

# Experimental challenges in exclusive measurements – topic list I

- Review limiting uncertainties in existing measurements
- List assumptions about evolution of outside input (theory, Lattice)
- What is needed from experiment (in particular Belle II)?
  - Lots of recent progress in FF predictions and measurements; important for  $|V_{cb}|$
  - Reduce normalization uncertainties
  - Reduce MC statistical uncertainties
  - Reduce uncertainties on uncertainties
- Normalization uncertainties
  - Lepton ID efficiency (control samples, isolation / environment corrections), Kaon ID efficiency
  - tracking efficiency, kinematic fit efficiency
  - B tagging “calibration”
  - B counting (luminosity)
- MC statistics
  - Enters through fit template shapes for signals, cross-feeds, backgrounds as well as in signal efficiency. Need better  $N_{MC}/\$$  as sample sizes increase
  - Also enters into reweightings that correct for modeling problems
  - Hard to justify generating huge samples if the modeling is poor, so it also needs to improve



# Experimental challenges in exclusive measurements – topic list II

- Large feed-down from  $D^* \rightarrow D\pi$  decays
  - Simultaneous analysis of  $B \rightarrow D^*\ell\nu, B \rightarrow D\ell\nu$  helps
  - Statistical discrimination between  $B \rightarrow V\ell\nu$  and  $B \rightarrow P\ell\nu$  transitions using kinematics works well even if the slow  $\pi$  is ignored
  - Revive  $B \rightarrow D(X)\ell\nu$  approach
- Content of “gap” not well understood
 
$$\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow X_c\ell\nu) - \sum_j \mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow H_j\ell\nu) \sim 0.8\%$$

Measured Measured or isospin conj
- Untagged analyses will not be competitive at some point due to higher backgrounds (for  $|V_{cb}|$  this is probably at  $\sim \text{few ab}^{-1}$ )
- Hadronic tags +  $D^{(*)}\ell\nu$ 
  - $M_{\text{miss}}^2$  is a good discriminant against additional missing particles ( $D^{**}\ell\nu, D^{(*)}D_s^{(*)-}, c\bar{c}, \dots$ )
  - Had tagging efficiency for  $B^+ (B^0)$  is  $\sim 0.3\% (0.2\%)$
  - Large “calibration factors” needed to correct MC modeling (mostly from modeling large number of un/poorly measured decay modes)
- Double semileptonic decays,  $D^{(*)}\ell^-\nu, \bar{D}^{(*)}\ell^+\nu$ 
  - $\cos\theta_{BY}$  is a weaker discriminant than  $M_{\text{miss}}^2$
  - SL tagging efficiency for  $B^+ (B^0)$  is  $\sim 0.9\% (0.5\%)$
  - Dominated by a few decay modes ( $D^{(*)}\ell\nu$ , a few well-measured  $D^0$  and  $D^+$  decays); easier to “calibrate” so better for normalization