Recent Belle and Belle II results on hadronic B decays

Francis Pham, on behalf of the Belle II collaboration

Rencontres du Vietnam Flavour Physics Conference 2022

17 August 2022





Motivation

- Unitarity triangle observables point to a single apex with a precision of *O*(10)% - possible non-SM physics amplitudes of the same order.
- CKM angles ϕ_2 and ϕ_3 are significantly less well measured than CKM angle ϕ_1 .



Strength of Belle II: can access a wide variety of decays, in particular final states with neutrals (π^0 , ρ , K_L ...), which can be used to precisely determine ϕ_2 and ϕ_3 .

SuperKEKB and Belle II

Belle II: general purpose detector situated at the interaction point of SuperKEKB.

SuperKEKB: asymmetric $e^+ - e^-$ collider operating at $\Upsilon(4S)$ resonance.

Operation:

• Recorded $\approx 424 \text{ fb}^{-1}$











Francis Pham



Francis Pham

$K\pi$ puzzle

 $K\pi$ puzzle: unexpected large difference between $\mathcal{A}_{K^+\pi^-}^{CP}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{K^+\pi^0}^{CP}$.

Sum rule allows to test SM in loop decays at 1% precision and provides an important consistency test:

$$I_{K\pi} = \mathcal{A}_{K^+\pi^-}^{\mathsf{CP}} + \mathcal{A}_{K^0\pi^+}^{\mathsf{CP}} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{K^0\pi^+}}{\mathcal{B}_{K^+\pi^-}} \frac{\tau_{B^0}}{\tau_{B^+}} - 2\mathcal{A}_{K^+\pi^0}^{\mathsf{CP}} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{K^+\pi^0}}{\mathcal{B}_{K^+\pi^-}} \frac{\tau_{B^0}}{\tau_{B^+}} - 2\mathcal{A}_{K^0\pi^0}^{\mathsf{CP}} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{K^0\pi^0}}{\mathcal{B}_{K^+\pi^-}} \approx 0$$

Deviations can be caused by an enhancement of color-suppressed tree amplitudes, or by contributions from non-SM physics

Belle II is a unique place to measure all involved decays!

$B^0 ightarrow K^0_s \pi^0$ Analysis

- The sum rule has a 10% experimental uncertainty dominated by A^{CP}_{K⁰π⁰}. This time-dependent measurement is only feasible at Belle II.
- Key challenge is the determination of $B^0 \to K_s \pi^0$ decay vertex
- Signal yield and A^{CP}_{K⁰π⁰} from a 4D fit (M_{bc}, ΔE, Δt, continuum suppression BDT output), with S_{CP}, Δm_d, and τ_{B⁰} fixed to their known values

WA: $\mathcal{A}^{CP} = 0.01 \pm 0.10$, $\mathcal{B} = (9.9 \pm 0.5) \cdot 10^{-6}$



Francis Pham

 $B^+ \to K^+ \pi^0$ and $B^+ \to \pi^+ \pi^0$ Analysis

► Reconstruct $B^+ \to K^+ \pi^0$ and $B^+ \to \pi^+ \pi^0$ events using common selection

Divide into pion- and kaon-enhanced sample

- ► Large background from $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\overline{q}$ \Rightarrow Reduced with machine learning algorithm
- Simultaneous fit to both samples ⇒ All fit shapes but BB are controlled from data using off-resonance data and B → Dπ decays



$B^+ ightarrow K^+ \pi^0$ and $B^+ ightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0$ Result

N(K
$$^+\pi^0)=$$
 887 \pm 43, N($\pi^+\pi^0)=$ 422 \pm 37

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{A}^{\mathsf{CP}}_{K^+\pi^0} = & 0.014 \pm 0.047 \; (\mathsf{stat}) \pm 0.010 \; (\mathsf{syst}) \\ \mathcal{B}_{K^+\pi^0} = & (14.30 \pm 0.69 \; \; (\mathsf{stat}) \pm \; 0.79 \; \; (\mathsf{syst})) \cdot 10^{-6} \\ \mathcal{A}^{\mathsf{CP}}_{\pi^+\pi^0} = & -0.085 \pm 0.085 \; (\mathsf{stat}) \pm \; 0.019 \; (\mathsf{syst}) \\ \mathcal{B}_{\pi^+\pi^0} = & (6.12 \pm 0.53 \; \; (\mathsf{stat}) \pm \; 0.53 \; \; (\mathsf{syst})) \cdot 10^{-6} \end{array}$$

WA:
$$\mathcal{A}^{\mathsf{CP}}_{\mathcal{K}^+\pi^0} = 0.030 \pm 0.013$$
, $\mathcal{A}^{\mathsf{CP}}_{\pi^+\pi^0} = 0.03 \pm 0.04$

- ► Distinguish pions and kaons kinematically via △E
- B and A^{CP} precision limited by systematic uncertainties associated to size of control samples.





Measurement of ϕ_2 in charmless hadronic *B* decays

Access ϕ_2 in $b \to u$ transition of charmless hadronic *B* decays ($B \to \rho\rho$, $B \to \pi\pi$). \Rightarrow Significant penguin pollution complicates determination $\phi_{2,eff} = \phi_2 + \Delta\phi_2$ \Rightarrow Isospin relations to disentangle the tree and penguin contributions

$$A^{+0} = rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}A^{+-} + A^{00}, \quad ar{A}^{-0} = rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}ar{A}^{+-} + ar{A}^{00},$$

where A^{ij} and \bar{A}^{ij} are the amplitudes of the particle and antiparticle decay respectively



$B^0 ightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$ Analysis

- Background from fake photons, e.g. beam background
- $\blacktriangleright\,$ Dominated by continuum background, signal-to-background ratio of $\approx 1/350$
 - \Rightarrow Dedicated machine learning algorithm
- Need to know flavor of *B* for A^{CP}
 - \Rightarrow Belle II's flavor tagger $\epsilon_{tag} = (30.0 \pm 1.3)\%$
- 3D fit simultaneous in 7 bins of the flavor tagger quality
- ► Extract data-simulation correction factors using $B^0 \rightarrow D^0 (\rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^0) \pi^0$ Control channel:



$B^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$ Result

New for Belle II

Results competitive with Belle with a data set of less than one third!

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{A}^{ ext{CP}} &= 0.14 \pm 0.46 \ (ext{stat}) \pm 0.07 \ (ext{syst}) \ \mathcal{B} &= & (1.32 \pm 0.25 \ (ext{stat}) \pm 0.18 \ (ext{syst})) \cdot 10^{-6} \end{aligned}$$

WA:
$$\mathcal{A}^{\mathsf{CP}} = 0.33 \pm 0.22$$
, $\mathcal{B} = (1.59 \pm 0.26) \cdot 10^{-6}$



Signal enhanced $N(sig) = 93 \pm 18$

$B^0 ightarrow ho^+ ho^-$ Analysis

Intermediate ρ is a vector meson: ⇒ Only the longitudinal polarization is usable for time dependent analysis to extract CP violating parameters, hence longitudinal polarization fraction f_L is required

 \Rightarrow Fit helicity angle of $ho \rightarrow \pi \pi^0$

6D (ΔE, CS, 2·m(ππ), 2· cos(θ_ρ)) fit taking correlations into account
 ⇒ Peaking background has a similar final state as signal (2π⁰, 1π⁺ + 1h⁺)
 ⇒ Yields of measured peaking backgrounds are fixed in the fit

fpham@unimelb.edu.au



$B^0 \rightarrow \rho^+ \rho^-$ Result

New for Belle II

 $N(\text{long.}) = 235^{+24}_{-23}$, $N(\text{trans.}) = 21^{+19}_{-17}$

$$\mathcal{B} = (2.67 \pm 0.28 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.28 \text{ (syst)}) \cdot 10^{-5}$$

 $f_L = 0.956 \pm 0.035 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.033 \text{ (syst)}$

WA:
$$\mathcal{B} = (2.77 \pm 0.19) \cdot 10^{-5}$$
, $f_L = 0.990^{+0.021}_{-0.019}$

Measurement of \mathcal{B} limited by systematic uncertainty. Largest contribution associated to π^0 reconstruction.



 $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^+ \rho^0$

▶ Similar analysis strategy as $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^+ \rho^-$

6D (ΔE, CS, 2·m(ππ), 2· cos(θ_ρ)) template fit taking correlations into account
 ⇒ Fit distribution of helicity angles of π⁺

$$\mathcal{A}^{CP} = -0.069 \pm 0.068 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.060 \text{ (syst)}$$

 $\mathcal{B} = (23.2^{+2.2}_{-2.1} \text{ (stat)} \pm 2.7 \text{ (syst)}) \cdot 10^{-1}$
 $f_{L} = 0.943^{+0.035}_{-0.033} \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.027 \text{ (syst)}$

WA:
$$\mathcal{A}^{ ext{CP}} = -0.05 \pm 0.05$$
, $\mathcal{B} = (24.0 \pm 1.9) \cdot 10^{-6}$

 Largest systematic uncertainty from data-simulation discrepancies



Conclusion

- Study of hadronic B decays gives access to \(\phi_2\) and \(\phi_3\) and probes non-SM in subleading amplitudes
- Showed six measurements:
 - \Rightarrow Measurement of ϕ_3 using $B^+ \rightarrow D(K^0_S h^+ h^-)K^+$
 - \Rightarrow Branching ratio and CP asymmetry of $B^0 o K^0_S \pi^0$
 - \Rightarrow Branching ratio and CP asymmetry of $B^+ \to \pi^+ \pi^0$ and $B^+ \to K^+ \pi^0$
 - \Rightarrow Branching ratio and CP asymmetry of $B^0 o \pi^0 \pi^0$
 - \Rightarrow Branching ratio and polarization of ${\it B}^0 \rightarrow \rho^+ \rho^-$
 - \Rightarrow Branching ratio and CP asymmetry of ${\it B}^+
 ightarrow
 ho^+
 ho^0$
- Results demonstrate Belle II's capability to measure decays with neutrals
 ⇒ Belle II is ready to offer key contributions