

# HAMMER & EFFORT MICHELE PAPUCCI

# **BELLE II PHYSICS WEEK, KEK, 1.2.23**





# **NEED FOR REWEIGHING**

- Large integrated luminosity requires large MC datasets and time consuming simulations
- ► Exclusive  $b \rightarrow c\ell\nu$  : need to vary shapes for
  - ► Fitting form factors

▶ ...

- Constraining new physics
- > Propagate information from  $e,\mu$  modes to  $\tau$
- ► Get the "theory updates" on FFs

This has to be done (consistently) among different modes D, D\*, D\*\*, non-resonant ...

Reweighing tools are needed → HAMMER & eFFORT

- ► eFFORT: <u>Form Factor Reweighting Tool</u>
- ► A tool to reweigh exclusive  $b \rightarrow c\ell\nu$ ,  $b \rightarrow u\ell\nu$  semileptonic decays
- Lightweight, in Python, fast
- ► Used to fit SM exclusive  $b \rightarrow c\ell\nu$ ,  $b \rightarrow u\ell\nu$  differential distributions
- ► Used to construct SM  $b \rightarrow u\ell \nu$  hybrid MC weights
- Transparent / easy to modify code: good for quick on the fly comparisons
- Standard Model only, works at level of (differential) rates, no taus
- "actively maintained, but developed on demand"

### **EFFORT V2**

- Processes / FF available:
  - ►  $B \to D^{(*)} \ell \nu$  w/ CLN, BGL, BLPR(XP)
  - $\succ B \rightarrow \pi \ell \nu \text{ w/ BCL}$
  - ►  $B \rightarrow \eta^{(')} \ell \nu$  w/ ISGW2, LCSR

 $\succ B \rightarrow V\ell \nu$ :



 $dw d\cos\theta_{\ell} d\cos\theta_{V} d\chi$ 

- $\succ B \rightarrow P\ell\nu$
- ►  $B \rightarrow (\rho, \omega) \ell \nu$  w/BSZ

 $dw d\cos\theta_{\ell}$ 

 $d^2\Gamma$ 







(Bernlochner, Duell, Ligeti, MP, Robinson, 2002.00020)

Helicity Amplitude Module for Matrix Element Reweighting

- Reweigh events to "any" form factor parameterization and to SM + New Physics
- Can compute total rates (for BR reweighing)
- > Keeps spin correlations down to D's,π's,γ's
- ➤ Designed to save computational time → fast, can be further optimized
- Internal code is C++. APIs: C++, Python and ROOT "interface"
- Currently three customers: Belle II, LHCb, CMS

# HAMMER'S LIBRARY (V. 1.3)

Helicity Amplitude Module for Matrix Element Reweighting

#### Available Amplitudes & Form Factor parameterizations:

Process	FF parametrizations
$B \to D^{(*)} \ell \nu$	ISGW2* [16, 17], BGL* $\ddagger$ [13–15], CLN* $\ddagger$ [18], BLPR $\ddagger$ [19], BLPRXP $\ddagger$ [20]
$B \to (D^* \to D\pi) \ell \nu$	ISGW2 <sup>*</sup> , BGL <sup>*‡</sup> , CLN <sup>*‡</sup> , BLPR <sup>‡</sup> , BLPRXP <sup>‡</sup>
$B \to (D^* \to D\gamma) \ell \nu$	ISGW2 <sup>*</sup> , BGL <sup>*‡</sup> , CLN <sup>*‡</sup> , BLPR <sup>‡</sup> , BLPRXP <sup>‡</sup>
$B  o D_0^* \ell \nu$	ISGW2*, LLSW* $[21, 22]$ , BLR $\ddagger [23, 24]$
$B  o D_1^* \ell \nu$	ISGW2*, LLSW*, BLR $^{\ddagger}$
$B \to D_1 \ell \nu$	ISGW2*, LLSW*, BLR $^{\ddagger}$
$B  o D_2^* \ell \nu$	ISGW2 $^*$ , LLSW $^*$ , BLR $^\ddagger$
$B  ightarrow ( ho  ightarrow \pi \pi) \ell  u$	ISGW2 $^{*}$ , BSZ $^{\ddagger}\left[25 ight]$
$B \to (\omega \to \pi\pi\pi)\ell\nu$	ISGW2 $^{*}$ , BSZ $^{\ddagger}$
$\Lambda_b  o \Lambda_c \ell \nu$	$ extsf{PCR}^{st}\left[26 ight]$ , $ extsf{BLRS}^{\ddagger}\left[27,\ 28 ight]$
$\Lambda_b  o \Lambda_c^* \ell  u$	PCR*, LSPR $^{\ddagger}[29,\ 30]$
$B_c \to (J/\psi \to \ell \ell) \ell \nu$	<code>Kiselev*[31]</code> , <code>EFG*[32]</code> , <code>BGL*‡[33]</code> , …
$B \to \pi \ell \nu$	ISGW2*, BCL $^{*\ddagger}\left[34 ight]$ , GKvD $\left[35 ight]$
$ au  o \pi  u$	—
$ au  ightarrow \ell  u  u$	
$\tau \to 3\pi\nu$	RCT* [36–38]
$D_1  ightarrow (D^*  ightarrow D\pi/\gamma)\pi$	PW
$D_2^* \to (D^* \to D\pi/\gamma)\pi$	PW
$D_2^* \to D\pi$	PW

 $\ell = e, \mu, \tau$  ,

$$B=B^0, B^+, B_s$$

Etc.

Other processes / FFs on the way + added upon request





- Compute helicity amplitudes instead of squared matrix elements for general SM+NP (speed: O(n) vs O(n<sup>2</sup>) terms)
- ► Keep full spin correlation & interference\* effects in decays
- Tensorialize amplitudes:

 $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{\alpha,i} FF_{\alpha} C_i$ 



- Compute helicity amplitudes instead of squared matrix elements for general SM+NP (speed: O(n) vs O(n<sup>2</sup>) terms)
- ► Keep full spin correlation & interference\* effects in decays
- Tensorialize amplitudes:

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{\alpha,i} FF_{\alpha} C_i$$

Event kinematics dependent, FF parameterization & NP independent



- Compute helicity amplitudes instead of squared matrix elements for general SM+NP (speed: O(n) vs O(n<sup>2</sup>) terms)
- ► Keep full spin correlation & interference\* effects in decays
- Tensorialize amplitudes:

 $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{\alpha,i} FF_{\alpha} C_i$ 

Event kinematics dependent, FF parameterization & NP independent  $q^2$  & FF param. dependent, NP independent can be further expanded in (linearized) uncertainties/parameters:  $FF_{\alpha}(q^2, \vec{a}) = FF_{\alpha,\lambda}(q^2, \vec{a}_0)\delta a_{\lambda}$ , with  $\delta a_{\lambda}$ 

event independent



- Compute helicity amplitudes instead of squared matrix elements for general SM+NP (speed: O(n) vs O(n<sup>2</sup>) terms)
- ► Keep full spin correlation & interference\* effects in decays

 $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{\alpha,i} FF_{\alpha} C_i$ 

Tensorialize amplitudes:

Event independent, NP dependent

Event kinematics dependent, FF parameterization & NP independent  $q^2$  & FF param. dependent, NP independent can be further expanded in (linearized) uncertainties/parameters:  $FF(a^2 \vec{a}) = FF(a^2 \vec{a})\delta a$ , with  $\delta a$ .

 $FF_{\alpha}(q^2, \vec{a}) = FF_{\alpha,\lambda}(q^2, \vec{a}_0)\delta a_{\lambda}$ , with  $\delta a_{\lambda}$  event independent



- Compute helicity amplitudes instead of squared matrix elements for general SM+NP (speed: O(n) vs O(n<sup>2</sup>) terms)
- ► Keep full spin correlation & interference\* effects in decays



 $C_i$  are NP Wilson Coefficients,  $C_i = (1, V_{LL}, V_{RL}, V_{RL}, V_{RR}, S_{LL}, S_{RL}, S_{LR}, S_{RR}, T_{LL}, T_{RR})$  $FF_{\alpha,\lambda}$  are central value and gradient w.r.t. to parameters,  $\delta a_{\lambda} = (1, \overline{\delta a})$  (e.g. coefficients of Taylor expansions of IW functions, BGL parameters, ...)



Helicity Amplitude Module for Matrix Element Reweighting

#### ► Squared matrix element is

$$M^{2} = \left(C_{i}C_{i'}^{\dagger}\right) \left(\delta a_{\lambda}\delta a_{\lambda'}\right) \left(FF_{\alpha,\lambda}FF_{\alpha',\lambda'}^{\dagger}\right) \left(\mathscr{M}_{\alpha,i}\mathscr{M}_{\alpha',i'}^{\dagger}\right)$$

> Scalar event weight is  $W = M_{new}^2 / M_{old}^2$ 

Define NP-independent, FF-independent tensor event weight

$$\mathcal{W}_{\alpha\alpha',ii'} = \left(\mathcal{M}_{\alpha,i}\mathcal{M}_{\alpha',i'}^{\dagger}\right) / M_{old}^{2}$$

- ► Pre-compute once & store  $\mathscr{W}_{\alpha\alpha',ii'}$ ,  $FF_{\alpha,\lambda}$  (and/or  $\mathscr{W}_{\lambda\lambda',ii'}$ ) (per event, using truth level 4-momenta; data format will always be backward compatible)
- Scalar weight W for a given choice of C<sub>i</sub>, δa<sub>λ</sub> can then be retrieved from simple (and fast) dot products
- Same philosophy for tensor decay rates:  $\Gamma_{\lambda\lambda',ii'}/\Gamma_{old}$  (necessary for e.g. reweighing branching ratios)



Helicity Amplitude Module for Matrix Element Reweighting

#### ► Squared matrix element is

 $M^{2} = \left(C_{i}C_{i'}^{\dagger}\right) \left(\delta a_{\lambda}\delta a_{\lambda'}\right) \left(FF_{\alpha,\lambda}FF_{\alpha',\lambda'}^{\dagger}\right) \left(\mathscr{M}_{\alpha,i}\mathscr{M}_{\alpha',i'}^{\dagger}\right)$ 

NP & FF-independent

- > Scalar event weight is  $W = M_{new}^2 / M_{old}^2$
- Define NP-independent, FF-independent tensor event weight

$$\mathcal{W}_{\alpha\alpha',ii'} = \left(\mathcal{M}_{\alpha,i}\mathcal{M}_{\alpha',i'}^{\dagger}\right) / M_{old}^{2}$$

- ► Pre-compute once & store  $\mathscr{W}_{\alpha\alpha',ii'}$ ,  $FF_{\alpha,\lambda}$  (and/or  $\mathscr{W}_{\lambda\lambda',ii'}$ ) (per event, using truth level 4-momenta; data format will always be backward compatible)
- Scalar weight W for a given choice of C<sub>i</sub>, δa<sub>λ</sub> can then be retrieved from simple (and fast) dot products
- Same philosophy for tensor decay rates:  $\Gamma_{\lambda\lambda',ii'}/\Gamma_{old}$  (necessary for e.g. reweighing branching ratios)



Helicity Amplitude Module for Matrix Element Reweighting

► Squared matrix element is

FF parameterizations

$$M^{2} = \left(C_{i}C_{i'}^{\dagger}\right) \left(\delta a_{\lambda}\delta a_{\lambda'}\right) \left(FF_{\alpha,\lambda}FF_{\alpha',\lambda'}^{\dagger}\right) \left(\mathscr{M}_{\alpha,i}\mathscr{M}_{\alpha',i'}^{\dagger}\right)$$

NP & FF-independent

- > Scalar event weight is  $W = M_{new}^2 / M_{old}^2$
- Define NP-independent, FF-independent tensor event weight

$$\mathcal{W}_{\alpha\alpha',ii'} = \left(\mathcal{M}_{\alpha,i}\mathcal{M}_{\alpha',i'}^{\dagger}\right) / M_{old}^{2}$$

- ► Pre-compute once & store  $\mathscr{W}_{\alpha\alpha',ii'}$ ,  $FF_{\alpha,\lambda}$  (and/or  $\mathscr{W}_{\lambda\lambda',ii'}$ ) (per event, using truth level 4-momenta; data format will always be backward compatible)
- Scalar weight W for a given choice of C<sub>i</sub>, δa<sub>λ</sub> can then be retrieved from simple (and fast) dot products
- Same philosophy for tensor decay rates:  $\Gamma_{\lambda\lambda',ii'}/\Gamma_{old}$  (necessary for e.g. reweighing branching ratios)



Helicity Amplitude Module for Matrix Element Reweighting

► Squared matrix element is

FF parameterizations

$$M^{2} = \left(C_{i}C_{i'}^{\dagger}\right) \left(\delta a_{\lambda}\delta a_{\lambda'}\right) \left(FF_{\alpha,\lambda}FF_{\alpha',\lambda'}^{\dagger}\right) \left(\mathcal{M}_{\alpha,i}\mathcal{M}_{\alpha',i'}^{\dagger}\right)$$
  
FF uncert'  
NP & FF-independent

> Scalar event weight is  $W = M_{new}^2 / M_{old}^2$ 

Define NP-independent, FF-independent tensor event weight

$$\mathcal{W}_{\alpha\alpha',ii'} = \left(\mathcal{M}_{\alpha,i}\mathcal{M}_{\alpha',i'}^{\dagger}\right) / M_{old}^{2}$$

- ► Pre-compute once & store  $\mathscr{W}_{\alpha\alpha',ii'}$ ,  $FF_{\alpha,\lambda}$  (and/or  $\mathscr{W}_{\lambda\lambda',ii'}$ ) (per event, using truth level 4-momenta; data format will always be backward compatible)
- Scalar weight W for a given choice of C<sub>i</sub>, δa<sub>λ</sub> can then be retrieved from simple (and fast) dot products
- Same philosophy for tensor decay rates:  $\Gamma_{\lambda\lambda',ii'}/\Gamma_{old}$  (necessary for e.g. reweighing branching ratios)



Helicity Amplitude Module for Matrix Element Reweighting

► Squared matrix element is

FF parameterizations  $M^{2} = \left(C_{i}C_{i'}^{\dagger}\right) \left(\delta a_{\lambda}\delta a_{\lambda'}\right) \left(FF_{\alpha,\lambda}FF_{\alpha',\lambda'}^{\dagger}\right) \left(\mathcal{M}_{\alpha,i}\mathcal{M}_{\alpha',i'}^{\dagger}\right)$ 

NP & FF-independent

- Scalar event weight is  $W = M_{new}^2 / M_{old}^2$
- Define NP-independent, FF-independent tensor event weight

$$\mathcal{W}_{\alpha\alpha',ii'} = \left(\mathcal{M}_{\alpha,i}\mathcal{M}_{\alpha',i'}^{\dagger}\right)/M_{old}^{2}$$

- ► Pre-compute once & store  $\mathscr{W}_{\alpha\alpha',ii'}$ ,  $FF_{\alpha,\lambda}$  (and/or  $\mathscr{W}_{\lambda\lambda',ii'}$ ) (per event, using truth level 4-momenta; data format will always be backward compatible)
- Scalar weight W for a given choice of C<sub>i</sub>, δa<sub>λ</sub> can then be retrieved from simple (and fast) dot products
- Same philosophy for tensor decay rates:  $\Gamma_{\lambda\lambda',ii'}/\Gamma_{old}$  (necessary for e.g. reweighing branching ratios)

# Event reweighing alone brings you only so far:

 $N_{weights} \sim N_{events} * (N_{variations})^{D}$ Weight tensorialization helps here Still very large

- Large number of computations for large statistics samples
- ► For binned analyses further help is possible: Tensor histograms!
  - Bin tensors directly (weights and squared weights) and collapse to conventional histogram at the end when contracting with external vectors



► Trade  $N_{events} \rightarrow N_{bins}$ , space for speed



Helicity Amplitude Module for Matrix Element Reweighting

HAMMER'S IDEA

# WHAT'S NEXT?

AKA: what do you really need from us?

# **NEAR FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

- ► Improving the modeling of the "X" in  $B \to D^{(*)} \ell \nu X$ :
  - Theoretical work needed is in progress
    - On-shell vs off-shell resonance heavy meson form factors (two independent approaches ongoing see Florian's talk)
  - ► Goal is to get to modeling  $B \to D^{(*)} \ell \nu \pi (+\pi)$  in terms of
    - Form factors of known resonances defined "on the pole" controlling their contributions (on-shell and off-shell)
    - ► "UV" non-resonant contribution parameterized by another form factor suppressed by  $v^{(')} \cdot p_{\pi} / \Lambda$ , with  $\Lambda \sim 1$ GeV
    - A bunch of hadronic coupling constants controlling interactions of pions (and η, ρ) with D,D\*,D\*\* (need to be measured)
  - ➤ "Cocktail" model based on EFT (chiral and heavy quark symmetries) → in principle systematically improvable (although # parameters may get out of hand quickly...)

- ►  $B \rightarrow D^{(*)} \ell \nu \pi (+\pi)$  modeling will be added into HAMMER  $\rightarrow$  can use independently of how MC samples were generated (as long as they cover phase space)
- ► HAMMER implementation  $\rightarrow$  v2.0:
  - Will require some code restructuring (but no backward compatibility breaks)
  - Tackling interference between different D\*\* requires some "plumbing" work to maintain performance
    - Bonus: this code restructuring will also improve performance for scans on a reduced set of parameters (Wilson coeffs, Form Factor params). Already a feature request

# **NEAR FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

- ► Radiative semileptonic  $B \rightarrow D^{(*)}\ell\nu + \gamma$ 
  - Current HAMMER procedure: use the 4-momenta before γ radiation by "undoing" PHOTOS emissions
  - Plan to transition to different model:
    - Don't undo PHOTOS but reweigh 0y, 1y, ... with QED corrected amplitudes
    - Go beyond soft photon approx and include structure dependent contributions: 4 unknown functions, constrained by HQET (MP Wise Trickle 2110.13154)
    - Only Belle II can measure γ spectra (with enough statistics)
       Test of HQET in different regime (+ help for LHCb)

## WHAT ELSE?

- Is there something that you would like to do with HAMMER but cannot be presently done?
- Processes? Form Factors? Decay Modes?
- Is the current API sufficient for integration in Belle II software framework?
- Is there a need for more programmatic access to tensor histograms?
- Specific performance issues needing improvement?

(We can always be reached at hammer-support@lbl.gov)