# **Introduction: Detector and FEE Properties**

- **Rolling shutter** readout: frame 20 us = 50 kHz (this is "trigger-less")
- Data is continuously sampled by DCD and written to DHP memory
- All data is always available from the DHP chip memory!
- Classic "triggering":
  - Tell the DHP which part of memory to send out → DHE takes care of formatting the data into "events".
  - All data can (in principle) be read out, limited by DHP output FIFO and bandwidth between DHP and DHE

## • 30 kHz trigger rate is "not far" from a continuous readout!

• (random trigger distribution: time wise overlap  $\rightarrow$  30 kHz is not 30/50 but more like 20/50 of overall data)





Depends on the distribution of hits on the sensor and cluster topology/size 4 - all unrelated 3 - realistic for large occupancy 2<x<3 - for injection noise

Overlapping trigger effects are not included, thus numbers >20kHz are too pessimistic

We can never send out more data from the ASIC than in the continuous readout case, even if the trigger rate exceeds 30, 50, 100 kHz

## (Short) Summary

- PXD readout on chip level is basically a free-running readout (trigger-less)
- PXD readout limited by ASIC (DHP) on modules and bandwidth to outside detector (DHH) above current design luminosity/background → this cannot be changed without building a new detector
- Within these limits, continuous readout (or trigger rates >50kHz) would be in principle possible. But limitations on detector occupancy would apply (esp for inner layer) → we would lose our safety factor.
- Firmware would need to be rewritten (DHH). New concept for data reduction would be needed.
- Injection-trigger-veto currently saves us from the majority of high occupancy events. Some internal veto from DHE to DHP would be needed (similar to what is already in development).
- Remark 1: As the current limit is already on the ASIC soldered on the sensor, a new readout (new clustering hardware+direct input to EB1/HLT) will not change the picture.
- Remark 2: Any change in readout concept is unlikely to happen in view of a possible replacement of VXD with a different detector concept in the mid term future

• Some more details on where the limits arise ...

# The 3% Myth

- ~1% (in the inner layer!) expected from simulation, hardware design with safety factor at 3%.
  - Same number applied for DHH/ONSEN etc
- Even below 3% tracking become worse (wrong hit assignment), lower purity
- PXD-DAQ can stand 3% @ 30kHz, but the resulting data would not be optimal.
- A 3% mean indicates that we have event with higher occupancy, injection noise with 30%(!) observed. Nowadays data is truncated at 4% +4%. Also within the detector the (local) occupancy varies.
- Several events triggered with >3% may clog FIFO due to bandwidth limit. → workaround: reset pipeline automatically after 2s of no-data



https://indico.belle2.org/event/7891/c
ontributions/47087/attachments/19199/2
8544/PXDANA\_2-layerPXD\_performance\_202
20117.pdf



## **Available Bandwidth**

- DHP  $\rightarrow$  DHE
  - 1.5Gbit/DHP  $\rightarrow$  6.0Gbit per module (allows for >3%@30kHz)
  - Overlapping triggers; data belonging to two triggers is transmitted only once
- DHE  $\rightarrow$  DHC
  - 40 x 2.5 Gbit (carrier design issue, hardware update in progress for 5 Gbit)
- DHC  $\rightarrow$  ONSEN
  - 32 x 6.25 Gbit ; 620 MB/s/link  $\leftarrow$  works with load balancing
- ONSEN  $\rightarrow$  EB2
  - 32 x 1 Gbit Ethernet. Expect only 30MB/s/link in worst case
  - 110MB/s tested with current system w/o any problems (back pressure to trigger)

System designed for 3%, 30 kHz @ full luminosity (3% includes safety margin from expected 1.5%) Occupancy L2 < L1, worst case scenario is inner layer L1/L2 allows for "load balancing"  $\rightarrow$  downscale ONSEN 40 $\rightarrow$ 32 subunits

**No issue with projected occupancy/trigger rate** Todo: install DHH carrier fix and 2<sup>nd</sup> half of DHH

# **Looking at Specific Bottlenecks**

- DHP output:
  - Increasing trigger rate → saturates/approach maximum (=continuous readout)
  - Due to poisson-like trigger distribution (overlaps), even at 50 kHz (frame rate) not yet at maximum
  - Limit by data rate: exceeding 30kHz → 100 kHz (or continuous) would reduce the acceptable (mean) occupancy to ~1.5% (depending on cluster topology)
- DHE/DHC
  - Design 3%@30kHz
  - Due to doubling of data of overlapping triggers, data rate scales linear with trigger rate
  - Continuous readout (need new firmware!) equivalent to 50kHz trigger rate
- ONSEN
  - Design 3%@30kHz with guaranteed HLT processing time
    - No direct limit on trigger rate if HLT decides fast enough
  - ROI filtering would not work for continuous readout, different concept needed

## **PXD DAQ – Main Data Flow**

- Module (DHP ASIC)
- DHH
- ONSEN
- (HLT/DATCON  $\rightarrow$  ONSEN not shown)



- Worst case size per hit vs occupancy
- DHE/DHC band width limit





• Remaining slides need to be updated and re-checked or removed

# **More Topics**

- Data Rates  $\leftrightarrow$  Occupancy
  - Defined by data format
- Mean data rates != Maximum data rates
  - Instant peak values (f.e. injection spikes)
  - "Bursts" of large events
- Distribution of Occupancy not flat
  - Inner layer > outer layer
  - Z and Phi dependence
  - Spikes, rolling shutter looks 20us into past/future
- Tracking:
  - Local occupancy is important for track matching, cluster overlapping
  - Random distribution of pixel vs clusters (cluster size)

### Example

- Assume 1% Occupancy uniform in all layers, ~1 hit per DHP double row → ~4 bytes/pixel
- N\_pixel = 768\*250\*1%/4 = 480 pixel per DHP
- 20\*4\*480\*4bytes = 153600 bytes (20 modules, 4 DHP)
- Sum: 156224 bytes /event
- $\rightarrow 1.7\%$  is overhead
- Data rate depends on trigger rate:
- Assume 30 kHz and no ROI selection, HLT filtering 1/3:  $\rightarrow$  4686\*10<sup>6</sup> bytes/s, 1562\*10<sup>6</sup> bytes/s (filtered)
- 16 links  $\rightarrow$  EB2: 98\*10<sup>6</sup> bytes/s/link
- DHC  $\rightarrow$  ONSEN (16 links): 293\*10<sup>6</sup> bytes/s/link
- DHE  $\rightarrow$  DHC (20 links): 234\*10<sup>6</sup> bytes/s/link

1/3 is very conservative
Ongoing run is 1/11
(~1/8 in size)

# Example PXD2

- Assume 3% Occupancy uniform in all layers, ~1 hit per DHP double row → ~4 bytes/pixel
- N\_pixel = 768\*250\*3%/4 = 1440 pixel per DHP
- 40\*4\*1440\*4bytes = 921600 bytes (20 modules, 4 DHP)
- $\rightarrow 0.6\%$  is overhead (ignored)
- Data rate depends on trigger rate:
- Assume 30 kHz and with ROI selection, HLT filter
- $\rightarrow 27.6*10^9$  bytes/s
- 32 links  $\rightarrow$  EB2, ROI red to 10%, HLT filter 1/3:
- 29\*10<sup>6</sup> bytes/s/link
- DHC  $\rightarrow$  ONSEN (32 links): 866\*10<sup>6</sup> bytes/s/link
- DHE  $\rightarrow$  DHC (40 links): 693\*10<sup>6</sup> bytes/s/link

1/3 is very conservative
Ongoing run is 1/11
(~1/8 in size)

DAQ group requested header data for rejected events → some additional overhead is missing here

#### Remarks

- Worst case assumptions for design consideration!
- Mean rate of the most busy module should not exceed 3%
- 3% was already including a safety margin
- Optimization
- Outer layer < inner layer ; phi dependence:
  - → Load balancing (2 inner + 3 outer modules in one DHC), reduces mean rate on DHC output



# **Occupancy / Background Projection**

- We will not reach 3% at design luminosity (Analysis by Sally  $\rightarrow$  Talk by Lu Cao)
- (and our final luminosity may be lower)



# **Buffering for HLT Decision/ROI Filtering**

- PXD data is buffered in ONSEN until HLT decision (ROIs)
- 1.5GB\*16 (32) = 24 (48)GB
- LUT for 30s @ 30kHz
- Memory occupancy depends on event size (occupancy), trigger rate and HLT (mean) processing time
- Assume 1MB size (3% for 20 mod)
  - $\rightarrow$  24000 events can be stored at a given time
  - Assume 30kHz trigger rate
  - $\rightarrow$  accept 800ms mean delay for HLT decision
- Hard to predict the future HLT processing time
  - Newer hardware, change in software etc
  - Tracking complexity for busy events

Combination of mean event size, mean processing time and trigger rate determines buffer occupancy.

No issue as long as HLT is fast enough.



## **Other Limits**

- Event (storage) size, fraction of PXD in raw data
- Tapes = Money, thus no principle design problem



# Exp 10 – 18, Raw Size (in kb)



## Exp 10 – 16, Fraction of Raw Size



# Projection

- Plotting against Lumiosity
  - Seems PXD data is not the major contributor to overall event size
  - No need to turn on ROI filtering in the near future



When to enable ROI selection: Event size versus L<sub>peak</sub>



20

# **Other Limits**

- Injection spikes
  - Few but large events
- (has nothing do to with slow pion reconstruction)







Time in μs

## **Injection Backgrounds**

- Overall background not an issue to PXD in the foreseeable future
- Data rate acceptable even w/o ROI selection for the moment
- Injection (spikes) background poses other problems, e.g. events>>3%
- Limits:
- DHP Fifo; DHP $\rightarrow$  DHE, frame nr slip
- DHP Fifo  $\rightarrow$  CM63 error (we need much more than 3% to trigger this
- This will not change with Frame Nr slip happens if we have have overlapping triggers with huge occupancy  $\rightarrow$ readout one frame takes so long that frame counter increased twice  $\rightarrow$  cannot match frame to trigger
- Unphysical events can be truncated only on DHE input (currently: 2\*5%)
- Losses typically dominated by handful of incidents
- No issue for PXD DAQ stability!



#### Luminosity fraction affected by injection spikes in 2021ab



• Events exceeding a defined occupancy (here 3% per DHP frame) are truncated to prevent congestion in DHE

