



V_{cb} and V_{ub} from Lattice

Judd Harrison

Belle II Physics week 30 Oct - 3 Nov

Outline

I will discuss new and ongoing lattice predictions for

- $b \rightarrow u \ell \bar{\nu}$ semileptonic decays: $B \rightarrow \pi \ell \bar{\nu}$
- $b
 ightarrow c \ell \bar{
 u}$ semileptonic decays: $B_{(s)}
 ightarrow D^*_{(s)} \ell \bar{
 u}$
- Ongoing work on other relevant decays
- ightarrow Focus will be mostly on new results for $B
 ightarrow D^*$

$B \to \pi$

In SM only f_+ needed to describe differential rate for light leptons $\ell = e, \mu$:

$$\frac{d\Gamma(B \to \pi \ell \bar{\nu})}{dq^2} = \frac{G_F^2 |V_{ub}|^2}{24\pi^3} |\vec{p}_{\pi}(q^2)|^3 |f_+(q^2)|^2$$
$$\langle \pi(p_{\pi})|V^{\mu}|B(p_B)\rangle = f_+(q^2) \left[p_B^{\mu} + p_{\pi}^{\mu} - \frac{M_B^2 - M_{\pi}^2}{q^2} q^{\mu} \right] + f_0(q^2) \frac{M_B^2 - M_{\pi}^2}{q^2} q^{\mu}$$

Form factors computed on the lattice from 2 and 3 point correlation functions, for $B \rightarrow \pi$ with current J:



$B \to \pi$

To simulate *b*-quarks on the lattice, we typically require $am_b < 1$. For fixed volume, this requires small lattice spacings $a < 1/m_b$ as well as a large number of lattice points. This makes calculations at the physical *b* very expensive.

Modern solution:

- Perform lattice calculations at multiple masses, m_h , below m_b , using the same relativistic action for all quarks.
- Fit results using some HQET-like form to disentangle am_h discretisation effects and physical m_h dependence.

This approach allows control of am_h discretisation effects while also obtaining precise results at the physical $m_h = m_b$ point.

$B \to \pi$, JLQCD [2203.04938]

For $B \rightarrow \pi$, most recent calculation from JLQCD, using fully relativistic Möbius domain wall heavy quarks. Fit is done to form factors f_1 and f_2 :

$$\langle \pi(p_{\pi})|V^{\mu}|B(v=p_B/M_B)\rangle = 2\Big[f_1(v\cdot p_{\pi})v^{\mu} + f_2(v\cdot p_{\pi})\frac{p_{\pi}^{\mu}}{v\cdot p_{\pi}}\Big]$$

Lattice data for f_1 and f_2 are fit to a function describing chiral and $1/m_Q$ dependence, as well as discretisation and mistuning effects.

The extrapolation in m_Q and M_π looks very reasonable



$B \rightarrow \pi$, JLQCD [2203.04938]

Resulting form factors (in $f_{+/0}$ basis) can be compared to older calculations from RBC/UKQCD [1501.05373] and Fermilab/MILC [1503.07839]



ightarrow good general agreement on f_+

$B \to \pi$, JLQCD [2203.04938]

Continuum FFs commonly parameterised using Bourrely-Caprini-Lellouch (BCL) expansion [0807.2722]

$$\begin{split} f_+(q^2) &= \frac{1}{1-q^2/M_{B^*}^2} \sum_{k=0}^{N_z-1} b_k^+ \left[z^k - (-1)^{k-N_z} \frac{k}{N_z} z^{N_z} \right] \\ f_0(q^2) &= \sum_{k=0}^{N_z-1} b_k^0 z^k, \quad z(q^2,t_0) = \frac{\sqrt{t_+-q^2} - \sqrt{t_++t_0}}{\sqrt{t_+-q^2} + \sqrt{t_++t_0}} \end{split}$$



Good agreement between experimentally measured BCL parameters and JLQCD lattice-only results.

$B \to \pi$, JLQCD [2203.04938]

JLQCD fit their lattice FFs together with experimental data to find

$$|V_{ub}| = 3.93 \pm 0.41 \times 10^{-3}$$



Large uncertainty on $|V_{ub}|$ from JLQCD - need more precise lattice results.

$B \to D^* \ell \bar{\nu}$



For $B \to D^* \ell \bar{\nu}$ we require 4 form factors to describe the decay in SM, three for the axial-vector current and 1 for the vector current:

$$\begin{split} \langle D^* | \bar{c} \gamma^{\mu} b | \overline{B} \rangle &= i \sqrt{M_B M_{D^*}} \varepsilon^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} \epsilon^*_{\nu} v'_{\alpha} v_{\beta} h_V \\ \langle D^* | \bar{c} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^5 b | \overline{B} \rangle &= \sqrt{M_B M_{D^*}} \left[h_{A_1} (w+1) \epsilon^{*\mu} \right. \\ &\left. - h_{A_2} (\epsilon^* \cdot v) v^{\mu} - h_{A_3} (\epsilon^* \cdot v) v'^{\mu} \right] \end{split}$$

There are also 3 tensor form factors needed to include potential new physics:

$$\langle D^* | \bar{c} \sigma^{\mu\nu} b | \overline{B} \rangle = -\sqrt{M_B M_{D^*}} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} [h_{T_1} \epsilon^*_{\alpha} (\nu + \nu')_{\beta} + h_{T_2} \epsilon^*_{\alpha} (\nu - \nu')_{\beta} + h_{T_3} (\epsilon^* \cdot \nu) \nu_{\alpha} \nu'_{\beta}]$$

$B ightarrow D^* \ell \bar{ u}$

Calculation strategy on lattice is similar to $B \rightarrow \pi$ case. Extract form factors from 2 and 3 point correlation functions:



 D^* interpolating operator $\mathcal{O}_{D^*}^\nu=\bar{u}\gamma^\nu c$ comes with Lorentz index $\nu,$ chosen to pick out FFs.

$B \to D^* \ell \bar{\nu}$: HPQCD [2304.03137]

HPQCD calculation of SM+Tensor FFs, fully relativistic HISQ action for all quarks

- \blacktriangleright 2+1+1 second generation MILC HISQ ensembles with charm in the sea
- non-perturbative current renormalisation
- ▶ small am_h discretisation effects in HISQ → good coverage of *w*-range



$B \to D^* \ell \bar{\nu}$: HPQCD [2304.03137]

HPQCD normalised differential decay rates do not agree well with Belle data [1809.03290]



Simultaneous fit to HPQCD FFs, Belle data and LHCb $B_s \rightarrow D_s^* \ell \bar{\nu}$ data gives

 $|V_{cb}| = 39.36(54)_{exp}(61)_{latt} \times 10^{-3}$

$B \to D^* \ell \bar{\nu}$: HPQCD [2304.03137]

HPQCD calculation of SM+Tensor FFs, fully relativistic HISQ action for all quarks



Tensor FFs do not agree well with heavy quark expansion (HQE) fits to light-cone sum-rules and older $h_{A_1}(w = 1)$ and $B \rightarrow D$ lattice results [1912.09335]

$B ightarrow D^* \ell \bar{ u}$

Two other recent lattice calculations of vector and axial-vector FFs: Fermilab/MILC SM FFs [2105.14019], JLQCD SM FFs [2306.05657].

 h_{A_1} and h_V agree reasonably with heavy quark expansion (HQE) fits to light-cone sum-rules and older $h_{A_1}(w = 1)$ and $B \rightarrow D$ lattice results [1912.09335].



$B\to D^*\ell\bar\nu$

However, ratios do not seem to agree so well \rightarrow correlations?

$$R_0 = \frac{1}{1+r} \left(w + 1 + w \frac{rh_{A_2} - h_{A_3}}{h_{A_1}} - \frac{h_{A_2} - rh_{A_3}}{h_{A_1}} \right), \quad R_1 = \frac{h_V}{h_{A_1}}, \quad R_2 = \frac{rh_{A_2} + h_{A_3}}{h_{A_1}}$$



$B \to D^* \ell \bar{\nu}$: Belle II

New results from Belle II [2310.01170]!





$B \to D^* \ell \bar{\nu}$: Belle II

New results from Belle II [2310.01170] seem to agree with expectations from HQE, particularly for $R_{\rm 2}$



- ▶ Including only Fermilab/MILC h_{A_1} (blue band): $|V_{cb}| = 40.3 \pm 1.2 \times 10^{-3}$, p value = 21%
- ▶ Including h_{A_1} , R_1 and R_2 (brown band): $|V_{cb}| = 38.3 \pm 1.1 \times 10^{-3}$, p value = 0.04%

$B \to D^* \ell \bar{\nu}$: Things to look into

Issue seems to be with h_{A2} , h_{A3} - need to look for possible biases in chiral-continuum fit.

- Are we including enough kinematic terms/estimating truncation errors correctly/using broad enough priors?
- Are we including discretisation effects consistently? e.g. do different parameterisations allow for (ap)² effects?

Other things we can improve:

- HPQCD and JLQCD have been conservative with lattice heavy quark mass can get up to the B in future updates.
- HPQCD can use time reversed 3pt functions together with lattice rotations of current setup, to improve statistics.
- Fermilab heavy quark + HISQ calculation in not too distant future.
- Work to incoorporate HQE information into HPQCD chiral continuum extrapolation.

$b ightarrow u \ell ar{ u}$: Ongoing exclusive lattice QCD calculations

- ▶ JLQCD working on $B \rightarrow \pi$ update with increased statistics and heavier masses.
- HPQCD currently working on $B_{(s)} \rightarrow \pi(K)$.
- ▶ RBC/UKQCD working on $B \to \pi$, $B_s \to D_s$ with new results this year for $B_s \to K$ ($|V_{ub}| = 3.8(6) \times 10^{-3}$) [2303.11280]
- Fermilab/MILC working on updates to $B \rightarrow D^*$ (see talk by Alejandro Vaquero)
- Fermilab/MILC also working on $B \to \pi$ and related decays $B_s \to K$ and $B_s \to D_s$ [2301.09229], from which the ratio $|V_{ub}/V_{cb}|$ can be computed:



left: $B_s \to K$, right: $B_s \to D_s$

Inclusive V_{cb} and V_{ub}

Inclusive determinations still use operator product expansion (OPE), find (HFLAV)

$$|V_{ub}^{\text{inc}}| = 4.19 \pm 0.17 \times 10^{-3}$$

 $|V_{cb}^{\text{inc}}| = 42.19 \pm 0.78 \times 10^{-3}$



However, new lattice methods (Alessandro Barone, Shoji Hashimoto, Andreas Jüttner, Takashi Kaneko, Ryan Kellermann) allow for fully non-perturbative calculation of inclusive observables - these will provide a check of existing OPE results.

Inclusive on the Lattice [2305.14092]

The inclusive rate depends on the hadronic tensor, e.g. for $B_s o X_c \ell
u$

$$\Gamma = \frac{G_F^2 |V_{cb}|^2}{24\pi^3} \int_0^{q_{\text{max}}^2} dq^2 \sqrt{q^2} \overline{X}(q^2)$$

where

$$\overline{X}(q^2) = \sum_{l=0}^2 \overline{X}^{(l)}(q^2) = \sum_{l=0}^2 \int_{\omega_{\min}}^{\omega_{\max}} k_{\mu
u}^{(l)}(q,\omega) W^{\mu
u}(q,\omega)$$

and

$$W^{\mu\nu}(q) = rac{1}{2M_{B_s}}\int dx^4 e^{iq\cdot x} \langle B_s|J^{\mu\dagger}(x)J^{\nu}(0)|B_s\rangle.$$

Lattice correlation functions can give

$$C_{\mu
u}(q,t) = \int_0^\infty d\omega W_{\mu
u}(q,\omega) e^{-\omega t}$$

Laplace transform cannot be inverted. Luckily, only need to get \overline{X}

Inclusive on the Lattice [2305.14092]

Approximate kernel (with smoothed sigmoid in place of step) as polynomial in $e^{-\omega}$

$$k_{\mu\nu}^{(l)}(q,\omega)\theta_{\sigma}(\omega_{\max}-\omega)\approx c_{0,\mu\nu}^{(l)}(q,\sigma)+c_{1,\mu\nu}^{(l)}(q,\sigma)e^{-\omega}+...+c_{N,\mu\nu}^{(l)}(q,\sigma)e^{-N\omega}$$

then

$$\overline{X}^{(l)}(q^2) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} c_{k,\mu\nu}^{(l)} C^{\mu\nu}(q,k+2t_0)$$

This is not completely sufficient due to issues with noise - Chebyshev and Backus-Gilbert methods used to obtain accurate results.

• More details in [2305.14092], see [2005.13730] for details of pilot study on $B_s \rightarrow X_c \ell \nu$.

Summary

- ▶ New exclusive determination of $|V_{ub}| = 3.93 \pm 0.41 \times 10^{-3}$ using JLQCD $B \rightarrow \pi$ FFs, consistent with existing inclusive and exclusive determinations. Large uncertainty, but update in progress and work by other collaborations in progress.
- New results for B → D^{*}ℓν̄ from Belle II inconsistent with Fermilab/MILC R₁ and R₂, give |V_{cb}| = 40.3 ± 1.2 × 10⁻³, p − value = 21% only including h_{A1} closer to inclusive picture.
- ▶ New HPQCD $B \rightarrow D^* \ell \bar{\nu}$ FFs show similar discrepancy in R_1 and R_2 with HQE \rightarrow need to understand the origin of this effect.
- ▶ New JLQCD $B \rightarrow D^* \ell \bar{\nu}$ FFs are in better agreement, but larger uncertainties.
- Application of non-perturbative LQCD methods to inclusive decays progressing nicely.

Backup Slides

$B \rightarrow \pi$, JLQCD [2203.04938] - fit function

For $B \rightarrow \pi$, most recent calculation from JLQCD, using fully relativistic Möbius domain wall heavy quarks. Fit is done to form factors f_1 and f_2 :

$$\langle \pi(\boldsymbol{p}_{\pi})|\boldsymbol{V}^{\mu}|B(\boldsymbol{v}=\boldsymbol{p}_{B}/M_{B})\rangle = 2\Big[f_{1}(\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{p}_{\pi})\boldsymbol{v}^{\mu}+f_{2}(\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{p}_{\pi})\frac{\boldsymbol{p}_{\pi}^{\mu}}{\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{p}_{\pi}}\Big]$$

Lattice data for f_1 and f_2 are fit using the functions

$$\begin{split} f_{1}(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{\pi}) + f_{2}(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{\pi}) = & C_{0} \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{3} C_{E^{n}} N_{E}^{n} E_{\pi}^{n} \right) (1 + C_{\chi \log} \delta f^{B \to \pi} + C_{M_{\pi}^{2}} N_{M_{\pi}^{2}} M_{\pi}^{2}) \\ & \times \left(1 + \frac{C_{M_{Q}} N_{M_{Q}}}{m_{Q}} \right) (1 + C_{m_{s\bar{s}^{2}}} \delta m_{s\bar{s}^{2}}^{2}) \\ & \times \left(1 + C_{s^{2}} (a\Lambda_{QCD})^{2} + C_{(am_{Q})^{2}} (am_{Q})^{2} \right) \\ f_{2}(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{\pi}) = & D_{0} \left[\frac{E_{\pi}}{E_{\pi} + \Delta_{B}} \left(1 + D_{E} N_{E} E_{\pi} \right) \right] (1 + D_{\chi \log} \delta f^{B \to \pi} + D_{M_{\pi}^{2}} N_{M_{\pi}^{2}} M_{\pi}^{2}) \\ & \times \left(1 + \frac{D_{M_{Q}} N_{M_{Q}}}{m_{Q}} \right) (1 + D_{m_{s\bar{s}^{2}}} \delta m_{s\bar{s}^{2}}^{2}) \\ & \times \left(1 + D_{a^{2}} (a\Lambda_{QCD})^{2} + D_{(am_{Q})^{2}} (am_{Q})^{2} \right) \end{split}$$

with C and D fit parameters.

$B \rightarrow D^*$, HPQCD [2304.03137] - fit function

For $B o D^*$ FFs, extrapolation is done using power series in (w-1)

$$\begin{split} F^{Y^{(s)}}(w) &= \sum_{n=0}^{10} a_n^{Y^{(s)}} (w-1)^n \mathcal{N}_n^{Y^{(s)}} \\ &+ \frac{g_{D^*D\pi}^2}{16\pi^2 f_{\pi}^2} \left(\log_{SU(3)}^{Y^{(s)}} - \log_{SU(3) \text{phys}}^Y \right) \end{split}$$

The coefficients, a_n^Y , for each form factor take the form

$$\begin{split} a_n^{Y^{(s)}} &= \alpha_n^Y \\ \times \left[1 + \sum_{j,k,l \neq 0}^3 b_n^{Y,jkl} \Delta_h^{(j)} \left(\frac{am_c^{\text{val}}}{\pi} \right)^{2k} \left(\frac{am_h^{\text{val}}}{\pi} \right)^{2l} \\ &+ \delta_\chi^{(s)} \sum_{j,k,l = 0}^3 \tilde{b}_n^{Y,jkl} \Delta_h^{(j)} \left(\frac{am_c^{\text{val}}}{\pi} \right)^{2k} \left(\frac{am_h^{\text{val}}}{\pi} \right)^{2l} \end{split}$$