$A_{FB} \text{ from } B \to X \ell \nu \text{ decays at Belle II}$

Belle II Summer Workshop

Raynette van Tonder*

Andreas Warburton

26 July 2023





A longstanding



A longstanding



Image credit: Markus Prim

Forward-backward asymmetry

Current measurements of A_{FB} from $B^0 \to D^{*-}\ell^+\nu_{\ell}$ decays display a **discrepancy** with the SM prediction



Forward-backward asymmetry

Current measurements of A_{FB} from $B^0 \to D^{*-}\ell^+\nu_{\ell}$ decays display a **discrepancy** with the SM prediction



- First measurement of A_{FB} from inclusive $B \to X \ell \nu_{\ell}$ decays would provide an orthogonal, complementary study JHEP 04 (2016) 131
 - $X_u \ell \nu_\ell$ component **easily subtracted** in the HQE with **smaller uncertainties** than traditional MC approach arXiv:2205.03427 & JHEP 09 (2021) 51
- Additional information leads to greater sensitivity in global fits, particularly the HQE parameter $\hat{\mu}_G$

Incl. A_{FB} at Belle II

JHEP 04 (2016) 131

• Goal: Measure A_{FB} from inclusive $B \to X \ell \nu$ decays using hadronic tagging

$$\mathcal{A}_{FB} = \frac{1}{\Gamma} \left(\int_{-1}^{0} \mathrm{d}z \frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma}{\mathrm{d}z} - \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}z \frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma}{\mathrm{d}z} \right)$$



$$z = \frac{E_{\nu_{\ell}}^{B} - E_{\ell}^{B}}{\sqrt{(E_{\nu_{\ell}}^{B} + E_{\ell}^{B})^{2} - q^{2}}}$$

- Missing energy and q^2 easily accessible variables with tagged approach
- Separate electron and muon channels for further LFU tests



D⁰

W

 θ_{ℓ}

θ.

 $\mathbf{A}\pi$

D*°

Impact of an E_{ℓ} requirement



- A minimum energy is required for leptons to be successfully reconstructed & identified by the Belle II detector
- Higher E_{ℓ} selects a less inclusive sample

Impact of an E_{ℓ} requirement



- A minimum energy is required for leptons to be successfully reconstructed & identified by the Belle II detector
- Higher E_{ℓ} selects a less inclusive sample
- Imposing an E_{ℓ} requirement introduces a kink, which would smooth out due to detector resolution
- Potential challenges in **unfolding** reconstructed to the underlying distribution?

Impact of an E_{ℓ} requirement



- A minimum energy is required for leptons to be successfully reconstructed & identified by the Belle II detector
- Higher $E_{\mathcal{\ell}}$ selects a less inclusive sample
- Imposing an E_{ℓ} requirement introduces a kink, which would smooth out due to detector resolution
- Potential challenges in unfolding reconstructed to the underlying distribution?





Analysis overview

- Reconstruct inclusive $B \to X \ell \nu$ decays using hadronic tagging
 - Tag side reconstructed with Full Event Interpretation (FEI)
 - Select one well-defined signal lepton
 - X system defined as ROE of the $B_{\mathrm{tag}}\ell$ pair



- Samples: Run-independent MC14 (hadronic FEI skim)
- Goal: Measure A_{FB} from $B \to X \ell \nu$ decays for different q^2 selections
 - Separate e/μ and B^0/B^+ channels as a test of lepton flavour and isospin universality
 - Extend analysis to measure moments outlined in arXiv:2205.03427
- Basf2 release: light-2207-bengal

Pre-selection

FEI Event selection

- ⁿcleaned tracks > 3
- ⁿcleaned clusters > 3
- E_{visible} > 4 GeV
- 2 GeV < E_{Total} < 7 GeV
- $|\Delta E| < 0.2 \text{ GeV}$
- Signal Prob. > 0.001
- $M_{bc} > 5.27 \text{ GeV}$
- Offline BCS of best B_{tag}

Rest of Event

- Charged:
 - $|d_0| < 2 \text{ cm } \& |z_0| < 4 \text{ cm}$
 - θ in CDC acceptance
 - CDC hits > 0
 - $p_T > 0.1 \text{ GeV}$
 - [extraInfo(isCurl) == 0]

Tracks

- Track quality:
 - θ in CDC acceptance
 - CDC hits > 0
- IP:
 - $|d_0| < 0.5 \text{ cm}$
 - $|z_0| < 2 \text{ cm}$

Electrons

- BDTScore_e > 0.9
- p_{lab} > 0.4 GeV
- Brems corrections

Muons

- MuonID_noSVD > 0.9
- p_{lab} > 0.4 GeV

Kaons

• KaonID > 0.6

- Neutral:
 - Barrel: $p_T > 0.03$ GeV & clusterZernikeMVA > 0.15
 - Forward: $p_T > 0.02$ GeV & clusterZernikeMVA > 0.35
 - Backward: $p_T > 0.02$ GeV & clusterZernikeMVA > 0.4

Further selections

...to be optimized



To-do list

