# ExpressReco Sampling and Performance Test

#### Daniel Jacobi dajaco@uni-bonn.de

Physikalisches Institut der Rheinischen Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn

Belle II Trigger/DAQ Workshop 01. Dezember 2022



Daniel Jacobi

ExpressReco Sampling and Performance Test

### Introduction

#### **Current Procedure**

- Store is sending randomly sampled events to ExpressReco (ERECO) for monitoring
- Low statistics for rare physics tagged events leads to inefficient monitoring





#### The Plan

- Prepare two ERECO clusters
  - Random sampling for PXD related monitoring
  - Random and physics sampling ⇒ Monitor entire runs
- Number of worker nodes depending by ERECO performance and selected trigger lines



Main Task of this performance study:

• Depending on the selected trigger lines, how many worker nodes do we need?

## The Script, Calculations and First Results

### **The Script and Calculations**

- Adapted the *PrintCalibTriggerResults* module
- We can look at any given combination of used trigger lines (skims)

For this talk

- Ran over different runs from different experiments (runtime > 1h)
- Chose 8 different skims and their combinations

```
• We want to calculate the trigger rate for certain skims
Rate _{Skim} = \frac{Events passing skim}{Time retrieved from RunDB}
```

How many ERECO worker nodes do we therefore need

WN <sub>Needed</sub> =  $\frac{\overline{\text{Rate}}_{\text{Skim}}}{\text{Rate}_{\text{WN} \text{ is capable of}}}$ 

class PrintCalibTriggerResults(b2.Module):

```
1.1.1
```

Prints Calibration trigger results in a well formatted way. User is prompted to continue or quit at each event

def event(self):

Print log likelihoods and wait for user respond.

```
evtMetaData = Belle2.PyStoreObj('EventMetaData')
exp = evtMetaData.obj().getExperiment()
run = evtMetaData.obj().getRun()
evt = evtMetaData.obj().getEvent()
```

### **Trigger Lines of Interest**

software\_trigger\_cut&skim&accept\_dstar1/2/3/4

Particles	Cuts				
$\pi$ list	$ d_0  < 2  { m cm}$				
	$ z_0  < 4  {\rm cm}$				
K list	$ d_0  < 2  {\rm cm}$				
	$ z_0  < 4  {\rm cm}$				
$\gamma$ list	$0.296706 < \theta(\text{rad}) < 2.61799$				
	[[clusterReg = 1 and E > 0.03 GeV] or [cluster-				
	Reg = 2 and $E > 0.02  GeV$ or [clusterReg = 3]				
	and E $> 0.03$ GeV]] and  clusterTiming  $< 1.0$ $\cdot$				
	cluster ErrorTiming or $E > 0.1$ GeV] and [clus-				
	terE1E9 > 0.3  or  E > 0.1  GeV				
-0 1:at	$0.075 < { m M}~({ m GeV}/c^2) < 0.175$				
$\pi^{\circ}$ list	successful mass fit (kFit)				
$D^0$ list	$1.7 < { m M}~({ m GeV}/c^2) < 2.1$				
D* 1:-+	$p^* > 2.2 \text{ GeV}/c$				
$D^*$ list	$\rm dM < 0.16~GeV/c^2$				
Event	Cuts				
hadron	= 1				
$\mathrm{n}(D^*)$	>0				

Cuts corresponding to Dstpi == 1 (i=1,2,3 for respectively the channels  $K\pi,$   $K\pi\pi^0$  and  $K3\pi).$ 

#### software\_trigger\_cut&skim&accept\_jpsi

Track List	$J/\psi$ List
$ d_0  < 2 \mathrm{cm}$ $ z_0  < 4 \mathrm{cm}$	$ dM  < 0.11 \text{ GeV}/c^2$
$p_t > 0.2 \mathrm{GeV}/c$	

#### software\_trigger\_cut&skim&accept\_kshort

The  $K_s^{0}$  list is built from the *standard*  $K_s^{0}$  is the standard with the vertex fit performed by *kFit*. The sample is purified by adding the conditions: 0.468 < M < 0.528 and goodBelleKshort == 1

#### software\_trigger\_cut&skim&accept\_mumutight

	Pion List	Photon List	Event
	$ d_0  < 2  {\rm cm}$	$E > 0.1 \mathrm{GeV}$	Tot. Energy (ECL) < 2
	$ z_0  < 4  {\rm cm}$		n. Tracks $= 2$
Cuts	$cluster E < 0.5{\rm GeV}$		Pion List size $= 2$
	$p_t > 0.2 \mathrm{GeV}/c$		$  \theta_{+}^{*} + \theta_{-}^{*}  - 180^{\circ}  < 10^{\circ}$
	$p^* > 0.5  { m GeV}/c$		$ 180^{\circ} -  \phi_{+}^{*} - \phi_{-}^{*}   < 10^{\circ}$

#### software\_trigger\_cut&skim&accept\_lambda

The list of lambda candidates is built from the *Lambda\_0:merged* list, which makes use of *TreeFitter* with the additional cuts:

 $(p_p - p_\pi) / (p_p - p_\pi) > 0.41$  and flightDistance / flightDistanceErr > 10

Full explanation and definition can be found here: https://docs.belle2.org/record/1965/files/BELLE2-NOTE-TE-2020-018.pdf

Daniel Jacobi

ExpressReco Sampling and Performance Test

#### **The Script**



#### **The Script**

- After we loop over every event we write the results to a root file
- We use this file to run over real data events registered on the grid
- We then can use the output to study the performance

Experiment	Run	Events	accept_dstar_1
14.0	2133.0	1427181.0	362.0
18.0	1984.0	182155.0	297.0
18.0	875.0	286763.0	410.0
18.0	998.0	271064.0	471.0
24.0	1447.0	258389.0	464.0
24.0	1447.0	261232.0	456.0
20.0	874.0	383523.0	564.0
18.0	1984.0	181280.0	288.0

```
Experiment = event_info['Exp']
Run = event_info['Run']
Events = PrintCalibTriggerResults.nevts
accept_dstar_1 = results['software_trigger_cut&skim&accept_dstar_1']
# Minimal Example
outfile = TFile( outputFile, 'RECREATE', 'ROOT file with an NTuple' )
```

```
results_0 = [Experiment, Run, Events, accept_dstar_1]
```

labels\_0 = ['Experiment', 'Run', 'Events', 'accept\_dstar\_1']

```
df_0 = TNtuple( 'df_0', '', ':'.join(labels_0) )
row_0 = map( float, results_0 )
df_0.Fill(*row_0)
```

```
outfile.Write()
```

- One entry per file
- Output from two different registered files but for same experiment and run
   → We need to add them up

#### **The Script**

- Generate dictionary where we have one entry for each experiment and run
- All related entries are added up
- Now we have all the information, do the calculations and look at the results



```
list exp = list(dict.fromkeys((DF all.Experiment).values.flatten().tolist()))
exp dict = {}
for name in list exp:
    exp dict[name] = pd.DataFrame()
    exp dict[name] = DF all[DF all['Experiment'] == name]
run dict = {}
for i in list exp:
    run list = list(dict.fromkeys((exp dict[i].Run).values.flatten().tolist()))
    for var in run list:
        df = exp dict[i]
        run_dict[i,var] = pd.DataFrame()
        run dict[i,var] = df[df['Run'] == var]
        df len = len(run dict[i,var])
        run dict[i,var] = run dict[i,var].sum().to frame().T
        run dict[i,var]['Run'] = run dict[i,var]['Run']/df len
        run dict[i,var]['Experiment'] = run dict[i,var]['Experiment']/df len
```

#### **The Calculations**



#### **Results for Trigger Lines of Interest**



#### **Results for Trigger Lines of Interest**



#### How many Worker Nodes do we need

- We have: •
  - Two ERECO with 8 worker nodes each Ο
  - One ERECO can deal with 300 events per s Ο
  - Therefore one worker node can deal with 37.5 events per s 0



Daniel Jacobi

Rate Skim

WN Needed =  $\frac{1}{\text{Rate WN is capable of}}$ 

## Some Sanity Checks

### **Check: Script versus RunDB**

- Compare the μμ<sub>Tight</sub> Events registered in the RunDB to the ones calculated with the script
- Overall good agreement

ALI Skim Information	
Name	Events
mumutight	56744

Exp.	Run	Run Time	μμ <sub>Tight</sub> Events	#/s (RunDB)
14	2133	2:10:41	56744	7.24
18	875	1:05:18	29904	7.63
22	68	1:25:40	62371	12.13
24	997	1:37:52	97601	16.62



### **Check: Script versus DQM**



Daniel Jacobi

#### **Additional Studies and Results**

### **Combination of Different Trigger Lines**

- We want to look at the combination of different trigger lines (*or* logic)
- For each event, set a flag if skim is passed
- If certain combinations are all flagged, add the events up
- 8 skims  $\rightarrow$  255 possible combinations
  - $\rightarrow$  Code to generate the text



#### global results\_double

```
if double_count["software_trigger_cut&skim&accept_dstar_1"] == 1 and double_count["software_trigger_cut&skim&accept_dstar_2"] == 1:
    results_double["accept_dstar_1&accept_dstar_2"] += 1
if double_count["software_trigger_cut&skim&accept_dstar_1"] == 1 and double_count["software_trigger_cut&skim&accept_dstar_2"] == 1 and double_count["software_trigger_cut&skim&accept_dstar_3"] == 1:
    results_double["accept_dstar_trigger_cut&skim&accept_dstar_1"] == 1 and double_count["software_trigger_cut&skim&accept_dstar_2"] == 1 and double_count["software_trigger_cut&skim&accept_dstar_3"] == 1:
    results_double["accept_dstar_trigger_cut&skim&accept_dstar_2"] == 1 and double_count["software_trigger_cut&skim&accept_dstar_3"] == 1:
    results_double["accept_dstar_trigger_cut&skim&accept_dstar_3"] == 1 and double_count["software_trigger_cut&skim&accept_dstar_3"] == 1:
    results_double["accept_dstar_trigger_cut&skim&accept_dstar_3"] == 1
```

Why do we need this? We could just add up the events passing skim<sub>1</sub> and events passing skim<sub>2</sub>? → One event could pass both skims (avoid double counting)

#### Example:

```
5 events pass skim<sub>1</sub> (2 of them also pass skim<sub>2</sub>)
10 events pass skim<sub>2</sub>
Events passing skim<sub>1</sub> or skim<sub>2</sub> \rightarrow 5 + 10 - 2 = 13 events pass skim<sub>1</sub> or skim<sub>2</sub>
```

#### **Combinations of Different Trigger Lines**



dstar\_2\_or\_dstar\_3\_or\_mumutight\_or\_jpsi\_or\_kshort\_or\_lambda

### **Combinations of Different Trigger Lines**



Daniel Jacobi

ExpressReco Sampling and Performance Test

01. Dezember 2022

20

#### **Needed Worker Nodes for the Combinations**



01. Dezember 2022

#### **Needed Worker Nodes for the Combinations**



For any given combination we stay below 3 worker nodes (if standard deviation included)

Daniel Jacobi

ExpressReco Sampling and Performance Test

## **Summary and Next Steps**

#### **Summary and Next Steps**

- Investigated 8 different trigger lines and any possible combination of them
- Depending on the chosen trigger line we can estimate the worker nodes needed in order to deal with the expected rate
- We ran a few checks to verify that the script is producing the correct results

- We could test additional trigger lines
- We will start using the accept\_dstar1 trigger line and allocate one worker node at a global run
- Preparation of first results to see if findings of this study are also valid in the experiment
- If successful:
  - $\rightarrow$  Add additional trigger lines
  - $\rightarrow$  Start looking at variables we could use for monitoring

Thank you for your attention! Are there any questions?