all ladders still functional after mounting
- Source measurements using Sr90 source
 - Pixel hit efficiency, noise, ...
 - Examining cross-talk between modules
 - Crosscheck performance with mass-testing results
- **Stress-tests**: undergo several cooling cycles and thermal states with the half-shell

1^{st} Half-Shell

Status:

- All 20 modules fully functional
- Basic-calibrations and source scan finished
- Discovered 2 broken ladders (later more!)

Source Scan:

- **Optimize** DEPFET **biasing voltages** for maximal charge collection
- Scan 204 voltage settings

Measurement

- **HV**: $-48V \rightarrow -72V$
- Drift: $-3V \rightarrow -6V$
- Clear-off: $2V \rightarrow 4V$
- 15 min measurements

Sr90 hitmaps (re-measure hit efficiencies, tune working point)

Source Scan Analysis

Damage on 1st Half-Shell

Half-Shells Designed to Cope With Thermal Stress:

- * Forward SCB not fixed on $\mathsf{BP}\to \textbf{guiding/sliding pins}$
- Forward modules have elongated hole

After Testing 1st Half-Shell:

- 2 broken inner ladders L1a, L1b
- Kink height of ~1.5 mm
 - Equivalent thermal expansion of Si at ${\sim}140$ K (this we did not have)
 - Ladder were still under tension, relaxed when releasing screws
- Outer ladders not affected
- Unclear if L1a and L1b still functional

Possible Causes (Combination?):

- S.th. prevented SCB from gliding under thermal/mechanical stress
- Ladder tightening torque too high \rightarrow no module gliding
- Explanation of large kink, an **asymmetric** process must have **periodically** enhanced stress → **accumulated bending**
- Influence of massive Al beam pipe mockup (Al ~10 times higher thermal expansion than Si)
- Glue looses strength at ~60 °C under stress (tests at MPP)
- Incident: once CO2 cooling broke down in forward SCB (ASIC temperatures up to 80-90 °C for a few seconds)

elongated hole which allows ladder to move longitudinal

Sep 20, 2022

How to Continue

Further Program:

- 2nd HS pre-commissioning on hold
- Mechanical tests ongoing/in preparation:
 - * screw tightening torque \rightarrow ladder gliding
 - SCB mechanical gliding
 - thermal conduction depending on tightening torque
 - influence AI dummy beam pipe
- **Consultation** of retired expert from MPP (PXD mechanical design)

Repair 1st Half-Shell:

- 2 good L1 ladders for replacement
- **1 more** L1 ladder can be **glued** from good modules
- Risk analysis

Impact on Time Schedule:

- How fast/easy we can repair/modify 1st HS
- How fast/easy we can apply modification to $2^{nd}\ HS$
- Fact: we miss the estimated October target!
- Target: PXD2 commissioning during LS1

Summary

PXD1:

- Served us well over 4 years: high precision, good efficiency
- Aging already visible
- 2nd PXD layer:
 - Will increase the hit efficiency and hit purity for higher background levels for low momentum particles in layer 1
 - Provides redundancy in case of L1 aging

PXD2 Status:

- Both PXD Half-Shells assembled
- Functional tests and scans with 1^{st} HS finished
 - \rightarrow all 20 modules were functional
 - \rightarrow source scan analysis ongoing for working point optimization
- 1st HS: 2 broken inner ladders (L1a, L1b) discovered
- 2nd HS commissioning on hold
- Large number of tests ongoing at MPP, DESY, Bonn

Outlook:

- Find the cause of the problems and solution
- Repair 1st Half-Shell, (and modify 2nd Half-Shell)
- * Impact on time schedule \rightarrow to be determined after further studies

s.th. like: "don't give up"

Pedestal Aging

- Pedestals slowly drifting out of dynamic ٠ range
- Regions with deteriorated efficiency ٠ appear
- Periodic pattern visible ٠

Hitmap

00E0

50 100 150 200 Pedestal [ADU]

100 150 200 Pedestal [ADU]

50

Sep 20, 2022

200 250.5

100

-0.5

PXD1 Efficiency May 2022

https://indico.belle2.org/event/7369/contributions/38514/attachments/17757/26404/Pitzl-2022-07-eff.pdf

MARCO Cooling Incident

Cooling Incident Friday June 24th:

- During operation the CO2 cooling in FWD line broke down ٠
- DHP temperatures increased rapidly ٠
- Modules shut of after approx. 33 s ٠
- Tried to stabilize MARCO ٠
 - Warm up, higher flow •
- Afterwards issues to power on modules due to dhp-io voltage to high ٠
 - This anyhow prevent us to power the modules ٠
 - DHI power off did not work .
 - Can also be seen when dhp-core voltage is shut down before dhp-io ٠
- Elog: https://elog.belle2.org/elog/PXD-Commissioning-DESY/136 ٠

PXD:H1011:D1:TE PXD:H1011:D2:TE PXD:H1011:D3:TE 08:00 2022-06-24 08:30 09:00 09:30 10:00 **PXD Emergency Off** H1011 33 s 08:30:30 2022-06-24 08:31:10 08:31:20 08:30:40 08:30:50 08:31:00 0.40 - Ref 1 - BWD 0.43 - Short Out 1 0.46 - Short Out 2 0.49 - Cooling In 2 0.42 - Cooling In 1 0.45 - Ref In 2 - FWD 0.48 - Cooling Out 2 0.41 - Cooling Out 0.44 - Short In 1 0.47 - Short In 2 20 15

8:30h

24.06.2022

DHP temperatures of all modules divided by:

- if, ib

- of, ob

٠

Anselm Baur

9:00h

10:30

08:31:30

PXD1 SCB Tilt

Beam Pipe Temperature

.

- Beam currents heat up beam pipe
- Cooling pipes are fixed at SCB
- SCB is tilt by this fixation and the beam pipe extension

LS1: avoid overconstrained fixations

L2.4 2022 vs 2019

Anselm Baur